



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Affirmative Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Recovery*</u>
<i>In re: Lipitor Antitrust</i>	3:12-cv-02389-PGS-DEA		Antitrust case against Pfizer for colluding to keep generic from	In excess of \$100,000				
<i>MCCB v. Bank of America, et al</i>	1:19-cv-02667	Discovery Underway	Antitrust case against several banks for colluding to fix rates on City's	TBD				
<i>MCCB v. AstraZeneca</i>	1:20-cv-01090-CFC		Antitrust case for colluding to keep generic Seroquel off the market	In excess of \$100,000				
<i>MCC v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.</i>	24-C-18-000515	MTD Ripe	Purdue and other opioid manufacturers/ distributors flooded the market with their product, encouraged overprescribing, and neglected their monitoring duties.	TBD				
<i>MCC v. BP PLC et al.</i>	24-C-18-004219	Remanded from SCOTUS to 4th Circuit	BP and other fossil fuel companies knew of climate change dangers posed by their products, covered it up, and suppressed competition from energy alternatives	TBD				
<i>MCC v. Juul Labs, Inc.</i>	20STCV21633	Scheduling Orders Pending	Juul marketed their vaping products to minors	TBD				
<i>Transdev Inc. v. MCC</i>	1-18-0003-6395 (arbitration)	Settled after favorable motions ruling from arbitrator	Transdev (former operator of Circulator) breached its contract with the City and was overpaid			Settlement	May 2021	\$4.1 million
<i>MCC v. Monsanto</i>	1:19-cv-00483	Preliminarily settled/awaiting court approval	Monsanto knowingly manufactured "forever chemicals" that pollute City waterways			Preliminarily settled/awaiting court approval		\$7.5 million (pending court approval) with the opportunity to petition for additional funds after 1 year
<i>MCC v Janssen</i>	1:19-cv-00605	Transferred and consolidated in New Jersey	Antitrust case for colluding to keep generic Zytiga from market	In excess of \$100,000				

* NOTE: The Affirmative Litigation Practice Group represents the City as a plaintiff alleging that money is owed to the City. Sums indicated in this column reflect amounts recovered on behalf of the City.



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Baltimore Police Department v. David Esteppe</i>	CSA-REG-3128-2018; COA-REG-47-2020	Favorable COA decision; remanded to circuit court	Police scope of employment case. Seeking to impress a love interest, a BPD officer filed a fraudulent application for a search warrant that resulted in a search of the plaintiff's home and his arrest. The plaintiff obtained a \$167,000 judgment against the officer, and the circuit court ruled that the BPD is liable for that amount because the officer was acting within the scope of his employment. BPD appealed, and CSA vacated circuit court decision and remanded. COA granted cert in both petition and cross-petition.	\$167,000	None	CSA reversed the circuit court in a reported decision 8/27/2020; COA heard argument on 4/8/2021; COA affirmed CSA's decision to vacate lower court order, remanded for further proceedings.	8/25/2021	
<i>Baltimore Police Department v. Dominique Wiggins</i>	CSA-REG-1229-2020	Favorable CSA decision; disciplinary charges may proceed	Police discipline action dismissed by circuit court for alleged LEOBR violation. BPD has appealed.	None	Dismissal of police disciplinary action	CSA reversed the circuit court's dismissal of disciplinary charges in an unreported opinion.	10/18/2021	
<i>Baltimore Police Department v. Wanda Johnson</i>	CSA-REG-1230-2020	Favorable CSA decision; disciplinary charges may proceed	Police discipline action dismissed by circuit court for alleged LEOBR violation. BPD has appealed.	None	Dismissal of police disciplinary action	CSA reversed the circuit court's dismissal of disciplinary charges in an unreported opinion.	10/18/2021	
<i>Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. v. Director, Department of Finance of Baltimore City</i>	SCOTUS Pet. No. 21-219	Awaiting decision on cert petition to SCOTUS	Clear Channel challenges the City's billboard tax as a violation of the company's First Amendment free speech rights. The Tax Court, circuit court, Court of Special Appeals, and Court of Appeals have each rejected Clear Channel's claim.	\$6,000,000+	Invalidation of the billboard tax			
<i>The Council of Unit Owners of The Millrace Condominium, et al v. City Planning Commission, et al.,</i>	CSA-REG-131-2021	Being briefed in CSA	Dispute of zoning decision.	None	Reversal of zoning decision.			
<i>Energy Policy Advocates v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	CSA-REG-1059-2020	Favorable decision in CSA; plaintiff seeking cert from COA	Plaintiff seeking release of privileged and otherwise protected documents concerning lawsuit against fossil fuel companies.		Release of protected documents.	CSA affirmed circuit court judgment in favor of city; plaintiff asking COA to review		
<i>Gisell Paula, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	CSA-REG-1272-2020	Awaiting decision in CSA	Plaintiffs alleged the denial of the "right of protection of a civilian review board" and sought to enjoin the City's Law Department and Office of Equity and Civil Rights from providing administrative assistance and legal counsel to the Civilian Review Board. The circuit court found that the plaintiffs lacked standing to sue, and dismissed the lawsuit.		Injunction mandating how the Civilian Review Board is organized and operates			
<i>Guest v. MCCB</i>	CSA-REG-457-2021	Awaiting briefing in CSA	Plaintiff alleges injuries after his car slid on ice caused by a leaking water meter and crash into a house. Dispute over application of LGTCA to scenario where alleged joint tortfeasor has settled.	\$400,000	None			
<i>In the matter of Petition of Guilford Ave. LLC</i>	CSA-REG-0549-2021	Being briefed in CSA	Dispute of zoning decision.	None	Reversal of zoning decision.			
<i>Kathy Hudson, et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>	CSA-REG-2346-2019	Mixed CSA decision	The circuit court vacated and remanded the City Council's approval of the Overlook PUD. The City is appealing the part of the ruling in which the circuit court found that the PUD was governed by the PUD provisions of the former zoning code but the height regulations of the current zoning code		Cancellation of \$40 million, 148-unit apartment building planned near Falls Road and Northern Parkway (approved by City Council in 2017)	CSA affirmed the circuit court's decision in all regards, including those both favorable and unfavorable to the City	9/16/2021	



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Kerron Andrews v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	18-1953	On limited remand for further factfinding in district court; Fourth Circuit has retained jurisdiction	Andrews sued the police department and individual officers who used a cell-site simulator to locate Andrews's cell phone and, thus, him, to execute a warrant for his arrest for attempted murder. The district court granted summary judgment against Andrews, but the Fourth Circuit ordered a limited remand for further factfinding before ruling on the propriety of the district court's ruling	\$100,000+	Injunction prohibiting use of cell-site simulators			
<i>Legends Sales And Marketing LLC, et al v. Arena Ventures LLC</i>	CSA-REG-0041-2020/COA-PET-99-2021	Unfavorable decision from CSA; cert petition to COA denied.	Contract dispute over billboards on the City arena. The City has already been found liable; the only remaining issue is the measure of damages, i.e., how much the billboard structures are worth. The circuit court ordered the City to pay about \$1.45 million. The City appeals that ruling.	\$1,457,264	None	Unfavorable decision from CSA; cert petition to COA denied.	8/2/2021	TBD
<i>Marquis Foster v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	CSA-REG-1666-2019	Favorable CSA decision	Plaintiff sought \$1 million for alleged false arrest and battery by BPD officers. The circuit court dismissed the lawsuit, and the plaintiff appealed.	\$1,000,000	None	CSA affirmed circuit court dismissal.	7/30/2021	\$0
<i>Mayor and City Council of Baltimore City v. Sade Barnett</i>	CSA-REG-2601-2019	Favorable CSA decision	Personal injury action. Plaintiff alleged that she fell after tripping on a loose water meter cover and injured her right foot, which went into the water meter vault. City appeals multiple issues.	\$293,000 plus interest	None	CSA reversed the circuit court's failure to award judgment as a matter of law to the City for lack of evidence of prior notice of the defect.	10/20/2021	\$0
<i>Mayor And City Council of Baltimore City v. Friends of Gwynns Falls/Leakin Park, Inc., et al</i>	CSA-REG-1274-2020	Awaiting decision in CSA	In dispute over the franchise fee charged for a pipeline through a park, the circuit court refused to quash a notice to depose the former Mayor and Comptroller. The City filed an interlocutory appeal.	None	The deposition of two high-ranking City officials regarding their deliberative processes.			
<i>Mayor and City Council of Baltimore v. AEG Live Mid-Atlantic, LLC ("Pier 6 II")</i>	CSA-REG-3056-2018	Favorable CSA decision	Action to recover damages related to a contract dispute involving Pier 6. AEG obtained an injunction from the circuit court against the City and was required to post \$750,000 bond to protect the City against damages resulting from the injunction. The injunction was in place and operational for more than a month before the CSA stayed it during the City's appeal of the injunction. Months later, AEG voluntarily dismissed the underlying action against the City, which caused the appeal of the injunction to be dismissed as moot. AEG then moved to release the bond, and the City moved to recover damages in excess of \$325,000 from the bond. The circuit court denied the City's request. The City appealed.	City is seeking \$325,000+	None	CSA reversed circuit court's grant of summary judgment against City; remanded matter to circuit court; parties discussing settlement.	7/29/2021	\$0
<i>Mayor and City Council of Baltimore v. Thornton Mellon LLC</i>	COA-REG-6-2021	Awaiting COA decision.	Tax sale purchaser purported to assign a foreclosure judgment to another entity, then obtained a court order that the City issue a deed in the name of the purported assignee. The City believes the assignment is invalid and has appealed the order to issue the deed in the purported assignee's name.		The issuance of a tax sale deed to what the City believes is the improper party	CSA affirmed unfavorable circuit court decision on 1/28/2021; COA granted City cert on 5/11/2021, heard argument on 10/7/2021.		
<i>Michael Harrison, et al., v. Marcus Johnson</i>	CSA-REG-1209-2020	Mixed CSA decision	Police discipline action dismissed by circuit court for alleged LEOBR violation. BPD has appealed.	None	Dismissal of police disciplinary action	CSA reversed the circuit court's dismissal of one disciplinary charge, but affirmed dismissal of other charges in an unreported opinion.	10/18/2021	
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. Baltimore Police Dept., et al.</i>	CSA-REG-122-2020	Awaiting CSA decision	Plaintiff seeking release of large amounts of privileged and otherwise protected documents without payment of statutorily provided fees.		Release of protected documents, and provision of copies without payment.			
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. The City of Baltimore, et al.</i>	CSA-REG-1058-2020	Favorable CSA decision	Plaintiff seeking release of privileged and otherwise protected documents concerning Civilian Review Board without payment of statutorily provided fees.		Release of protected documents, and provision of copies without payment.	CSA affirmed the circuit court's rejection of plaintiff's arguments.	8/6/2021	



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Robert Cherry, et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	COA-REG-36-2020	Mostly favorable COA decision	Class action where plaintiffs were members of police and fire pension system seeking damages for pension reformed enacted in 2010. As to claims of active members of plan, judgment entered in favor of City. As to approximately 1,500 retirees or retiree-eligibles of the plan, judgment entered against City in amount of \$31 million	\$100,000,000+		COA affirmed circuit court decision in all respects, including those both favorable and unfavorable to the City.	9/29/2021	\$ 35,280,990
<i>St. Michael's Media, Inc. v. Baltimore</i>	21-2158	In expedited briefing in 4th Circuit	The City has taken an interlocutory appeal to the 4th Circuit challenging the preliminary injunction issued by the federal trial court preventing the City from refusing to host a particular rally at the City-owned Pier Six Pavilion non-public concert venue due to security concerns.	None	The City asks the appellate Court to vacate the injunction of the trial court.			
<i>Verdessa McDougald v. Matthew Pow</i>	20-2313	Favorable 4th Circuit decision without argument	Appeal of the summary judgment granted to all defendants on the grounds that in-custody suicide was not foreseeable	Unspecified damages over \$100,000	None	4th Circuit affirmed favorable trial court judgment without requiring oral argument	7/20/2021	\$0
<i>Whitehall Mill LLC v. MCCB</i>	CSA-REG-408-2021	Motion to dismiss appeal granted in CSA.	Plaintiff appealing the same issue a second time in contradiction of relevant law.	\$1,000,000+	Awarding a historic tax credit for which Plaintiff did not qualify. Refund of taxes paid.	Motion to dismiss appeal granted	8/26/2021	\$0



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Labor and Employment Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Donald Dziwulski v. M&CC</i>	18-cv-00277	Expert Discovery Phase	Plaintiff claims he was denied a promotion because of his race - Caucasian	\$250K	None	Pending		
<i>Eric Goods v. M&CC</i>	19-cv-02519	Motion to Dismiss pending	Plaintiff claims he was subject to a hostile work environment b/c of his race and age.	Unspecified	None	Pending		
<i>Kohl Falling v. M&CC</i>	19-cv-01500	Discovery Phase	Plaintiff claims that she was subjected to sexually harassing conduct and retaliation.	Unspecified	None	Pending		
<i>Stanley Abler v. M&CC</i>	18-cv-03668	Summary Judgment Stage	Plaintiff claims the BCFD failed to accommodate his disability	Unspecified	None	Pending		
<i>Roselyn Hale v. M&CC</i>	20-cv-00503	Discovery Phase	Plaintiff claims she was sexually harassed by supervisor who touched her and wrote her songs.	\$450K	None	Pending		
<i>Kenneth Butler v. M&CC</i>	16-cv-03309	Discovery Phase	Class action suit herein plaintiffs claims the city failed to pay proper wages and overtime.	Millions	None	Tentative Settlement	Awaiting BOE	\$3.45 mil
<i>Ann Harvey v. Enoch Pratt</i>	20-cv-00874	Discovery Stage	Plaintiff alleges she was subjected to a hostile work environment on account of her age and race, and suffered retaliation for filing a prior lawsuit.	\$900K	None	Pending		
<i>Rebecca Ebaugh v. M&CC</i>	20-cv-00663	Motion to Dismiss pending	Plaintiff claims that Rec & Parks failed to accommodate her disability and violated the law by terminating her.	Unspecified	Bar continuing discrimination	Pending		
<i>Roberta Hines v. M&CC</i>	20-cv-01808	Discovery Phase	Plaintiff claims that she was paid a lesser salary than her male counterparts in violation of the Equal Pay Act.	Unspecified Back-pay	None	Pending		
<i>Vincent DeSantis v. M&CC</i>	20-cv-3165	Discovery Phase	Plaintiff claims he was discriminated against on account of his alleged disability, gender, age and race.	Unspecified	None	Pending		
<i>Dax Johnson v. M&CC</i>	24-C-20-000197	Motion to Dismiss	Plaintiff alleges he was discriminated on account of his religion following the city's refusal to add his spouse to his medical benefits plan.	\$2.5 Million	Yes - order city to add wife to benefits plan	City's Motion to Dismiss granted.	2/10/2021	0
<i>Michael Rafferty v. M&CC</i>	20-cv-3327	Pleading Stage	Plaintiff alleges that he was terminated after requesting FMLA and brought claims under the ADA discrimination and retaliation provisions.	122000	reinstatement	Pending		
<i>LaTonya Bryant v. M&CC</i>	21-cv-545	Partial Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiff alleges that she was terminated while on FMLA in violation of same and state and federal ADA laws. She also alleges age discrimination and retaliation.	\$	reinstatement	Pending		
<i>Larry Price v. M&CC</i>	24-C-21-000034	Judicial Review pending	The City filed a Petition for Judicial Review subsequent to Civil Service Commission reinstating employee for conduct unbecoming an employee and other violations of Civil Service Rule 56.	\$1 million	reinstatement	Tentative Settlement	Awaiting BOE	\$170k
<i>Jerrod Baker v. M&CC</i>	24-C-20-004653	Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiff alleges that he was discriminated against on account of his race and medical condition when he was expectantly terminated during his promotional probationary period.	Unspecified	reinstatement	Pending		
<i>Ray Gilmore v. F&P Retirement System</i>	20-cv-3506	Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiff alleges that he was terminated during his probationary period after reporting instances of alleged gender discrimination, and also claims that evidence of gender discrimination was palpable during his interview. His claims of gender discrimination are filed pursuant to state, federal and city code.	215968	None	Pending		
<i>Shaaron Phillips v. M&CC</i>	24-C-000646-OC	Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiff alleges that she complained on waste, fraud and abuse and that after doing so she was terminated from her employment.	230000	None	Tentative Settlement	Awaiting BOE	\$158,298
<i>George Connelly v. State of Maryland, Dept of Soc. Services</i>	21-cv-1068	Case voluntarily dismissed against city	Plaintiff alleges that he was harassed on account of his sexual orientation, employer asked about/disclosed his medical history, unlawfully terminated, denied an accommodation	Unspecified	reinstatement/accommodation	Voluntary dismissal	6/1/2021	0
<i>Nadine Young v. Mayor and City Council</i>	21-cv-996	Voluntary dismissal	Plaintiff claimed that she was sexually harassed and retaliated against after reporting claim	excess of \$450,000.00	None	Voluntary dismissal	6/6/2021	0



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Sabien Burgess v. Baltimore Police Department et al.</i>	15-cv-00834	Attorneys' Fee Petition Forthcoming	Appeal from large judgment in favor of man who was released from prison on petition for writ of actual innocence after spending 19 years in prison.	\$15,000,000 plus interest and attorneys fees	None			
<i>Kerron Andrews v. Baltimore City Police Department, et al.</i>	16-cv-02010	Discovery Underway	This lawsuit alleges that BPD officers used cell site simulator technology without a warrant or other court order, thus violating Plaintiff's rights. This resulted in Plaintiff's arrest and incarceration for 2 years. Plaintiff alleges BPD entered into an agreement with the creator of the cell simulator technology that BPD would not disclose the existence of the technology in exchange for access to the technology. Plaintiff alleges violations of his rights under the 4th and 14th Amendments to the U.S. Constitution and pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 as well as under the Maryland Constitution.	In excess of \$75,000	Permanent injunction that prohibits officers from using cell site simulator technology to track individuals without first obtaining a warrant that describes with reasonable particularity the location where the cell-site simulator may be activated			
<i>Steve Morse v. Justin Trojan, et al.</i>	17-cv-01331	Pending Trial	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about May 16, 2014, BPD officers arrived at his home and, without cause, seized his air rifle. Plaintiff further alleges that, in the same incident, he was violently tackled without provocation and unlawfully arrested.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None			
<i>Ashley Overbey, et al. v. MCC</i>	17-cv-01793	Closed	Alleges violation of Plaintiffs 1st Amendment rights via the enforcement of the non-disparagement clause included in Overbey's settlement agreement.	\$31,500 plus interest	Prohibit use of confidentiality clause in settlement agreements.	Judgment for Plaintiff	7/19/2021	\$31,500 plus interest; attorneys' fees of \$542,795.42



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Michelle Gross, et al. v. Francisco Hopkins, et al.</i>	17-cv-03479	MSJ Ripe	Plaintiffs sue four police officers and an unnamed confidential informant alleging multiple violations of their Federal Constitutional rights as the result of the execution of a search warrant at on October 25, 2015 at a home owned or occupied by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs allege the officers rushed into the home, pointed a gun at two of the plaintiffs, and ordered them into a room. Plaintiffs' phones were taken for the duration of the search. A canine unit searched Plaintiff Gross' car without a warrant and without permission. Plaintiffs claim that the warrant was issued based upon false information, and sue one officer for his alleged role in obtaining the warrant and executing it, the confidential informant that allegedly provided the false information, and the other officer defendants for their alleged roles in executing the warrant.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None			
<i>Darrius Kimbrough v. Tyler Sentz, et al.</i>	17-cv-03477	Case Stayed	Plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages as well as attorneys' fees for alleged violations of his Federal Constitutional rights. More specifically, Plaintiff claims that he was unlawfully detained and arrested on August 6, 2014 based on the officers' allegation that Plaintiff stole a car. The juvenile case against Plaintiff was dismissed. Plaintiff allegedly sustained unspecified physical injuries as the result of excessive force used during his arrest.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None			
<i>Shirley Johnson, et al v. Baltimore City Police Department et al.</i>	18-cv-02375	Discovery Underway	Plaintiffs represent the estate of Elbert Davis, Phosa Cain, and the estates of the deceased children of Elbert Davis and Phosa Cain. The Plaintiffs allege that two suspects were stopped by former GTTF officers. The officers in question had guns drawn, were wearing masks, and were driving unmarked vehicles. The suspects, believing that they were being robbed, fled the scene at a high rate of speed. During their attempt to flee the scene, the suspects ran a stop sign and crashed their vehicle into a third party causing the death of Elbert Davis and injuries to Phosa Cain.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	None			
<i>Alice Carson-Johnson v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	18-cv-03064	Closed	Title VII employment action alleging discrimination based on race, gender, and retaliation for engaging in protected activity. Plaintiff claims that all internal discipline that she faced was retaliatory, and that she was transferred to another division within BPD against her will.	In excess of \$75,000	None	MSJ Granted	9/2/2021	\$0
<i>Thomas Cassella vs Darryl DeSousa, et al</i>	24-C-18-005557	Closed	Defamation lawsuit filed against former Commissioner DeSousa, stemming from a decision not to hire Plaintiff as a deputy police commissioner.	\$275,000	None	Settlement	9/22/2021	\$70,000
<i>Jeffry Taylor v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	18-cv-03999	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that members of the BPD retaliated against Plaintiff after he reported alleged violations of BPD policy surrounding Sgt. Roepcke's decision to use the BPD's Marine Unit to remove an allegedly abandoned boat in the Baltimore harbor. The Boat was removed from the harbor between January and February of 2017. Plaintiff's complaint alleges retaliation in violation of Federal and State constitutional rights, as well as violations of the Law Enforcement Officers Bill of Rights.	\$1,500,000, and costs and attorneys' fees.	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Winston v. Haziminas et al</i>	19-cv-00026	MSJ Filed	Plaintiff alleges violations of his Federal and State Constitutional rights as well as common law claims. More specifically, Plaintiff alleges that on February 20-21, 2016, he was unlawfully arrested by the defendant officer at a club in Power Plant Live. The defendant officer was working approved, uniformed secondary employment at the time of Plaintiff's arrest. Plaintiff further alleges that the officer used excessive force in effectuating the arrest. That alleged use of force caused a severe shoulder injury, which required surgery to repair.	Compensatory damages and punitive damages in excess of \$75K as to each count, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees.	None			
<i>Dameon Shaw v. State of Maryland, et al.</i>	24-C-19-000294	MTD Pending	Plaintiff's convictions of multiple armed robberies were vacated upon the reversal of an evidentiary ruling. Plaintiff alleges that the individual officers, together with third party witnesses conspired to frame him and procure his unlawful arrest, detention and conviction.	In excess of \$75,000 in respect of each count, plus costs and attorney's fees	Plaintiff demands that defendants be enjoined from "any further or similar unlawful or unconstitutional acts"			
<i>Eva Tonin v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	19-cv-00323	Case Stayed	Title VII employment action alleging discrimination based on gender, national origin, hostile work environment, and retaliation for engaging in protected activity. Plaintiff claims that she has a disability under the ADA and that BPD is no longer accommodating the request, even though it had previously. Plaintiff also claims that she has been subjected to a retaliatory hostile work environment.	\$400,000, plus attorney's fees.	None			
<i>Estate of Bryant v. BPD</i>	19-cv-00384	Discovery Underway	This is a 17-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against BPD and two individual defendants. Mr. Bryant was exonerated for murder based on DNA evidence. The estate alleges that defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and manipulated eyewitness identification to cause his wrongful conviction. The estate also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	\$35 million (pre-suit notice letter)	None			
<i>Jerome Johnson v. BPD</i>	19-cv-00698	Discovery Complete	This is a 30-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. Johnson was convicted as an accessory to the murder of Aaron Taylor. Mr. Johnson alleges the Defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and maliciously prosecuted him for these crimes. Mr. Johnson also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	\$10 million (pre-suit notice letter)	None			
<i>Gary Washington v. BPD, et al.</i>	19-cv-02473	Discovery Underway	This is a 30-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. Washington was convicted for the murder of Faheem Ali based on the testimony of 1 minor child (Otis Robinson). Nearly 30 years later, Robinson recanted at a post-trial proceeding and Washington was released from jail. Washington alleges that defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and manipulated eyewitness identification to cause his wrongful conviction. Washington also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	\$62 million (pre-suit notice letter)	None			
<i>Jamal Wilson v. Donald Gaff</i>	19-cv-02587	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that on or about September 11, 2016 the Defendant stopped the car in which he was a passenger without cause, then assaulted Plaintiff for no reason.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	None			
<i>Shannon Noble v. Robert Lebrun, et al.</i>	24-C-19-004690	Complaint Served	Plaintiff claims she was unlawfully arrested on July 5, 2017 pursuant to a warrant that she claims was improperly issued (she claims that the warrant was actually for someone else's arrest). She alleges common law claims against the defendant detective.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None			
<i>Dennis Conyers v. Ryan Ernst</i>	24-C-19-004942	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about October 20, 2017, he was detained while getting into his vehicle and subsequently wrongfully arrested. He alleges that the criminal charges against him were ultimately resolved in a nolle prosequi disposition and asserts several state law torts.	\$75,000 as to each of 5 counts.	None	Settlement	10/5/2021	\$24,000



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Lorren Hayman v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	24-C-19-005641	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that she was falsely arrested, during the course of which she sustained injuries. She asserts several state law torts and violation of the Maryland Constitution.	In excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>Richard Gibbs Jr vs Jeffrey Melo</i>	24-C-19-005726	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff claims he was shot during a traffic stop for speeding, which occurred November 22, 2016. He was treated and released from the hospital. He claims that a gun was planted and he was arrested for its possession. He claims that he prevailed at trial as to all charges except driving without a license. Plaintiff alleges claims for State common law and constitutional claims.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None			
<i>Lauren Holmes, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	19-cv-03392	Settlement Pending	Civil rights claims arising from maintenance of crime scene in Harlem Park after shooting of Det. Sean Suiter.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief including various prohibitions on the establishment and maintenance of a crime scene and police interactions with persons coming and going from a crime scene			
<i>Carla Green v. Timothy Zuhoski</i>	24-C-20-000115	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff sued Officer Zuhoski for battery, false arrest, false imprisonment, violation of Art. 24 and Art. 26 of Maryland Constitution, Declaration of Rights. PO Officer had probable cause to believe that Plaintiff was intoxicated or impaired. Plaintiff failed Field Sobriety Test (FST) and was subsequently arrested. Plaintiff later passed breathalyzer and was released. Plaintiff sues for the following damages: compensatory, pain, suffer, punitive, and the like.	In excess of \$1,000,000	None			
<i>Estate Of Curtis Jamal Deal vs Detective David Kincaid</i>	20-cv-00799	MTD Pending	On Feb. 7, 2017, Det. Kincaid was in a foot pursuit of Plaintiff/Decedent, Curtis Deal after he bailed out of the left rear passenger door of a car that was driving erratically. As Mr. Deal continued to run from Det. Kincaid, the decedent turned around and pointed his weapon in the directions of the Detective. Det. Kincaid in fear of his life, fired his weapon fatally shooting the plaintiff/decedent multiple times. The decedent/plaintiff's estate is alleging that the plaintiff/decedent pleaded for medical attention multiple times which were ignored, which ultimately led to his death.	In excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>Rich v. Hersl, et al.</i>	20-cv-00488	Answer Filed	Plaintiff claims that a firearm was planted on him and he was unlawfully arrested on October 2, 2007. He claims that he was arrested in part because he filed an Internal Affairs complaint against one of the officers approximately one week before he was arrested. Plaintiff also alleges that the head of IAD did not properly investigate his complaint or discipline the officers involved in Plaintiff's arrest. Plaintiff alleges claims for Federal and State Constitutional violations.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million in punitive damages for each of 19 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. City of Baltimore, et al.</i>	24-C-20-001269	MSJ Ripe	Plaintiff alleges having made multiple requests for records to BPD and the City under the MPIA and that the responses were insufficient.	\$1,000 plus attorneys' fees	Plaintiff seeks to compel response to the MPIA request.			
<i>Kenisha Cutchember v. Sufraïn Hassan, et al.</i>	24-C-20-001617	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that, upon a second stop of her vehicle for equipment-related violations, she was detained and questioned, subjected to an illegal search, and her belongings removed from the vehicle. She alleges violations of the Maryland Constitution.	In excess of \$50,000	None			
<i>McPherson v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	20-cv-00795	Discovery Underway	This is a 24-year wrongful conviction suit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. McPherson and Mr. Simmons were convicted of the murder of Anthony Wooden, who was shot to death. They allege that police withheld witness statements and a confession from the real killer. They also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Leaders of a Beautiful Struggle v. Baltimore Police Dept., et al.</i>	20-cv-00929	Complaint Filed	Plaintiffs allege that the operation of the Aerial Investigation Research Pilot Program violates their First and Fourth Amendment rights.	Attorneys' fees and costs.	Declaratory judgment that the AIR Pilot Program is unlawful and violates the United States Constitution; Order enjoining BPD from operating the AIR program and requiring expungement of related records.			
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. City of Baltimore, et al.</i>	24-C-20-001956	MSJ Ripe	Plaintiff alleges having made multiple requests for records to BPD and the City under the MPIA and that the responses were insufficient.	\$1,000 in statutory damages as to each of 3 requests, plus costs and attorneys' fees.	Order Defendants to provide materials responsive to each of 3 MPIA requests; enter an injunction requiring Defendants to waive fees for each request.			
<i>James Handley v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	20-cv-01054	Complaint Served	Plaintiff is a former command member alleging race and gender discrimination in violation of Title VII. Plaintiff claims that he was removed from his position as Acting Inspector under Commissioner Davis and demoted to Major under Commissioner DeSousa and involuntarily transferred from the Recruitment Division to the Southwest District. Plaintiff alleges that DeSousa's goal was to replace all Caucasian male officers with African American female officers.	In excess of \$500,000 plus costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent Injunction directing BPD to remedy effects of discriminatory conduct and prevent same in the future.			
<i>Darnell Earl v. Taylor, et al.</i>	20-cv-01355	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on October 18, 2015, the car in which he was a passenger was unlawfully stopped by former GTTF members and that during the search, the officers planted a firearm. Plaintiff asserts that the planted firearm was the basis for fabricated firearms charges to which he pled guilty and was subsequently incarcerated for roughly 18 months. Plaintiff asserts federal constitutional violations as well as state law torts.	\$30,000,000	None			
<i>Tyshawn Trogon v. Andre N. Smith, et al.</i>	24-C-20-002977	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that he was a passenger in a stolen vehicle that was stopped by police. When the car stopped, Plaintiff alleges that he fled and was subsequently tased in an exercise of excessive force.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 5 counts; attorneys' fees.	None			
<i>David Dixon v. Leon Riley, et al.</i>	24-C-20-003326	Case Stayed	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about December 2, 2019, he was stopped and detained unlawfully by the officer defendants. He further alleges that he was subjected to excessive force and wrongfully arrested. Plaintiff asserts various state law torts.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 5 counts	None			
<i>Marjorie Traylor v. Mayor and City Council, et al.</i>	24-C-20-003407	Complaint Filed	Plaintiffs allege that, on or about August 10, 2017, the car in which Margaret Hall was driving was struck by a vehicle being pursued by police. Plaintiffs argue that, although no police vehicle struck the Plaintiffs, that officers seeking to apprehend the driver of the stolen vehicle caused the collision and that BPD's policies concerning pursuit are inadequate.	In excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>Chestnut, et al. v. Kincaid, et al.</i>	20-cv-02342	Discovery Underway	Plaintiffs allege that they were wrongfully convicted of the 1983 murder of DeWitt Duckett. Plaintiffs contend that their convictions arose from the improper investigative tactics of BPD members, which targeted plaintiffs in contravention of the evidence.	Not stated	None			
<i>Jawone D. Nicholson v. State of Maryland, et al.</i>	20-cv-03146	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about November 10, 2017, he was approached by a BPD officer who proceeded to question him aggressively and pointed a firearm at him without cause.	In excess of \$30,000 as to each of 11 counts; attorneys' fees and costs	None			
<i>Terrell Corbitt v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	20-cv-03431	Complaint Served	Plaintiff alleges that on or about December 15, 2017, BPD officers were engaged in a vehicle pursuit during which gunfire was exchanged between the fleeing suspect and pursuing officers. Plaintiff alleges that he was struck during the exchange of fire and asserts federal civil rights and state law tort claims.	\$11,500,000 plus attorneys fees.	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Deanna Efland v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	20-cv-03503	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff, a BPD member, alleges that she was subjected to sex discrimination and retaliation in violation of Title VII.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	Declaratory judgment; order requiring BPD to initiated and implement systems to ensure that individuals who file internal EEO complaints are treated in a non-discriminatory manner			
<i>Gregory Lucas v. Joy Lynette Burkett, et al.</i>	24-C-20-005037	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges injuries in a vehicle collision at an intersection with a missing stop sign. Plaintiff alleges that the officer defendant knew of the missing sign in advance of the collision and negligently failed to procure its repair.	In excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>Henrietta Middleton v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	20-cv-03536	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about August 26, 2018, she was assaulted by a BPD member who then fabricated criminal charges against her. She asserts federal civil rights and several state tort claims.	\$20 million as to each of 9 counts	None			
<i>Enjonaë Baker v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	20-cv-03308	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that she was terminated from her employment with BPD in July 2014 in retaliation for her complaints that she had been sexually assaulted by a male BPD officer.	Not stated	Injunction directing BPD to remedy effects of discriminatory and retaliatory conduct.			
<i>Institute for Constitutional Advocacy and Protection v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	24-C-21-000162	Complaint Served	Plaintiff alleges deficiencies in BPD's response to and MPIA request.	Not stated	Declaratory judgment; injunction requiring production of records and fee waiver.			
<i>Donna Roche v. Mayor and City Council, et al.</i>	24-C-21-000919	Closed	Plaintiff, on behalf of Gokhan Oztas, alleges that, on or about March 30, 2018, Oztas was stopped by a police officer in the course of investigating a complaint. Mr. Oztas fled police custody and jumped into the harbor, where he ultimately drowned. Plaintiff alleges wrongful death and various negligence claims.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 4 counts	None	Dismissed	8/26/2021	\$0
<i>Kevron Evans, et al. v. Daniel Hersl, et al.</i>	24-C-21-000804	Complaint Served	Plaintiff alleges that on or about October 20, 2012 former GTTF officers planted CDS on his person and based on this falsified evidence he was wrongfully convicted.	In Excess of \$75,000 as to each of 10 counts	None			
<i>Derrick Anderson v. Evodio Hendrix, et al.</i>	24-C-21-001117	Complaint Served	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about June 30, 2016, he was falsely arrested based on evidence fabricated by the defendant officers. After pleading guilty to firearms charges arising from the encounter, Plaintiff was incarcerated for roughly 18 months before his conviction was vacated.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 7 counts	None			
<i>Faye Cottman, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	21-cv-00837	MTD Pending	Plaintiffs allege, on behalf of a putative class of "victims of serious assaults on or after April 1, 2018" that BPD unlawfully seized and withheld their property.	Not stated	Various declaratory and injunctive relief relating to cessation of allegedly unconstitutional practices and related training.			
<i>Rowena Simmons, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	21-cv-00969	Discovery Underway	Plaintiffs allege that, on or about March 21, 2020, the car in which they were driving was struck by a stolen vehicle, resulting in serious injury and death. Plaintiffs argue that, although no police vehicle struck the Plaintiffs, that officers seeking to apprehend the driver of the stolen vehicle caused the collision and that BPD's policies concerning pursuit are unconstitutional.	In Excess of \$75,000 as to each of 12 counts; costs and attorneys' fees	Complaint seeks unspecified injunctive relief.			
<i>Gabriella Tillery v. Baltimore City, et al.</i>	21-cv-01067	Complaint Served	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about February 12, 2020, Michael Marullo was killed by members of a joint federal task force comprised of members of various police departments, including BPD.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 8 counts; costs and attorneys' fees	Declaratory relief			
<i>Ivan Potts v. Jason DiPaola, et al.</i>	21-cv-01073	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about August 24, 2017, he was wrongfully arrested leading to his subsequent wrongful conviction.	\$350,000	Order requiring Plaintiff receive therapy			
<i>Sean Lewis, Jr. v. Chris Florio</i>	21-cv-01159	Complaint Served	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about May 15, 2018, he was engaged by the defendant officer who deployed his taser on Plaintiff and detained without justification.	\$2,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Tashawna Gaines v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	21-cv-01211	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges that she was discriminated against because of her race and retaliated against during her employment as a BPD member. She asserts various claims under Title VII and state law.	Back pay; \$10 million; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct			
<i>Danika Yampierre v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	21-cv-01209	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges that she was discriminated against because of her race and sex and retaliated against during her employment as a BPD member. She asserts claims under Title VII, as well as various theories under federal and state law.	Back pay; \$10 million; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct			
<i>Jasmin Rowlett v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	21-cv-01205	Complaint Served	Plaintiff, a BPD member, alleges that she was subjected to discrimination based on her sex and race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII along with other claims under state and federal law.	\$10,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct			
<i>Dyllan Hildebrand v. Dean McFadden, et al.</i>	24-C-21-002424	Complaint Served	Plaintiff alleges that, on June 1, 20220 he was present at a protest where he was struck by police officers and wrongfully prosecuted for failing to obey a lawful order.	In excess of \$75,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Training protocols to address conduct alleged			
<i>Robert Keyser v. Brandon Scott, et al.</i>	21-cv-01261	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about June 15, 2019, defendant officers unlawfully searched the trunk of his vehicle, recovering a firearm, and subsequently unlawfully arrested him. Plaintiff alleges that, during pretrial detention he lost employment, and various other injuries.	\$2,751,11; costs	None			
<i>Adam Litchfield v. Ronald Rinehart, et al.</i>	24-C-21-002579	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about June 1, 2021, police responded to a domestic dispute at his home. When he did not comply with the officers' requests, Plaintiff asserts that he was wrongfully arrested and, during his subsequent detention in Central Booking and Intake Center, denied his psychiatric medication.	In excess of \$75,000; \$1 million punitive damages; costs and attorneys' fees	None	Removal	8/18/2021	\$0



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Arena Ventures v. SMG, et al.</i>	03-C-13-002705	Cert denied. Amount of pre/post judgment interest in dispute	Plaintiff sued City operator of the Baltimore Arena for unjust enrichment and trespass to chattels for the use of the billboard structures attached to the building. City had agreed to defend and indemnify operator because City previously made representations that it owned the billboard structures when RFP to operate Arena went out. Plaintiff awarded damages of \$1.457M on 2/2/20.	\$5,000,000	None			
<i>CSX v. Spiniello and MCCB</i>	19-cv-02976	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges significant property damages to Curtis Bay Coal Terminal for two events in which raw sewage entered a water filtration system on the property. The first event was related to a sanitary overflow from a manhole. The second event was caused by Spiniello, which was acting as a City contractor performing sanitary system improvements.	\$1,900,000	None			
<i>Garcia, et al. v. MTA and MCCB</i>	24-C-19-002697	Discovery	Plaintiff and wife allege personal injuries and loss of consortium after Plaintiff tripped and fell due to a missing brick adjacent to the light rail station at Camden Yards.	\$400,000	None			
<i>Montague, et al. v. MCCB</i>	24-C-17-006619	Remanded to trial court	Plaintiffs filed wrongful death and survivorship actions after decedent crashed car on a curvy portion of Cold Spring Avenue.	\$200,000	None			
<i>Muse-Wallace v. Wood, et al.</i>	24-C-21-000868	Discovery	Plaintiff is the operator of a bus that was struck when a police car lost control on an icy road	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Friends of Gwynns Falls, et al. v. MCCB and BGE</i>	24-C-19-002271	Interlocutory appeal over depositions	Plaintiffs is suing the City and BGE over deal to allow gas pipeline to run through the park for \$2.4M	None	Renegotiation of gas pipeline franchise fee			
<i>Snyder, et al. v. PDL Pratt Associates, LLC and MCCB</i>	24-C-21-000218	Discovery	Plaintiff (and his wife) alleges serious injuries as a result of tripping on an uneven brick paver in front of Chik Fil A on Pratt Street	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Gellar, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-20-003754	Discovery	Plaintiffs are bringing a wrongful death action on behalf of minor child and survivorship action on behalf of decedent's estate. Decedent was killed after being struck by a City truck while crossing Orleans Street.	\$400,000	None			
<i>Arscott v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-20-002492	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges she tripped and fell on defective pavement in front of 100 E. Pratt Street, breaking her hip.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>J. Johnson v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-001060	Trial pending	Plaintiff alleges personal injuries after stepping into an open water meter vault.	\$150,000	None			
<i>Todman, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	19-cv-03296	Dispositive motions pending	Plaintiffs are challenging the Eviction Chattel Law governing the disposition of tenant property after a judicial determination	\$100,000+	Invalidation of law			
<i>Chae Bros, et al. v. MCCB</i>	17-cv-001757	Discovery	Approximately 65 plaintiff businesses owners are seeking damages under the riot Act for property destroyed during the Freddie Gray riots	\$10,000,000	None			
<i>St. Michael's Media, Inc. v. Baltimore</i>	21-cv-02337	Awaiting ruling on injunction hearing	Plaintiff is seeking injunctive relief against the City for refusing to allow a "prayer rally" at Pier VI on November 16.		Injunction requiring City to allow the rally			
<i>Beasley v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-21-000373	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges serious injuries after falling as a result of a sunken water meter cover.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Royal v. John</i>	24-C-19-003544	Discovery	Plaintiff claims that driver of City vehicle struck his car door while it was open causing it to spring back and injure him	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Barnett v. MCCB</i>	24-C-19-002520	Plaintiff verdict; appeal pending	Plaintiff claims to have tripped on a water meter cover causing her to fall and injure herself.	\$293,000 verdict	None			
<i>Guest v. MCCB</i>	03-C-20-003181	Appeal pending re: monetary cap	Plaintiff alleges injuries after his car slid on ice caused by a leaking water meter and crash into a house	\$400,000	None			
<i>Mincy v. MCCB</i>	24-C-19-005413	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges that a board from a City-owned vacant rowhouse blew off of the property and struck her in the head rendering her unconscious and suffering traumatic brain injuries	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Davies v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-002774	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges she was severely injured after stepping down of the curb into an uneven storm drain inlet	\$100,000+	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>McCulloch v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-003672	Motion for summary judgment pending	Plaintiff alleges she tripped and fell on a defective sidewalk.	\$100,000	None			
<i>Berlin v. MCCB, et al.</i>	03-C-21-000917	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges serious injuries from falling on a recessed water meter cover.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Thorton Mellon, et al. v. MCCB</i>	24-19-003719	Discovery	Plaintiffs are seeking to have the City's deed execution fee in conjunction with tax sales declared unconstitutional		Invalidation of fee			
<i>Denver Elek, Inc. v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-005090	Discovery	Plaintiff claims it is owed \$159,963.13 for outstanding invoices for HVAC and plumbing work performed at City buildings.	\$159,963	None			
<i>Willowbrook Apartment Associates, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	20-cv-01818	Plaintiff obtained summary judgment on 1 of 11 counts. City prevailed on other 10. Damages phase of action	Plaintiffs, numerous landlords in Baltimore City, City of Salisbury and Howard County, have sued the City and the other jurisdictions seeking monetary and injunctive relief over ordinances passed to prohibit rent increases during the COVID emergency and until 90 days after the emergency is lifted by the Governor.	presently undetermined but includes attorney's fees	Invalidation of Act; injunction against enforcement of Act			
<i>Estate of B. Johnson v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-20-002678	Discovery	Plaintiff, now deceased, alleges she fell into a hole outside her home causing injuries.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Peterson v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-003607	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges personal injuries after stepping into an open water meter vault.	\$100,000	None			
<i>Armstrong-Green v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-21-000913	Discovery	Plaintiff claims to have tripped and fell on a raised sidewalk.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>CMDS Residential, LLC v. MCCB</i>	21-cv-01774	Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiff is the owner of a property on Harford Road previously used as assisted living and wants to use it as a substance abuse rehab facility. Plaintiff is challenging the City's denial of a use and occupancy permit, claiming violations of the ADA, Fair Housing Act and 14th Amendment.	\$2,000,000	Injunction requiring City to issue use and occupancy permit for intended use			
<i>Adkins v. MCCB</i>	21-cv-01810	Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiff alleges negligence and constitutional violations after his property was demolished without notice or just compensation.	\$627,500	None			
<i>Duffy v. MCCB</i>	24-C-19-002985	Settlement pending	Plaintiff claims to have tripped and fell on a sidewalk crack near the Baltimore Convention Center.	\$375,000	None	Settlement pending BOE approval		\$95,000
<i>RWN-200 East Lexington Street, LLC v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-001153	Closed	Plaintiff seeks compensation claiming that it was overbilled for water at its property over several years	\$500,000+	Put hold on all current and future water bills, correct water bills	Settlement	8/27/2021	\$15,000
<i>C. Roane v. MCCB</i>	24-C-18-005723	Closed	Plaintiff sued for the wrongful death of her son who was run over by a large utility truck. This case is consolidated with L. Roane, et al. v. Laprade.	\$100,000+	None	Settlement approved by BOE	9/1/2021	\$55,000
<i>Hancock, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-20-000676	Favorable ruling at CSA (9/30/21)	Plaintiffs filed wrongful death and survivorship actions against the City related to the death of young man who was working for a City contractor doing repair work for Rec and Parks. Decedent killed when a trench collapsed on him. City has tendered defense to the contractor's liability carrier.	\$800,000	None	Summary judgment affirmed on appeal	9/30/2021	\$0
<i>Kirkner v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-001849	Closed	Plaintiff alleges he was injured after falling due to missing bricks near the light rail line on Howard Street near the Geppi Museum	\$100,000+	None	Motion for summary judgment granted	7/19/2021	\$0



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Edwards v. MCCB</i>	20-cv-01389	Closed	Plaintiff alleges the City committed a "taking" of his property by rezoning it as Open Space.	\$1,000,000+	None	Settlement approved by BOE	8/11/2021	\$595,000 - City will receive \$250,000 reimbursement from State Capital Budget for acquisition of Plaintiff's property for a public greenspace
<i>Cherry, et al. v. MCCB</i>	24-C-16-004670	Closed	Class action where plaintiffs were members of police and fire pension system seeking damages for pension reforms enacted in 2010. As to claims of active members of plan, judgment entered in favor of City. As to approximately 1,500 retirees or retiree eligibles of plan, judgment entered against City in amount of \$31M	\$100,000,000+		Judgment affirmed on appeal	9/29/2021	\$ 35,280,990
<i>Blair v. MCCB</i>	24-C-19-005120	Closed	Plaintiff was jogging and tripped on an uneven sidewalk causing injuries.	\$100,000+	None	Settlement approved by BOE	7/14/2021	\$ 140,000
<i>Lockwood, et al. v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-002497	Closed	Plaintiff and his spouse allege that a City water maintenance crew left a large hole in the street unprotected and that he suffered traumatic brain injuries after driving into the hole.	\$100,000+	None	Settlement approved by BOE	9/15/2021	\$ 245,000
<i>James v. BGE and MCCB</i>	24-C-21-000222	Closed	Plaintiff was seriously injured in the Labyrinth Road natural gas explosion and is claiming the City was grossly negligent in its rental property permitting process.	\$50,000,000	None	Motion to dismiss granted	7/19/2021	\$ -
<i>1228 Durst Street, LLC v. MCCB et al.</i>	24-C-20-002481	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that its rowhouse structure, an investment property, was negligently damaged and then razed during the razing of an adjoining property by the City's contractor. The agreement with the contractor requires it to indemnify and insure the City.	\$100,000	None	Contractor's insurer has accepted City's tender for defense and indemnification	9/27/2021	\$ -