



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Castillo v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-23-004990	Discovery; Defense tendered to contractor	Plaintiff was injured by a manhole that was blow into the air by a gas leak explosion and struck him	\$5,000,000	None			
<i>Golden, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	C-24-CV-25-001863	Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiffs are seeking declaratory judgment on the proposed amendment to the City Charter establishing a "Baby Fund" is constitutional and proper charter material.	None	Declaratory relief			
<i>Whitaker v. MCCB</i>	24-C-23-005818	Dispositive Motion pending	Plaintiff alleges she was severely injured in an auto accident with a third party who was allegedly being pursued by a police officer.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Lambert, et al. v. BCBS and MCCB</i>	24-C-22-002218	Case stayed pending appeal of collateral issue	Mother and minor daughter plaintiffs are suing the City, various employees of the health department and the school board and its employees for injuries allegedly sustained when a contraceptive device was implanted into the daughter's arm. Plaintiffs are asserting medical malpractice and constitutional and civil rights violations as well.	\$100,000+	Injunction to prevent Defendants from providing certain contraception to students.			
<i>Wright v. MCCB, et al.</i>	C-24-CV-24-004273	Discovery	Plaintiff is seeking damages for injuries suffered after tripping over an alleged defect in the sidewalk beside a set of metal vault doors that allow access to BGE facilities.	\$100k+	None			
<i>Gakuba v. Dfranklinn, et al.</i>	23-cv-00505	Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiff claims damages resulting from the City's alleged delay to approve his request for COVID-related rental assistance	\$1,000,000,000	Injunction requesting unspecified relief			
<i>EMC, et al. v. Jewish Community Services, et al.</i>	C-24-CV-25-002121	Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiffs allege they were sexually abused while in foster care in the 1950s.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Gentry v. MCCB, et al.</i>	C-24-CV-2-002953	Discovery	Plaintiff is an MTA bus driver seeking damages for personal injuries and lost wages due to the alleged malfunctioning of a traffic signal.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Poudyel v. MCCB</i>	24-C-23-001853	Discovery	Plaintiff is suing on behalf of himself and a class of persons who had their vehicles seized and sold at auction. Plaintiff is seeking the value of the vehicle as well as the value of the contents, and is challenging the City's vehicle notification and seizure policies.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Scott v. MCCB, et al.</i>	C-24-CV-24-000027	Discovery	Plaintiff claims damages for personal injuries and lost wages as a result of an auto accident with a City vehicle.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Lewis v. MCCB, et al.</i>	C-24-CV-24-000300	Discovery	Plaintiff is suing for personal injuries after his foot was run over by a recycling truck.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Mitchell v. State of Maryland, et al.</i>	24-cv-001634	Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiff claims she was sexually abused while a student at Lake Clifton Easter High School	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Glover-Love v. MCCB</i>	C-24-CV-25-001034	Discovery	Plaintiff claims damages for personal injuries after tripping on sidewalk defect.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Henriques v. MCCB, et al.</i>	22-cv-02727	Case stayed pending ruling in Todman	Plaintiffs are challenging the Eviction Chattel Law governing the disposition of tenant property after a judicial determination and after a notice of the eviction.	\$100,000+	Invalidation of law			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>CMDS Residential, LLC v. MCCB</i>	21-cv-01774	Trial stayed pending judicial review of state court BMZA appeal	Plaintiff is the owner of a property on Harford Road previously used as assisted living and wants to use it as a substance abuse rehab facility. Plaintiff is challenging the City's denial of a use and occupancy permit, claiming violations of the ADA, Fair Housing Act and 14th Amendment.	\$44,000,000	Injunction requiring City to issue use and occupancy permit for intended use			
<i>2101 Riggs Ave., LLC v. MCCB</i>	C-24-CV-25-000994	Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiff is seeking declaratory relief claiming breach of contract over an easement for water service.	None	Requiring City to allow water service to be made through a City-owned building			
<i>Carmel Realty Assoc. v. MCCB</i>	C-24-CV-24-004063	Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiff seeks damages related to a 2007 memorandum of understanding related to a condemnation action and re-development of the property	\$2.7M	None			
<i>USAA, et al. v. K&K Adams, et al.</i>	C-24-CV-24-001972	Discovery; City seeking defense and indemnification from K&K	City was brought into the case as a third-party defendant by the owner of a property demolished by K&K.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Butrim, et al. v. MCCB</i>	1:25-cv-00796	Motion to dismiss pending	Firefighters killed or severely injured seek damages related to 2022 Stricker Street fire., alleging negligence and constitutional violations.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Marauno v. MCCB</i>	24-C-CV-24-001778	Discovery	Plaintiff seeks damages for injuries related to a trip and fall near CFG Arena.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Hipp v. MCCB</i>	24-C-22-004663	City's MSJ granted	Plaintiff alleges injuries sustained after falling on steps to the security shack at a DOT site.	\$400,000	None	City's MSJ granted; Plaintiffs appeal pending		
<i>Cunningham, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	1:22-cv-01774	Motion to dismiss granted on 3/29/23	Wrongful death and 14th Amendment "state created danger" claims brought by estate and relatives of Trina Cunningham who was killed in a work-related accident at Patapsco Wastewater Treatment Plant.	\$1,000,000+	None	Plaintiff's appeal pending		
<i>Pollard, et al. v. Starpo</i>	C-24-CV-24-000045	Settlement pending	Plaintiffs are 40 minor girls who were photographed in various states of undress by a security guard at the Convention Center during a cheerleading competition put on by Starpower.	\$100,000+ per person	None	Settlement pending; to be paid by insurance carrier		
<i>Wallace v. MCCB</i>	24-C-19-004548	Plaintiff verdict for \$100,000; appeal petition granted by Md. Supreme Court	Plaintiff was injured when her bike tire hit a gap between the bricks and marble bulkhead on the inner harbor promenade.	\$100,000+	None	Plaintiff verdict for \$100,000; appeal to Md. Supreme Court pending		
<i>Varghese v. MCCB</i>	24-C-21-002622	Plaintiff verdict for \$400,000 on 2/23/2023	Plaintiff claims he was injured when he rode his bike into a defective chain connecting bollards along a lane leading to Pier VI Hotel.	\$100,000+	None	Plaintiff verdict for \$400,000; appeal to Md. Supreme Court pending		
<i>Goodlaxson, et al. v. MCCB</i>	1:21-cv-01454	Partial settlement; case top re-open in 2028	Class action lawsuit filed against the City alleging violations of the ADA for failure to provide access to public ways.	\$100,000,000	Requiring City to remediate, repair, construct and maintain curb ramps and sidewalks properly	Partial settlement; parties entered settlement for 4 year, \$48M investment and operational changes requiring upgrades to sidewalks and curb ramps		\$48M in upgrades



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Snyder, et al. v. PDL Pratt Associates, LLC and MCCB</i>	24-C-21-000128	Closed	Plaintiff (and his wife) alleges serious injuries as a result of tripping on an uneven brick paver in front of Chik Fil A on Pratt Street.	\$100,000+	None	Plaintiff verdict for \$400,000 affirmed on appeal	8/1/2024	\$400,000 judgment plus interest of \$63,325
<i>Harrington v. MCCB</i>	24-C-23-001515	Closed	Plaintiff claims damages resulting from multiple sewer backups in her home.	\$100,000+	None	Settled	8/6/2024	\$60,000
<i>Van Cleve v. MCCB</i>	24-C-23-001961	Motion for summary judgment pending	Plaintiff was injured after tripping on a bolt protruding from the sidewalk.	\$100,000+	None	Settled	9/23/2024	\$51,000
<i>Estate of Cristal Eatmon v. Jerry Hill, et al.</i>	24-C-23-003104	Closed	Family of Eatmon suing for wrongful death/survivorship after Eatmon was killed in a car accident at an intersection by a third party. Plaintiffs allege the City breached a statutory duty to make the intersection safe and failed to design the intersection in a safe manner	\$100,000+	None	City's motion to dismiss granted with prejudice	10/16/2024	\$0
<i>Felder v. MCCB</i>	24-C-23-003900	Closed	Plaintiff is seeking damages for real and personal property as a result of multiple sewer backups.	\$100,000+	None	Settled	11/6/2024	\$45,000
<i>Morris v. MCCB</i>	24-C-23-002622	Closed	Plaintiff claims damages resulting from multiple sewer backups in her home.	\$100,000+	None	Settled	11/7/2024	\$69,080
<i>Gaskins-Burr v. MCCB</i>	24-C-21-005293	Closed	Plaintiff is seeking damages for personal property as a result of a sewer back up.	\$100,000+	None	Plaintiff verdict for \$240,317	12/18/2024	\$240,317
<i>Clear Channel Outdoor v. Director of Finance</i>	MTC 23-MI-BA-0076	Closed	Billboard company is seeking refund of two years of Outdoor Advertising Tax payments	\$2.6M plus interest		City prevailed at MTC. CCO to pay the City \$2.6M in back taxes plus interest of \$169,000	1/31/2025	\$0
<i>Stevenson v. Lawson, et al.</i>	24-C-23-003973	Closed	Plaintiff is seeking damages for personal injuries suffered as a result of an auto accident.	\$100,000+	None	Settled	2/19/2025	\$86,000
<i>Ghavidel v. MCCB</i>	24-C-23-002693	Closed	Plaintiff claims damages for injuries suffered as a result of a alleged loose/open water meter cover.	\$100,000+	None	Settled	2/19/2025	\$50,000
<i>Sanders v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-23-003581	Closed	Plaintiff was severely injured after he drove his motorcycle into privately-owned dumpster positioned in street.	\$100,000+	None	Settled	3/2/2025	\$24,000
<i>Todman, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	19-cv-03296	Dispositive motion granted in Plaintiffs' favor.	Plaintiffs are challenging the Eviction Chattel Law governing the disposition of tenant property after a judicial determination.	\$100,000+	Invalidation of law	Judgment in favor of plaintiffs affirmed on appeal (7/2/24). City law ruled constitutional with regard to these plaintiffs	3/31/2025	\$186,000 judgment plus attorneys fees and costs of \$853,943



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

Name	No.	Case Status	Description	Monetary Demand	Injunctive Demand	Manner of Resolution	Date of Resolution	City Payment
<i>Sabien Burgess v. Baltimore Police Department et al.</i>	15-cv-00834	Closed	Appeal from large judgment in favor of man who was released from prison on petition for writ of actual innocence after spending 19 years in prison.	\$15,000,000 plus interest and attorneys fees	None	Court granted Plaintiff's Attorney Fee Petition	4/25/2024	\$3,006,615.60
<i>Kerron Andrews v. Baltimore City Police Department, et al.</i>	16-cv-02010	On Appeal	This lawsuit alleges that BPD officers used cell site simulator technology without a warrant or other court order, thus violating Plaintiff's rights. This resulted in Plaintiff's arrest and incarceration for 2 years. Plaintiff alleges BPD entered into an agreement with the creator of the cell simulator technology that BPD would not disclose the existence of the technology in exchange for access to the technology. Plaintiff alleges violations of his rights under the 4th and 14th Amendments to the U.S. Constitution and pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 as well as under the Maryland Constitution.	In excess of \$75,000	Permanent injunction that prohibits officers from using cell site simulator technology to track individuals without first obtaining a warrant that describes with reasonable particularity the location where the cell-site simulator may be activated	Court granted Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment. Plaintiff appealed to Fourth Circuit		
<i>Darrius Kimbrough v. Tyler Sentz, et al.</i>	17-cv-03477	Discovery Closed	Plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages as well as attorneys' fees for alleged violations of his Federal Constitutional rights. More specifically, Plaintiff claims that he was unlawfully detained and arrested on August 6, 2014 based on the officers' allegation that Plaintiff stole a car. The juvenile case against Plaintiff was dismissed. Plaintiff allegedly sustained unspecified physical injuries as the result of excessive force used during his arrest.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None			
<i>Jerome Johnson v. BPD</i>	19-cv-00698	Closed	This is a 30-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. Johnson was convicted as an accessory to the murder of Aaron Taylor. Mr. Johnson alleges the Defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and maliciously prosecuted him for these crimes. Mr. Johnson also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	\$10 million (pre-suit notice letter)	None	Fourth Circuit affirmed MSJ granted by D. Md.	3/21/2024	\$0
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. City of Baltimore, et al.</i>	24-C-20-001269	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges having made multiple requests for records to BPD and the City under the MPIA and that the responses were insufficient.	\$1,000 plus attorneys' fees	Plaintiff seeks to compel response to the MPIA request.			
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. City of Baltimore, et al.</i>	24-C-20-001956	Closed	Plaintiff alleges having made multiple requests for records to BPD and the City under the MPIA and that the responses were insufficient.	\$1,000 in statutory damages as to each of 3 requests, plus costs and attorneys' fees.	Order Defendants to provide materials responsive to each of 3 MPIA requests; enter an injunction requiring Defendants to waive fees for each request.	Stipulated Dismissal	3/18/2024	\$0
<i>Jawone D. Nicholson v. State of Maryland, et al.</i>	20-cv-03146	Trial Concluded	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about November 10, 2017, he was approached by a BPD officer who proceeded to question him aggressively and pointed a firearm at him without cause.	In excess of \$30,000 as to each of 11 counts; attorneys' fees and costs	None	Jury Trial concluded. Plaintiff awarded \$250,000, but only as to gross negligence against individual officer defendant. Parties are considering appellate options/post trial briefs.		
<i>Terrell Corbitt v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	20-cv-03431	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that on or about December 15, 2017, BPD officers were engaged in a vehicle pursuit during which gunfire was exchanged between the fleeing suspect and pursuing officers. Plaintiff alleges that he was struck during the exchange of fire and asserts federal civil rights and state law tort claims.	\$11,500,000 plus attorneys fees.	None			
<i>Deanna Efland v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	20-cv-03503	MSJ Granted	Plaintiff, a BPD member, alleges that she was subjected to sex discrimination and retaliation in violation of Title VII.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	Declaratory judgment; order requiring BPD to initiated and implement systems to ensure that individuals who file internal EEO complaints are treated in a non-discriminatory manner	Court granted Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment. Plaintiff filed Motion for Reconsideration.		



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

Name	No.	Case Status	Description	Monetary Demand	Injunctive Demand	Manner of Resolution	Date of Resolution	City Payment
<i>Henrietta Middleton v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	20-cv-03536	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about August 26, 2018, she was assaulted by a BPD member who then fabricated criminal charges against her. She asserts federal civil rights and several state tort claims.	\$20 million as to each of 9 counts	None	Jury Trial concluded. Plaintiff awarded \$5.2 million.	11/4/2024	\$5.2 million
<i>Faye Cottman, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	21-cv-00837	Closed	Plaintiffs allege, on behalf of a putative class of "victims of serious assaults on or after April 1, 2018" that BPD unlawfully seized and withheld their property.	Not stated	Various declaratory and injunctive relief relating to cessation of allegedly unconstitutional practices and related training.	Settlement	9/10/2024	\$40,000 (BPD also agreed to amend certain policies and procedures with respect to the storage of evidence)
<i>Danika Yampierre v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	21-cv-01209	Trial Prep	Plaintiff alleges that she was discriminated against because of her race and sex and retaliated against during her employment as a BPD member. She asserts claims under Title VII, as well as various theories under federal and state law.	Back pay; \$10 million; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct			
<i>Dyllan Hildebrand v. Dean McFadden, et al.</i>	24-C-21-002424	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that, on June 1, 2020 he was present at a protest where he was struck by police officers and wrongfully prosecuted for failing to obey a lawful order.	In excess of \$75,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Training protocols to address conduct alleged	Settlement	4/16/2025	\$75,000
<i>Adam Litchfield v. Ronald Rinehart, et al.</i>	21-cv-02101	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about June 1, 2021, police responded to a domestic dispute at his home. When he did not comply with the officers' requests, Plaintiff asserts that he was wrongfully arrested and, during his subsequent detention in Central Booking and Intake Center, denied his psychiatric medication.	In excess of \$75,000; \$1 million punitive damages; costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Martez Carter v. Michael Harrison, et al.</i>	24-C-21-003359	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that he was subjected to racial discrimination as an applicant in BPD's hiring process.	In excess of \$75,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Order directing BPD to offer a job to Plaintiff; permanent injunction directing BPD to remediate alleged discriminatory conduct	Court granted Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment	1/10/2025	\$0
<i>Welai Grant v. Baltimore Police Dept.</i>	21-cv-02173	On Appeal	Plaintiff alleges that she was subject to various adverse actions in her employment with BPD due to racial and gender discrimination.	\$10,000,000.00	Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct	Court granted Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, but Plaintiff has appealed the ruling to the	2/1/2024	\$0
<i>Toyia Williams v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>	24-C-21-003768	Closed	Former employee alleges that she was discriminated against due to her disability and in retaliation for her protected activity. Plaintiff also claims that Defendant violated the Maryland Declaration of Rights	In excess of \$75,000	None	Settlement	2/1/2024	\$200,000
<i>Ronald Mealey v. Baltimore Police Dept., et al.</i>	21-cv-02332	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that he was retaliated against after exercising his First Amendment rights to report alleged fraud, waste and abuse.	In excess of \$1,800,000 as to each of 3 counts; in excess of \$75,000 as to each of 2 counts; costs	None	Settlement	1/4/2024	\$130,000
<i>Clarence Shipley v. Deems Disney, Jr., et al.</i>	21-cv-03173	Trial Prep	This is a 27-year wrongful conviction suit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. Shipley convicted of the murder of Kevin Smith. They allege that police manipulated evidence to implicate Mr. Shipley despite his innocence. They also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	Not Stated	None			
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	24-C-21-005650	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiff alleges deficiencies in BPD's response to an MPIA request.	Statutory damages of \$1,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Various declaratory judgments; order defendants to deliver requested documents without cost; require defendants to respond to all future requests without cost			
<i>Sanford Heisler Sharp, LLP v. Jane Doe, et al.</i>	24-C-21-005657	MSJ Pending	Plaintiff seeks to interplead the BPD to address a question relating to disposition of subsequently-expunged records police records relevant to an unrelated civil matter to which BPD is not a party.	None	Require BPD to interplead as to disposition of disputed records; restrain defendants from instituting action against Plaintiff			
<i>Wayne Kevin Brown, Jr. v. Christopher Nguyen, et al.</i>	24-C-22-001637	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiff alleges that, on August 12, 2020 he was assaulted by an individual and, upon the arrival of the defendant officers, they failed to protect Plaintiff from further injury, provide medical treatment, or otherwise respond appropriately.	In excess of \$75,000	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

Name	No.	Case Status	Description	Monetary Demand	Injunctive Demand	Manner of Resolution	Date of Resolution	City Payment
<i>Djene Traore v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	20-cv-00793	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiff, a former BPD employee, alleges that she was discriminated and retaliated against based on her race, culminating in her termination, in violation of Title VII and state law.	Not stated	None			
<i>Adrian Ortiz v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	22-cv-01396	Closed	Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.	\$500,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction requiring remediation of alleged practices	Court granted Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment	9/30/2024	\$0
<i>Deirtra Pitts v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	22-cv-01404	Closed	Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.	\$1,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction requiring remediation of alleged practices	Court granted Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment	1/2/2025	\$0
<i>Helen Washington v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.,</i>	22-cv-02212	Closed	State case brought by current employee who alleged that she was discriminated against due to her age and was retaliated against. Removed to Federal Court	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	Injunctive relief in the form of reinstatement to previous higher-paid position	Court granted Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff filed Motion for Reconsideration, Court denied Motion	2/7/2024	\$0
<i>Open Justice Baltimore, et al. v. Baltimore City Law Department, et al.</i>	22-cv-01901	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiffs allege that BPD and the Law Department collude to deny Plaintiffs access to records pursuant to the MPIA, purportedly in violation of the First Amendment.	Waiver of all fees associated with Plaintiffs' numerous requests; costs and attorneys' fees	Order directing BPD to provide all records requested by Plaintiffs without charge within 10 days; order compelling Defendants to make various staffing changes; various declaratory judgments			
<i>Jeffrey Lilly, et al. v. Baltimore City</i>	24-C-22-003986	MSJ Pending	Plaintiff alleges that certain defendants breached a contract they had with him to breed dogs. Plaintiff also alleges that BPD Defendants violated his rights by pressuring him, interfering with the contract, and otherwise violating the law.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Timothy Brown v. Mayor and City Council, et al.</i>	1:23-cv-00155	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiff alleges that GTTF officers planted guns and drugs on him, falsified statements of probable cause, and otherwise caused him to be unlawfully arrested	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Torres-Hernandez v. Lloyd, et al.</i>	1:23-cv-01016	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiff, a contractor who was doing work on a BPD officer's home patio, alleges that BPD officers falsely detained him, transported him to a bank, and forced him to give him a \$3,500 cashiers check as a refund for work that the officer claimed was faulty.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	Yes			
<i>Donald Gresham, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	Appellate Ct. of Md. ACM-REG-0307-2023	Closed	Challenge to MOU between BPD and Johns Hopkins University re: establishment of JHU police force	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	Yes	Court granted Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff appealed to Appellate Court of Maryland, Appellate Court affirmed decision	2/7/2024	\$0
<i>Angelo Barnes v. Ofr. Christian Petrice, et al.</i>	1:22-cv-2298	Closed	Pro se Plaintiff claims excessive force in arrest led to broken foot and ankle. Plaintiff pled guilty to the charges that led to his arrest.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None	Court granted Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. Case dismissed without prejudice.	2/20/2024	\$0
<i>Street v. BPD, MCC</i>	1:23-cv-01400	MTD Pending	Plaintiff was driving a vehicle when she had a mental health incident that resulted in her engaged in an altercation with BPD officers. The Plaintiff claims that BPD officers slapped her and falsely placed her under arrest, and that she was granted a probation before judgment.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Deanna Efland v. BPD</i>	1:23-cv-01494	On Appeal	Plaintiff claims she suffered retaliation in violation of Title VII	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None	Court granted Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment. Plaintiff filed Motion to Alter Judgment.		
<i>Raymond Lloyd v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	1:23-cv-1987	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiff alleges violation of FMLA and FMLA retaliation.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

Name	No.	Case Status	Description	Monetary Demand	Injunctive Demand	Manner of Resolution	Date of Resolution	City Payment
<i>Wanda Johnson v. BPD</i>	1:23-cv-02215	On Appeal	Title VII employment action alleging discrimination on the basis of race, hostile work environment, and retaliation, violation of Section 1981/83 Monell	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	Yes	Court granted Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment. Plaintiff filed appeal to Fourth Circuit		
<i>Gaither v. Sam's Club, et al.</i>	24-C-23-004004	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiff alleges police misconduct.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Fontaine Smallwood v. MCCB</i>	1:23-cv-02891	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Kelly Jordan v. BPD, MCC -</i>	1:23-cv-03413	MTD Pending	Plaintiff is personal representative of individual killed in an incident with BPD. Plaintiff claims that the deceased was in the midst of a mental health crisis when police were called to his home. He barricaded himself inside and negotiations with BPD followed. When he fired upon a police drone, the BPD SWAT team allegedly entered the home and the individual was killed in gun fire.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Melvin Thomas v. BPD, et al.</i>	1:23-cv-03379	MTD Pending	This is a 23-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Plaintiff alleges the Defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and maliciously prosecuted him for these crimes, through witness coercion. Plaintiff also fled a Monell claim against BPD.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Carlene Jones, Talease Gaither, Sharonda Finch v. BPD, et al</i>	1:24-cv-00652	MTD Pending	3 Plaintiffs alleging § 1983 claims arising out of a police pursuit accident. March 3, 2021, officers attempted to initiate traffic stop on a Van on N. Gay street, when it took off and caused a 5 car accident at the intersection of N Gay and Orleans. Plaintiffs were bystanders in one of the other vehicles. PLFs allege officers violated rights by pursuing suspect against policy.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Anthony Hall v. BPD, et a.</i>	1:24-cv-01137	Discovery Underway	This is a 25-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Plaintiff alleges the Defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and maliciously prosecuted him for these crimes, through witness coercion. Plaintiff was released from prison after two eye witnesses recanted, and a writ of actual innocence was subsequently granted. Plaintiff also fled a Monell claim against BPD.	\$15 million	None			
<i>Tillery v. Baltimore City et al</i>	1:21-cv-01067	MTD Pending	Lawsuit brought on behalf of decedent, who was allegedly killed by members of a joint federal task force - that included various members of defendant police departments - when he was exiting his apartment with his hands raised in the act of surrendering to law enforcement.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	Declaration that Defendants violated decedent's rights.			
<i>Kenneth Price v. MCCB/BPD et al.</i>	24-C-23-004189	MTD Pending	Plaintiff claims that BPD officers falsely arrested him as a suspect in a carjacking and robbery case, even though they had video evidence that showed he was not involved.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Steven Melton v. BPD, et al.</i>	1:23-cv-01514	MTD Pending	Plaintiff claims that BPD improperly impounded his vehicle and then auctioned it off without permission or notice.	\$100,000.00	None			
<i>Kimberly Glanville v. MCC/BPD</i>	1:23-cv-03395	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
- Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

Name	No.	Case Status	Description	Monetary Demand	Injunctive Demand	Manner of Resolution	Date of Resolution	City Payment
<i>Timothy Claridy v. USA, et al</i>	24-cv-01098	MTD Pending	Malicious Prosecution based complaint - alleging he was wrongfully imprisoned for 14 years, beginning in 2007, primarily by Gladstone - who was working DEA at the time, so claims are against US as well	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Gary Jones v. Farr, et al.</i>	1:24-cv-01570	MTD Pending	Plaintiff claims that BPD officers falsely arrested him and violated his Fourth Amendment rights.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Marquita Echols v. BPD</i>	C-24-cv-24-001503	MTD Pending	Plaintiff claims that BPD officers kidnapped her and repeatedly sexually assaulted her.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Dominick Carter, et al. v. Molock, et al.</i>	1:24-cv-02648	MTD Pending	Plaintiff claims that BPD officers manufactured probable cause, ignored eyewitness testimony, and otherwise falsely arrested him. Plaintiff alleges that he was improperly incarcerated for over 200 days.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Labor and Employment Practice Group -

Name	No.	Case Status	Description	Monetary Demand	Injunctive Demand	Manner of Resolution	Date of Resolution	City Payment
<i>Roberta Hines v. M&CC</i>	22-cv-1243	Appeal Pending	Plaintiff alleges claims of sexual harassment, retaliation and ADA	\$171,000	None	MSJ granted on 11/6/24. Plaintiff filed appeal in 4th Cir.	Appeal Pending	
<i>Latonya Bryant</i>	21-cv-545	Appeal	Plaintiff alleges violations of ADA, FMLA, ADEA, Retaliat	\$975k	Reinstatement	MSJ granted - P appeal	Appeal Pending	
<i>Idris Abdus-Shahid v. M&CC</i>	22-cv-02367	MSJ Pending	Plaintiff alleges retaliation based on race and protected activity	Unspecified	Injunctive relief	Pending		
<i>Nicole Tynes v. M&CC et al.</i>	22-cv-1452	MSJ Pending	Plaintiff alleges disability discrimination and retaliation.	Unspecified	Declaratory relief establishing that Defendant violated Title VII	MSJ granted	3/31/2025	
<i>Andre Johnson v. M&CC</i>	22-cv-3268	MSJ Pending	Plaintiff alleges race discrimination and retaliation	Unspecified	Reinstatement	Pending		
<i>Mitchell Waters v. M&CC</i>	1:23-cv-01178	MSJ Pending	Discrimination based on race, hostile work environment, Section 1983 claim and MD Fair Employment Practices Act	\$500,000	Declaratory judgment and injunctive relief	MSJ granted	1/28/2025	
<i>Philip Waclawski v. M&CC</i>	24-cv-24-001707	Discovery Pending	Plaintiff alleges disability discrimination and retaliation	Unspecified	None	Discovery Phase		



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Abell Foundation v. Baltimore Development Corp., et al.</i>	ACM-REG-1890-2022	Favorable decision.	Plaintiff seeking release of privileged and otherwise protected documents concerning PILOT agreements concerning Harbor East property.	none	Release of confidential and privileged documents.	Favorable decision in ACM affirming that confidential and privileged materials were properly withheld.	8/3/2024	\$0
<i>Brown v. Nguyen</i>	ACM-REG-1274-2024	Dismissed.	Allegation of police misconduct in failing to intervene in assault.	Unspecified damages in excess of \$75,000	None	Appealed dismissed sua sponte by Court on technical grounds.	2/15/2025	\$0
<i>Demetric Simon v. Keith Gladstone</i>	23-1431	Favorable decision in 4th Circuit; rehearing denied.	Police misconduct claims barred by statute of limitations.	\$9,999,000	None	Favorable decision in the Fourth Circuit affirming dismissal; rehearing denied.	3/6/2025 (decision); 4/1/2025 (rehearing)	\$0
<i>Eric Rich v. Daniel Hersl</i>	23-6775	In briefing	Pro se appellant alleging police misconduct appeals judgment against him.	\$9,999,000	None			
<i>Estate of Trina L. Cunningham v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	23-1467	Neutral dismissal.	Wrongful death lawsuit preempted by workers compensation statute.	\$100,000,000	None	Remanded back to trial court because claims against one defendant not resolved.	12/10/2024	\$0



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Gary Washington v. Thomas Pelligrini</i>	23-1566	Unfavorable decision in 4th.	Judgment against plaintiff in wrongful conviction lawsuit on basis of collateral estoppel.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None	Fourth Circuit reversed summary judgment against plaintiff, ruling that collateral estoppel did not apply.	1/6/2025	\$0
<i>In Re Petition of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	ACM-REG-0340-2024	Awaiting decision	Media plaintiff alleges that MPIA required disclosure from Ethics Board	none	Production of subpoenaed list of names			
<i>In Re Petition of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	ACM-REG-0371-2024	Awaiting decision	Media plaintiff alleges that MPIA required disclosure from Ethics Board	none	Production of subpoenaed list of names			
<i>In the Matter of the Petition of The York Road Partnership, et al</i>	ACM-REG-0861-2023	Favorable decision.	Dispute of zoning decision.	None	Reversal of zoning decision.	Favorable trial court judgment affirmed on appeal.	7/18/2024	\$0
<i>In the Matter of the Petition of Hunter Cochrane</i>	SCM-PET-0164-2024	City prevailed in ACM. Appellant's cert petition denied by SCM	Dispute of zoning decision.	None	Reversal of zoning decision.	SCM denied Appellant's cert petition for review of the ACM's favorable decision affirming decision of BMZA.	8/29/2024	\$0
<i>Keith Bradford v. Maryland State Board of Education</i>	ACM-REG-0209-2023	Decision against the plaintiffs seeking additional funding.	School funding dispute.	Unspecified.	Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief against State regarding school funding, and the State asserts rights against City	Affirmation of the circuit court's decision to terminate the case.	11/12/2024	\$0
<i>Kenneth McPherson v. Robert Patton</i>	24-2143	Awaiting argument date or decision	Wrongful conviction plaintiffs appeal judgment against them for lack of evidence of police misconduct.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None			
<i>Kenneth McPherson v. Robert Patton</i>	23-1938	Neutral dismissal.	Wrongful conviction plaintiffs appeal judgment against them for lack of evidence of police misconduct.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None	Appealed dismissed sua sponte by Court on technical grounds.	10/15/2024	\$0



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Kerron Andrews v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	18-1953	On limited remand for further factfinding in district court; no violations found by district court on remand; awaiting further action by Fourth Circuit	Andrews sued the police department and individual officers who used a cell-site simulator to locate Andrews's cell phone and, thus, him, to execute a warrant for his arrest for attempted murder. The district court granted summary judgment against Andrews, but the Fourth Circuit ordered a limited remand for further factfinding before ruling on the propriety of the district court's ruling	\$100,000+	Injunction prohibiting use of cell-site simulators			
<i>Lambert, et al. v. BCBSC and MCCB</i>	ACM-REG-0255-2024	Awaiting decision	City appealing denial of motion to quash deposition of high officials in suit alleging misconduct by schools and health department.	\$100,000+	Injunction to prevent Defendants from providing certain contraception to students.			
<i>MCCB v. Jamie Wallace</i>	CSA-REG-1644-2022 / SCM-REG-12-2024	Awaiting argument in SCM	Plaintiff was injured while riding a bike in City park. State law grants immunity against such suits in parks, but the trial court treated the park like a street instead. The City seeks a reversal of the jury award.	\$100,000 jury award.				
<i>Nicholson v. Durant</i>	24-1789	In briefing	Judgment against a BPD officer for an off-duty incident that occurred outside of Baltimore, for which the plaintiff asserts BPD is liable. The individual defendant found liable has appealed.	Jury award of \$250,000	None			
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. Baltimore Police Dept., et al.</i>	23-2293; 24-1052	Favorable decision in 4th Circuit; cert petition to SCOTUS filed.	Plaintiff sued City, police department, and various public servants alleging misconduct in responding to MPIA requests. Federal trial court dismissed case as failing to state a claim. Plaintiffs appealed.	Unspecified damages, costs and attorneys' fees.	Injunction requiring immediate production of requested documents (regardless of privilege, expense, or privacy laws to the contrary), requiring fee waivers not statutorily mandated, requiring changes to budget and staffing levels to allow immediate responses to massive document requests, imposing sanctions, threatening contempt, and various other declaratory relief.	Fourth Circuit affirmed judgment of trial court in favor of the City. SCOTUS cert petition pending.	12/20/2024	\$0
<i>Reece v. MCCB</i>	ACM-REG-1882-2022	Awaiting decision	Plaintiff injured in City park. Jury found in favor of City. Plaintiff challenges evidentiary ruling on appeal.	In excess of \$75,000				
<i>Roberta Hines v. MCCB</i>	24-2208	In briefing	Plaintiff appealing judgment against her claims of sexual harassment, retaliation and ADA violations.	\$171,000	None			
<i>Roche v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>	CSA-REG-1323-2021	Favorable decision.	Challenge to immunity-based dismissal of BPD officer in wrongful death suit where plaintiff alleges officer should have physically detained her son sooner, before he had a chance to self-harm.	In excess of \$75,000		ACM affirmed judgment of the trial court.	9/17/2024	\$0
<i>Rowena Simmons v BPD, et al.</i>	24-1019	Awaiting decision	Allegations of police pursuit of a stolen vehicle resulting in automotive injury and fatality. Plaintiffs appeal federal trial court's dismissal.	Unspecified damages in excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>Sanjeev Varghese v. MCCB</i>	ACM-REG-0720-2023/SCM-REG-0003-2025	Unfavorable decision in ACM; City cert petition granted by SCM.	Plaintiff claims he was severely injured after he rode a bike into a stationary object. City appealing that it was not granted judgment as a matter of law.	Jury award of \$400,000				



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Snyder, et al. v. PDL Pratt Street Assoc. and MCCB</i>	ACM-REG-2068-2022	City loss in ACM, cert petition denied by SCM.	Plaintiff claims he was severely and permanently injured after he tripped and fell on an uneven paver in front of the Chik-Fil-A on Pratt Street. City appealing that it was not granted judgment as a matter of law.	Jury award of \$400,000		Unfavorable decision in ACM affirming verdict against City. City certiorari petition denied by SCM.	7/25/2024	\$463,325 (jury award plus post-judgment interest)
<i>Welai Grant v. Baltimore Police Dept.</i>	24-1165	Favorable decision.	Plaintiff alleges that she was subject to various adverse actions in her employment with BPD due to racial and gender discrimination.	\$10,000,000	Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct	Judgement in favor of BPD affirmed on appeal.	8/29/2024	\$0
<i>Wanda Johnson v. Baltimore Police Dept.</i>	25-1124	In briefing	Title VII employment action alleging discrimination on the basis of race, hostile work environment, and retaliation, violation of Section 1981/83 Monell	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	Yes			
<i>Mitchell Waters v. M&CC</i>	TBD	Awaiting docketing	Discrimination based on race, hostile work environment, Section 1983 claim and MD Fair Employment Practices Act	\$500,000	Yes			
<i>Roberta Hines v. M&CC</i>	24-2208	Awaiting decision	Plaintiff alleges claims of sexual harassment, retaliation and ADA	\$171,000				



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Affirmative Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Recovery*</u>
<i>In re: Lipitor Antitrust</i>	3:12-cv-02389-PGS-DEA		Antitrust case against Pfizer for colluding to keep generic from	In excess of \$100,000				
<i>MCCB v. Bank of America, et al</i>	1:19-cv-02667	Discovery Underway	Antitrust case against several banks for colluding to fix rates on City's	TBD				
<i>MCCB v. AstraZeneca</i>	1:20-cv-01090-CFC		Antitrust case for colluding to keep generic Seroquel off the market	In excess of \$100,000				
<i>MCC v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.</i>	24-C-18-000515	Jury verdict for the City in the amount of \$266m. Abatement trial held. Awaiting ruling on abatement and defense post-trial motions	Purdue and other opioid manufacturers/ distributors flooded the market with their product, encouraged overprescribing, and neglected their monitoring duties.	TBD		Settled with all but two defendants, who were found liable after trial	Ongoing	Approximately \$670m so far
<i>MCC v. BP PLC et al.</i>	24-C-18-004219	City appealing dismissal of its claims	BP and other fossil fuel companies knew of climate change dangers posed by their products, covered it up, and suppressed competition from energy alternatives	TBD				
<i>MCC v. Juul Labs, Inc.</i>	20STCV21633	Settled	Juul marketed their vaping products to minors	TBD		Settlement	8/1/2024	\$8m
<i>MCC v. Monsanto</i>	1:19-cv-00483	settled	Monsanto knowingly manufactured "forever chemicals" that pollute City waterways	TBD		City received an extra \$2.089m in special needs funds		Just over \$10m
<i>MCC v Janssen</i>	1:19-cv-00605	Transferred and consolidated in New Jersey	Antitrust case for colluding to keep generic Zytiga from market	In excess of \$100,000				
<i>MCC v Polymer80 et al</i>		Stayed	Polymer80 created a nuisance by selling illegal ghost gun kits	In excess of \$75k	yes	Settled for 1.2m and injunctive relief; case pending against Hanover Armory		
<i>MCC v Eli Lilly</i>		Complaint filed; case transferred to NJ	Price fixing re insulin	Excess of \$75k				

* NOTE: The Affirmative Litigation Practice Group represents the City as a plaintiff alleging that money is owed to the City. Sums indicated in this column reflect amounts recovered on behalf of the City.



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Affirmative Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Recovery*</u>
<i>MCC v 3M et al</i>		Case transferred to MDL; City rejected global settlement	Suit for water pollution from PFAS chemicals	Excess of \$75k				
<i>MCCB v. DraftKings/FanDuel</i>		Complaint filed	Suit under consumer protection ordinance for unfair/deceptive trade practices	TBD	Yes			
<i>MCCB v. Glock</i>		Complaint filed	Public nuisance/state law suit against Glock for having firearms easily convertible to automatic weapons	TBD	Yes			
<i>In re: Grace Ocean</i>		Claim filed	Suit against the owner/operator of the vessel that struck the Key Bridge	TBD	No			
<i>MCCB v. Phillip Morris et al</i>		Defense MTD pending	Suit against Big Tobacco for cigarette filter pollution	TBD	Yes			
<i>MCCB v. PepsiCo et al</i>		Defense MTD pending	Suit against plastics manufacturers/distributors for microplastics pollution	TBD	Yes			

* NOTE: The Affirmative Litigation Practice Group represents the City as a plaintiff alleging that money is owed to the City. Sums indicated in this column reflect amounts recovered on behalf of the City.