



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>CSX v. Spiniello and MCCB</i>	19-cv-02976	Dispositive motions pending	Plaintiff alleges significant property damages to Curtis Bay Coal Terminal for two events in which raw sewage entered a water filtration system on the property. The first event was related to a sanitary overflow from a manhole. The second event was caused by Spiniello, which was acting as a City contractor performing sanitary system improvements.	\$1,900,000	None			
<i>Snyder, et al. v. PDL Pratt Associates, LLC and MCCB</i>	24-C-21-000128	Plaintiff verdict 12/22/2022; appeal pending	Plaintiff (and his wife) alleges serious injuries as a result of tripping on an uneven brick paver in front of Chik Fil A on Pratt Street.	\$100,000+	None	Plaintiff verdict for \$400,000; City's appeal pending		
<i>Holmes v. MCCB</i>	24-C-23-001302	Discovery	Plaintiff injured herself when she tripped over a metal sign stub projecting from the sidewalk.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Morris v. MCCB</i>	24-C-23-002622	Discovery	Plaintiff claims damages resulting from multiple sewer backups in her home.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Willowbrook Apartment Associates, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	20-cv-01818	Plaintiff obtained summary judgment on 1 of 11 counts. City prevailed on other 10. Damages phase of action	Plaintiffs, numerous landlords in Baltimore City, City of Salisbury and Howard County, have sued the City and the other jurisdictions seeking monetary and injunctive relief over ordinances passed to prohibit rent increases during the COVID emergency and until 90 days after the emergency is lifted by the Governor.	presently undetermined but includes attorney's fees	Invalidation of Act; injunction against enforcement of Act			
<i>Seaman v. MCCB</i>	C-03-CV-22-003769	Discovery	Plaintiff claims injuries after stepping into an uncovered water meter vault.	\$500,000	None			
<i>Clear Channel Outdoor v. Director of Finance</i>	MTC 23-MI-BA-0076	Discovery	Billboard company is seeking refund of two years of Outdoor Advertising Tax payments	\$2.6M plus interest				
<i>Todman, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	19-cv-03296	Dispositive motion granted in Plaintiffs' favor.	Plaintiffs are challenging the Eviction Chattel Law governing the disposition of tenant property after a judicial determination.	\$100,000+	Invalidation of law	City's appeal pending		
<i>Gaskins-Burr v. MCCB</i>	24-C-21-005293	Stayed (Trial pending)	Plaintiff seeking damages for personal property as a result of a sewer back up.	\$100,000+				
<i>St. Michael's Media, Inc. v. Baltimore</i>	21-cv-02337	Discovery	Plaintiff is seeking injunctive relief against the City for refusing to allow a "prayer rally" at Pier VI on November 16.	\$6,000,000+	Injunction requiring City to allow the rally			
<i>Adkins v. MCCB</i>	21-cv-01810	City's motion for summary judgment was granted. Plaintiff appealed.	Plaintiff alleges negligence and constitutional violations after his property was demolished without notice or just compensation.	\$627,500	None	Plaintiff's appeal pending		
<i>State Farm a/s/o Reichart v. MCCB</i>	C-03-CV-22-000621	Discovery	Plaintiff insurer seeks property damages caused by flooding a broken water main.	\$245,000	None			
<i>Roswell, et al. v. MCCB</i>	22-cv-02857	Preliminary injunction denied	Plaintiffs are seeking injunctive relief against the City to allow the posting of anti-abortion and religious signs on City property.	\$0	Injunction to allow the posting of signs	Plaintiff's appeal pending		
<i>Guest v. MCCB</i>	C-03-CV-20-003181	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges injuries after his car slid on ice caused by a leaking water meter and crash into a house.	\$400,000	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Patterson v. Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners et al.</i>	24-C-22-000477	Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiffs—two Baltimore City Residents—filed a taxpayer standing action against the Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners and added the MCCB as a Defendant. Plaintiffs list a litany of issues with City Schools, but primarily focus on an issue with over-reported attendance figures based on media reports related to the Augusta Fells Save school in West Baltimore. The substance of the claim is that City Schools are deliberately inflating the attendance figures to try and secure more funding for the School System, as funding is tied to enrollment. MCCB is filed its MTD on the basis that 1) MCCB is not a proper defendant as it has now control or even involvement in the day to day operations at the school system, and 2) Plaintiffs don't meet the threshold for taxpayer standing.	None	Injunctive and declaratory relief to require the School System to comply with its own policies regarding keeping attendance records and enjoin the City from funding the schools until City Schools does so.			
<i>Cunningham, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	1:22-cv-01774	Motion to dismiss granted on 3/29/23	Wrongful death and 14th Amendment "state created danger" claims brought by estate and relatives of Trina Cunningham who was killed in a work-related accident at Patapsco Wastewater Treatment Plant.	\$1,000,000+	None	Plaintiff's appeal pending		
<i>Pratt v. Wallbrook Wash, Inc. and MCCB</i>	24-C-22-001214	Trial pending	Plaintiff claims severe injuries after falling on a wobbly block of sidewalk.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>CMD5 Residential, LLC v. MCCB</i>	21-cv-01774	City's dispositive motion is pending	Plaintiff is the owner of a property on Harford Road previously used as assisted living and wants to use it as a substance abuse rehab facility. Plaintiff is challenging the City's denial of a use and occupancy permit, claiming violations of the ADA, Fair Housing Act and 14th Amendment.	\$2,000,000	Injunction requiring City to issue use and occupancy permit for intended use			
<i>Hipp v. MCCB</i>	24-C-22-004663	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges injuries sustained after falling on steps to the security shack at a DOT site.	\$400,000	None			
<i>Goodlaxson, et al. v. MCCB</i>	1:21-cv-01454	Discovery	Class action lawsuit filed against the City alleging violations of the ADA for failure to provide access to public ways.	\$100,000,000	Requiring City to remediate, repair, construct and maintain curb ramps and sidewalks properly			
<i>Bell, et al. v. MCCB</i>	24-C-22-004872	Discovery	Plaintiffs allege the City's negligence caused demolition and condemnation of their property after a storm drain collapsed.	\$75,000+	None			
<i>Henriques v. MCCB, et al.</i>	22-cv-02727	Responsive pleading due	Plaintiffs are challenging the Eviction Chattel Law governing the disposition of tenant property after a judicial determination and after a notice of the eviction.	\$100,000+	Invalidation of law			
<i>Allstate Ins. Aso Green v. MCCB</i>	03-CV-22-005037	Discovery	Plaintiff's insurer is seeking damages due to flooding that occurred after maintenance of water lines.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Lambert, et al. v. BCBSC and MCCB</i>	24-C-22-002218	Discovery	Mother and minor daughter plaintiffs are suing the City, various employees of the health department and the school board and its employees for injuries allegedly sustained when a contraceptive device was implanted into the daughter's arm. Plaintiffs are asserting medical malpractice and constitutional and civil rights violations as well.	\$100,000+	Injunction to prevent Defendants from providing certain contraception to students.			
<i>Jane Doe, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-20-000474	Discovery	This is a class action lawsuit. Plaintiffs are minor girls who were photographed in various states of undress during a cheerleading competition by a security guard at the Convention Center.	\$800,000+	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>The Auto Barn, Inc. v. MCCB</i>	24-C-21-005916	Settlement pending	Towing company is suing the City for breach of contract claiming more than \$125,000 in unpaid tow fees. The City has counterclaimed under the Maryland False Claims Act for improper billing practices.	\$125,000+	None	Parties have agreed in principle on settlement whereby Plaintiff agrees to pay the City \$28,000 and voluntarily refrain from bidding on City contracts for 3 years		
<i>Norris v. Scherr, et al.</i>	24-C-22-003562	Closure pending	Former police commissioner is seeking trust funds established for him during employment	\$211, 345	Declaratory relief requiring trustees to release funds	City to be paid \$193,845.68 from the trust		
<i>Glover v. MCCB</i>	24-C-23-001392	Discovery	Plaintiff claims damages resulting from multiple sewer backups at his home	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Varghese v. MCCB</i>	24-C-21-002622	Plaintiff verdict for \$400,000 on 2/23/2023	Plaintiff claims he was injured when he rode his bike into a defective chain connecting bollards along a lane leading to Pier VI Hotel.	\$100,000+	None	Plaintiff verdict for \$400,000; City appeal pending		
<i>Bradford v. MSBE</i>	24-C-94-340058	City's motion for summary judgment granted. Appeal pending	This litigation arises out of a 1994 lawsuit filed by the City's Board of School Commissioners—then a City agency—to require the Maryland State Board of Education (“MSBE”) to provide more funding to City Schools. The lawsuit resulted in a Consent Decree in 1997 whereby City Schools was restructured into an independent agency and the State was required to provide more funding. In 2019, class plaintiffs and City Schools filed a petition for additional relief, and in the process, MSBE filed a motion requiring MCCB's participation as a third-party defendant. No clear action has actually been filed against the City, but the City remains in the case today as a third party defendant. Should the plaintiffs win a substantial judgment against MSBE, MCCB anticipates that MSBE will attempt to recover some amount of losses from MCCB.	Unstated monetary relief	None	Plaintiffs' appeal pending		
<i>Evans v. MCCB</i>	24-C-23-001212	Discovery	Plaintiff claims injuries related to an auto accident with a City truck.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Dismel v. MCCB</i>	24-C-22-003360	Discovery	Plaintiff claims he was injured after falling due to a broken curb.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Jones v. MCCB, et al.</i>	23-cv-02337	Dispositive motion pending	Plaintiff claims he was injured when a phantom police vehicle caused him to strike a parked car	\$50,000,000	None			
<i>Gakuba v. Dfranklinm, et al.</i>	23-cv-00505	Preliminary motion to dismiss to be filed	Plaintiff claims damages resulting from the City's alleged delay to approve his request for COVID-related rental assistance	\$1,000,000,000	Injunction requesting unspecified relief			
<i>Sands v. MCCB</i>	24-C-22-001340	Closed	Plaintiff alleges injuries after his electric scooter was caused to crash due to a pothole.	\$400,000	None	Defense verdict	5/11/2023	\$0
<i>Jones v. MCCB</i>	24-C-21-003152	Closed	Plaintiff was injured while riding his motorcycle on I-83. He alleges that the roadway is defective and was negligently designed.	\$400,000	None	City's motion for summary judgment granted	3/27/2023	\$0
<i>Cook v. Mayor's Office of Children and Family Success</i>	24-C-22-000298	Closed	Plaintiff alleges breach of contract and various tort claims for City's failure to pay landlord rental assistance, which resulted in Plaintiff's eviction.	\$100,000+	None	City's motion to dismiss granted	4/12/2023	\$0
<i>CSX v. MCCB and MTA</i>	1:22-cv-1665	Closed	Plaintiff is the owner of the Howard Street Tunnel, and alleges the City and MTA are liable for property damage due to water main leaks and stray current from the Light Rail.	\$2,577,135	None	Settled	BOA approved 6/7/23	\$245,000
<i>Martin v. MCCB</i>	24-C-21-005447	Closed	Plaintiff alleges significant injuries after falling on uneven pavement in the 1100 block of N. Calvert Street.	\$400,000	None	Settled	BOA approved 4/5/23	\$90,000



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
- Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Banks v. MCCB</i>	24-C-21-003587	Closed	Plaintiff claims she tripped and fell due to a broken curb, severely injuring herself.	\$300,000	None	Settled	BOA approved 4/5/23	\$25,000
<i>Reese v. MCCB</i>	24-C-21-001570	Defense verdict on 12/6/2022; Plaintiff has filed an appeal	Plaintiff was severely injured after riding his electric skateboard over an alleged loose brick on the waterfront promenade.	\$100,000+	None	Defense verdict; Plaintiff's appeal pending		



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Sabien Burgess v. Baltimore Police Department et al.</i>	15-cv-00834	Attorneys' Fee Petition Pending	Appeal from large judgment in favor of man who was released from prison on petition for writ of actual innocence after spending 19 years in prison.	\$15,000,000 plus interest and attorneys fees	None			
<i>Kerron Andrews v. Baltimore City Police Department, et al.</i>	16-cv-02010	Discovery Underway	This lawsuit alleges that BPD officers used cell site simulator technology without a warrant or other court order, thus violating Plaintiff's rights. This resulted in Plaintiff's arrest and incarceration for 2 years. Plaintiff alleges BPD entered into an agreement with the creator of the cell simulator technology that BPD would not disclose the existence of the technology in exchange for access to the technology. Plaintiff alleges violations of his rights under the 4th and 14th Amendments to the U.S. Constitution and pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 as well as under the Maryland Constitution.	In excess of \$75,000	Permanent injunction that prohibits officers from using cell site simulator technology to track individuals without first obtaining a warrant that describes with reasonable particularity the location where the cell-site simulator may be activated			
<i>Steve Morse v. Justin Trojan, et al.</i>	17-cv-01331	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about May 16, 2014, BPD officers arrived at his home and, without cause, seized his air rifle. Plaintiff further alleges that, in the same incident, he was violently tackled without provocation and unlawfully arrested.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None	4 day jury trial concluded 8/4/22. Jury returned a verdict in which it found both officers not liable for false arrest, but Ofc. Trojan liable for excessive force. With respect to damages, the jury returned non-economic damages of \$6,000, economic damages of \$8,000, and punitive damages of \$37,250.	8/4/2022	Jury Award: Non-economic damages of \$6,000. Economic damages of \$8,000. Punitive damages of \$37,250. Attorneys Fees:
<i>Darrius Kimbrough v. Tyler Sentz, et al.</i>	17-cv-03477	Discovery Closed	Plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages as well as attorneys' fees for alleged violations of his Federal Constitutional rights. More specifically, Plaintiff claims that he was unlawfully detained and arrested on August 6, 2014 based on the officers' allegation that Plaintiff stole a car. The juvenile case against Plaintiff was dismissed. Plaintiff allegedly sustained unspecified physical injuries as the result of excessive force used during his arrest.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None			
<i>Shirley Johnson, et al v. Baltimore City Police Department et al.</i>	18-cv-02375	Closed	Plaintiffs represent the estate of Elbert Davis, Phosa Cain, and the estates of the deceased children of Elbert Davis and Phosa Cain. The Plaintiffs allege that two suspects were stopped by former GTTF officers. The officers in question had guns drawn, were wearing masks, and were driving unmarked vehicles. The suspects, believing that they were being robbed, fled the scene at a high rate of speed. During their attempt to flee the scene, the suspects ran a stop sign and crashed their vehicle into a third party causing the death of Elbert Davis and injuries to Phosa Cain.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	None	Settlement	3/1/2023	\$6,000,000



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Winston v. Haziminas et al</i>	19-cv-00026	Pending Trial	Plaintiff alleges violations of his Federal and State Constitutional rights as well as common law claims. More specifically, Plaintiff alleges that on February 20-21, 2016, he was unlawfully arrested by the defendant officer at a club in Power Plant Live. The defendant officer was working approved, uniformed secondary employment at the time of Plaintiff's arrest. Plaintiff further alleges that the officer used excessive force in effectuating the arrest. That alleged use of force caused a severe shoulder injury, which required surgery to repair.	Compensatory damages and punitive damages in excess of \$75K as to each count, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees.	None		3/31/2023	\$250,000
<i>Jerome Johnson v. BPD</i>	19-cv-00698	On Appeal	This is a 30-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. Johnson was convicted as an accessory to the murder of Aaron Taylor. Mr. Johnson alleges the Defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and maliciously prosecuted him for these crimes. Mr. Johnson also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	\$10 million (pre-suit notice letter)	None	Court granted Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, but Plaintiff has appealed the ruling to the Fourth Circuit.	10/1/2022	\$0
<i>Gary Washington v. BPD, et al.</i>	19-cv-02473	On Appeal	This is a 30-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. Washington was convicted for the murder of Faheem Ali based on the testimony of 1 minor child (Otis Robinson). Nearly 30 years later, Robinson recanted at a post-trial proceeding and Washington was released from jail. Washington alleges that defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and manipulated eyewitness identification to cause his wrongful conviction. Washington also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	\$62 million (pre-suit notice letter)	None	Court granted Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, but Plaintiff has appealed the ruling to the Fourth Circuit.	4/12/2023	\$0
<i>Jamal Wilson v. Donald Gaff</i>	19-cv-02587	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that on or about September 11, 2016 the Defendant stopped the car in which he was a passenger without cause, then assaulted Plaintiff for no reason.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	None	Settlement	6/13/2022	\$130,000
<i>Rich v. Hersl, et al.</i>	20-cv-00488	MSJ Pending	Plaintiff claims that a firearm was planted on him and he was unlawfully arrested on October 2, 2007. He claims that he was arrested in part because he filed an Internal Affairs complaint against one of the officers approximately one week before he was arrested. Plaintiff also alleges that the head of IAD did not properly investigate his complaint or discipline the officers involved in Plaintiff's arrest. Plaintiff alleges claims for Federal and State Constitutional violations.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million in punitive damages for each of 19 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. City of Baltimore, et al.</i>	24-C-20-001269	On Appeal	Plaintiff alleges having made multiple requests for records to BPD and the City under the MPIA and that the responses were insufficient.	\$1,000 plus attorneys' fees	Plaintiff seeks to compel response to the MPIA request.			
<i>Kenesha Cutchember v. Sufraïn Hassan, et al.</i>	24-C-20-001617	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that, upon a second stop of her vehicle for equipment-related violations, she was detained and questioned, subjected to an illegal search, and her belongings removed from the vehicle. She alleges violations of the Maryland Constitution.	In excess of \$50,000	None	Settlement	8/31/2022	\$7,500
<i>McPherson v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	20-cv-00795	Discovery Underway	This is a 24-year wrongful conviction suit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. McPherson and Mr. Simmons were convicted of the murder of Anthony Wooden, who was shot to death. They allege that police withheld witness statements and a confession from the real killer. They also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	None			
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. City of Baltimore, et al.</i>	24-C-20-001956	MSJ Pending	Plaintiff alleges having made multiple requests for records to BPD and the City under the MPIA and that the responses were insufficient.	\$1,000 in statutory damages as to each of 3 requests, plus costs and attorneys' fees.	Order Defendants to provide materials responsive to each of 3 MPIA requests; enter an injunction requiring Defendants to waive fees for each request.			
<i>James Handley v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	20-cv-01054	Closed	Plaintiff is a former command member alleging race and gender discrimination in violation of Title VII. Plaintiff claims that he was removed from his position as Acting Inspector under Commissioner Davis and demoted to Major under Commissioner DeSousa and involuntarily transferred from the Recruitment Division to the Southwest District. Plaintiff alleges that DeSousa's goal was to replace all Caucasian male officers with African American female officers.	In excess of \$500,000 plus costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent Injunction directing BPD to remedy effects of discriminatory conduct and prevent same in the future.	Settlement	2/20/2023	\$75,000
<i>Darnell Earl v. Taylor, et al.</i>	20-cv-01355	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that, on October 18, 2015, the car in which he was a passenger was unlawfully stopped by former GTTF members and that during the search, the officers planted a firearm. Plaintiff asserts that the planted firearm was the basis for fabricated firearms charges to which he pled guilty and was subsequently incarcerated for roughly 18 months. Plaintiff asserts federal constitutional violations as well as state law torts.	\$30,000,000.00	None	Settlement	7/27/2022	\$575,000
<i>Tyshawn Trogdon v. Andre N. Smith, et al.</i>	24-C-20-002977	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that he was a passenger in a stolen vehicle that was stopped by police. When the car stopped, Plaintiff alleges that he fled and was subsequently tased in an exercise of excessive force.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 5 counts; attorneys' fees.	None	Settlement	5/13/2022	\$15,000



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>David Dixon v. Leon Riley, et al.</i>	24-C-20-003326	Case Stayed	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about December 2, 2019, he was stopped and detained unlawfully by the officer defendants. He further alleges that he was subjected to excessive force and wrongfully arrested. Plaintiff asserts various state law torts.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 5 counts	None			
<i>Chestnut, et al. v. Kincaid, et al.</i>	20-cv-02342	Discovery Underway	Plaintiffs allege that they were wrongfully convicted of the 1983 murder of DeWitt Duckett. Plaintiffs contend that their convictions arose from the improper investigative tactics of BPD members, which targeted plaintiffs in contravention of the evidence.	Not stated	None			
<i>Jawone D. Nicholson v. State of Maryland, et al.</i>	20-cv-03146	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about November 10, 2017, he was approached by a BPD officer who proceeded to question him aggressively and pointed a firearm at him without cause.	In excess of \$30,000 as to each of 11 counts; attorneys' fees and costs	None			
<i>Terrell Corbitt v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	20-cv-03431	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges that on or about December 15, 2017, BPD officers were engaged in a vehicle pursuit during which gunfire was exchanged between the fleeing suspect and pursuing officers. Plaintiff alleges that he was struck during the exchange of fire and asserts federal civil rights and state law tort claims.	\$11,500,000 plus attorneys fees.	None			
<i>Deanna Effland v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	20-cv-03503	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff, a BPD member, alleges that she was subjected to sex discrimination and retaliation in violation of Title VII.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	Declaratory judgment; order requiring BPD to initiated and implement systems to ensure that individuals who file internal EEO complaints are treated in a non-discriminatory manner			
<i>Henrietta Middleton v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	20-cv-03536	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about August 26, 2018, she was assaulted by a BPD member who then fabricated criminal charges against her. She asserts federal civil rights and several state tort claims.	\$20 million as to each of 9 counts	None			
<i>Cierra Whye v. Baltimore City Police Department, et al.</i>	24-C-21-000204	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about January 16, 2018, she called police to report that her vehicle had been stolen. Upon arrival, officers issued a citation for leaving the car running with the keys in the ignition, which Plaintiff resisted accepting, resulting in her arrest. Plaintiff alleges various tort and state constitutional claims.	\$100,000 in respect of each of 4 claims.	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Institute for Constitutional Advocacy and Protection v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	24-C-21-000162	Closed	Plaintiff alleges deficiencies in BPD's response to and MPIA request.	Not stated	Declaratory judgment; injunction requiring production of records and fee waiver.	Settlement	5/6/2022	\$0
<i>Derrick Anderson v. Evodio Hendrix, et al.</i>	24-C-21-001117	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about June 30, 2016, he was falsely arrested based on evidence fabricated by the defendant officers. After pleading guilty to firearms charges arising from the encounter, Plaintiff was incarcerated for roughly 18 months before his conviction was vacated.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 7 counts	None	Settlement	8/8/2022	\$60,000
<i>Kevron Evans, et al. v. Daniel Hersl, et al.</i>	24-C-21-000804	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that on or about October 20, 2012 former GTTF officers planted CDS on his person and based on this falsified evidence he was wrongfully convicted.	In Excess of \$75,000 as to each of 10 counts	None	Settlement	6/1/2022	\$300,000
<i>Faye Cottman, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	21-cv-00837	Discovery Underway	Plaintiffs allege, on behalf of a putative class of "victims of serious assaults on or after April 1, 2018" that BPD unlawfully seized and withheld their property.	Not stated	Various declaratory and injunctive relief relating to cessation of allegedly unconstitutional practices and related training.			
<i>Rowena Simmons, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	21-cv-00969	MTD Pending	Plaintiffs allege that, on or about March 21, 2020, the car in which they were driving was struck by a stolen vehicle, resulting in serious injury and death. Plaintiffs argue that, although no police vehicle struck the Plaintiffs, that officers seeking to apprehend the driver of the stolen vehicle caused the collision and that BPD's policies concerning pursuit are unconstitutional.	In Excess of \$75,000 as to each of 12 counts; costs and attorneys' fees	Complaint seeks unspecified injunctive relief.			
<i>Sean Lewis, Jr. v. Chris Florio</i>	21-cv-01159	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about May 15, 2018, he was engaged by the defendant officer who deployed his taser on Plaintiff and detained without justification.	\$2,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Tashawna Gaines v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	21-cv-01211	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that she was discriminated against because of her race and retaliated against during her employment as a BPD member. She asserts various claims under Title VII and state law.	Back pay; \$10 million; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct	Motion to Dismiss Granted	2/23/2023	\$0
<i>Danika Yampierre v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	21-cv-01209	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that she was discriminated against because of her race and sex and retaliated against during her employment as a BPD member. She asserts claims under Title VII, as well as various theories under federal and state law.	Back pay; \$10 million; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct			
<i>Jasmin Rowlett v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	21-cv-01205	Closed	Plaintiff, a BPD member, alleges that she was subjected to discrimination based on her sex and race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII along with other claims under state and federal law.	\$10,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct	Motion for Summary Judgment Granted	3/2/2023	\$0



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Dyllan Hildebrand v. Dean McFadden, et al.</i>	24-C-21-002424	MSJ Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on June 1, 2020 he was present at a protest where he was struck by police officers and wrongfully prosecuted for failing to obey a lawful order.	In excess of \$75,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Training protocols to address conduct alleged			
<i>Kenyon Joyner v. State of Maryland, et al.</i>	24-C-21-003293	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about January 7, 2019, he was subjected to unlawful force and illegally arrested. He further alleges that the defendant officer fabricated criminal charges against him that were ultimately dismissed.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 8 counts; costs and attorneys' fees	None	Settlement	3/31/2022	\$40,000
<i>Adam Litchfield v. Ronald Rinehart, et al.</i>	21-cv-02101	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about June 1, 2021, police responded to a domestic dispute at his home. When he did not comply with the officers' requests, Plaintiff asserts that he was wrongfully arrested and, during his subsequent detention in Central Booking and Intake Center, denied his psychiatric medication.	In excess of \$75,000; \$1 million punitive damages; costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Martez Carter v. Michael Harrison, et al.</i>	24-C-21-003359	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that he was subjected to racial discrimination as an applicant in BPD's hiring process.	In excess of \$75,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Order directing BPD to offer a job to Plaintiff; permanent injunction directing BPD to remediate alleged discriminatory conduct			
<i>Welai Grant v. Baltimore Police Dept.</i>	21-cv-02173	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that she was subject to various adverse actions in her employment with BPD due to racial and gender discrimination.	\$10,000,000.00	Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct			
<i>Toyia Williams v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>	24-C-21-003768	Discovery Underway	Former employee alleges that she was discriminated against due to her disability and in retaliation for her protected activity. Plaintiff also claims that Defendant violated the Maryland Declaration of Rights	In excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>Ronald Mealey v. Baltimore Police Dept., et al.</i>	21-cv-02332	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that he was retaliated against after exercising his First Amendment rights to report alleged fraud, waste and abuse.	In excess of \$1,800,000 as to each of 3 counts; in excess of \$75,000 as to each of 2 counts; costs	None			
<i>Aaron Ferguson v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	21-cv-02502	Closed	Plaintiff, a former BPD member, alleges that he was discriminated against in his employment because of his race in violation of Title VII.	\$1,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct	Motion to Dismiss Granted	9/7/2022	\$0
<i>Chedais Jacques v. Baltimore Police Dept.</i>	21-cv-02682	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiff alleges that he was discriminated against because of his race and national origin during his employment as a BPD member. He asserts various claims under Title VII and state law.	\$750,000; costs and attorney's fees	Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct			
<i>Lee Dotson, et al. v. Ethan Newberg, et al.</i>	21-cv-02769	MTD Pending	Plaintiffs Kuniken and Dobson allege that, on or about April 29, 2019 and May 30, 2019, respectively, each was unlawfully stopped, detained, arrested and subjected to excessive force, when he intervened in defendants' arrest of a third party.	In excess of \$75,000; costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Sherrod Biggers v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	21-cv-03061	Closed	Plaintiff, a BPD officer, alleges that the individual defendants provided false information to prosecutors causing Plaintiff to be charged with crimes, which charges were subsequently nol prossed.	Unstated compensatory damages; costs and attorneys' fees	None	Settlement	11/30/2022	\$24,900



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Clarence Shipley v. Deems Disney, Jr., et al.</i>	21-cv-03173	Discovery Ongoing	This is a 27-year wrongful conviction suit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. Shipley convicted of the murder of Kevin Smith. They allege that police manipulated evidence to implicate Mr. Shipley despite his innocence. They also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	Not Stated	None			
<i>Rajhee Willacy v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	21-cv-03162	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that he was denied employment as a Police Officer Trainee on the basis of his race and national origin. He asserts claims under Title VII.	\$900,000; back and front pay; costs and attorneys' fees	None	Motion to Dismiss Granted	8/26/2022	\$0
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	24-C-21-005650	Case Stayed	Plaintiff alleges deficiencies in BPD's response to an MPIA request.	Statutory damages of \$1,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Various declaratory judgments; order defendants to deliver requested documents without cost; require defendants to respond to all future requests without cost			
<i>Sanford Heister Sharp, LLP v. Jane Doe, et al.</i>	24-C-21-005657	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiff seeks to interplead the BPD to address a question relating to disposition of subsequently-expunged records police records relevant to an unrelated civil matter to which BPD is not a party.	None	Require BPD to interplead as to disposition of disputed records; restrain defendants from instituting action against Plaintiff			
<i>Bonaparte v. Harrison, et al.</i>	24-C-21-005977	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiff is a former Deputy Commissioner of the BPD who alleges that he was wrongfully discharged and portrayed in a false light.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Apryl Santiago-Harvey v. Mayor and City Council, et al.</i>	24-C-21-003827	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about September 26, 2019, the defendant officer responded to a call for service at her home and killed her dog without lawful reason.	\$75,000 as to each of 12 counts plus costs, fees and punitive damages	None	Motion to Dismiss Granted	9/14/2022	\$0
<i>Wayne Kevin Brown, Jr. v. Christopher Nguyen, et al.</i>	24-C-22-001637	Complaint Served	Plaintiff alleges that, on August 12, 2020 he was assaulted by an individual and, upon the arrival of the defendant officers, they failed to protect Plaintiff from further injury, provide medical treatment, or otherwise respond appropriately.	In excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>Nolan Kinard Floyd v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	22-cv-00491	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on February 26, 2019, a BPD member improperly altered a charging document and committed perjury.	\$500,000; punitive damages of \$500,000; costs	Declaratory relief			
<i>Demetric Simon v. Keith Gladstone, et al.</i>	22-cv-00549	On Appeal	Plaintiff alleges that, on March 26, 2014, former members of the GTTF and other BPD officers conspired to plant evidence on him, resulting in Plaintiff's arrest and detention.	\$8,500,000; treble damages; costs and attorneys' fees	None	Motion to Dismiss Granted	3/20/2023	\$0
<i>Roderick Colvin v. Baltimore City Police Department</i>	24-C-21-000496	MTD Pending	Plaintiff seeks judicial review of BPD's response to his records request under the MPIA.	Not stated	Order compelling BPD to produce requested records			
<i>Djene Traore v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	20-cv-00793	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiff, a former BPD employee, alleges that she was discriminated and retaliated against based on her race, culminating in her termination, in violation of Title VII and state law.	Not stated	None			
<i>Kelly Martin v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	24-C-22-001306	Closed	Plaintiff alleges deficiencies in BPD's response to an MPIA request.	Damages and attorneys' fees	Declaratory relief; order compelling production	Settlement	4/21/2022	\$0



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Michael Fortini v. Custodian of Records, Baltimore Police Department</i>	C-15-CV-22-001645	Closed	Plaintiff alleges deficiencies in BPD's response to an MPIA request.	\$1,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Order compelling BPD to produce requested records	Dismissed	6/29/2022	\$0
<i>Dominique Wiggins v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	22-cv-1089	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.	\$1,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction requiring remediation of alleged practices			
<i>Marcus Johnson v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	22-cv-01356	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.	\$1,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction requiring remediation of alleged practices			
<i>Zayne Abdullah, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	22-cv-01364	Complaint Filed	Plaintiffs allege that BPD members wrongfully arrested and incarcerated based on an intentional mischaracterization of an altercation between themselves and Defendant Sgt. Simpson.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 11 claims; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None	Settlement	11/15/2022	\$0 (\$375,000 per Plaintiff)
<i>Adrian Ortiz v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	22-cv-01396	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.	\$500,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction requiring remediation of alleged practices			
<i>Deirtra Pitts v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	22-cv-01404	Discovery Ongoing	Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.	\$1,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction requiring remediation of alleged practices			
<i>Luis Garcia v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	22-cv-01423	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.	\$1,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent injunction requiring remediation of alleged practices			
<i>Amanda Dudden v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	22-cv-01548	Closed	Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, sexual harassment, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.	\$1,125,000; costs and attorneys' fees	None	Voluntarily Dismissed with Prejudice	9/1/2022	\$0
<i>Helen Washington v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.,</i>	24-C-22-002579	MTD Pending	State case brought by current employee who alleged that she was discriminated against due to her age and was retaliated against.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	Injunctive relief in the form of reinstatement to previous higher-paid position			
<i>Ethan Newberg v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	22-cv-01332	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that BPD portrayed him in a false light when holding a press conference about his misconduct. BPD also falsely arrested him and maliciously prosecuted him.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None	Voluntarily Dismissed with Prejudice	5/14/2023	\$0
<i>Open Justice Baltimore, et al. v. Baltimore City Law Department, et al.</i>	22-cv-01901	MTD Pending	Plaintiffs allege that BPD and the Law Department collude to deny Plaintiffs access to records pursuant to the MPIA, purportedly in violation of the First Amendment.	Waiver of all fees associated with Plaintiffs' numerous requests; costs and attorneys' fees	Order directing BPD to provide all records requested by Plaintiffs without charge within 10 days; order compelling Defendants to make various staffing changes; various declaratory judgments			
<i>Stanley Bass v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>	24-C-22-003429	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that GTTF officers planted guns and drugs on him, falsified statements of probable cause, and otherwise caused him to be unlawfully arrested	In excess of \$75,000 as to each claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None	Settlement	5/4/2023	\$310,000
<i>Ray Maier v. Sgt. Paul Sinchak et al.</i>	24-C-22-003716	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges that the defendant BPD officers used excessive force when they discharged their weapons against a suspect, which resulted in her being wounded as an innocent third party bystander, resulting in painful, permanent and debilitating injuries; and , violating her MD Declaration of Rights.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>William Bradley v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	1:22-cv-00641	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges police misconduct, claiming that police improperly searched his home and fabricated evidence. Plaintiff claims that he was improperly detained by BPD.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Jeffrey Lilly, et al. v. Baltimore City</i>	24-C-22-003986	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that certain defendants breached a contract they had with him to breed dogs. Plaintiff also alleges that BPD Defendants violated his rights by pressuring him, interfering with the contract, and otherwise violating the law.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Bailey-Bey v. Mosby, et al.</i>	22-cv-02778	MTD Pending	Pro se Plaintiff claims that he was falsely arrested and that BPD and others conspired to wrongfully and maliciously prosecute him.	\$11,500,000.00	None			
<i>Ricardo Shaw v. Maurice Ward, et al.</i>	22-cv-03259	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that GTTF officers planted guns on him, falsified statements of probable cause, and otherwise caused him to be unlawfully arrested	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None	Settlement	6/16/2023	\$450,000
<i>Jamar Bowles v. Hersl, et al</i>	1:22-cv-01992	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that GTTF officers planted guns and drugs on him, falsified statements of probable cause, and otherwise caused him to be unlawfully arrested	Compensatory damages and punitive damages in excess of \$10,000,000 as to each count, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees.	None	Settlement	11/8/2022	\$330,000
<i>Alex Holden v. Giordano, et al</i>	1:22-cv-01994	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that GTTF officers planted guns and drugs on him, falsified statements of probable cause, and otherwise caused him to be unlawfully arrested	Compensatory damages and punitive damages in excess of \$10,000,000 as to each count, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees.	None	Settlement	11/8/2022	\$315,000
<i>Timothy Brown v. Mayor and City Council, et al.</i>	1:23-cv-00155	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that GTTF officers planted guns and drugs on him, falsified statements of probable cause, and otherwise caused him to be unlawfully arrested	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Torres-Hernandez v. Lloyd, et al.</i>	1:23-cv-01016	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff, a contractor who was doing work on a BPD officer's home patio, alleges that BPD officers falsely detained him, transported him to a bank, and forced him to give him a \$3,500 cashiers check as a refund for work that the officer claimed was faulty.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	Yes			
<i>Jerome Forrest v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	D.Md. 22-322-JMC	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges discrimination based on race and retaliation in violation of Title VII and Md. FEPA	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			
<i>Donald Gresham, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	Appellate Ct. of Md. ACM-REG-0307-2023	On Appeal	Challenge to MOU between BPD and Johns Hopking University re: establishment of JHU police force	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	Yes			
<i>Natalie Preston v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	D. Md. 23-1147	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges discrimination based on race and retaliation in violation of Title VII and Md. FEPA	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Labor and Employment Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Roberta Hines v. M&CC</i>	22-cv-1243	Awaiting Scheduling Order	Plaintiff alleges claims of sexual harassment, retaliation and ADA	\$171,000	NA	Pending		
<i>Adrian Ortiz v. BPD et al.</i>	22-cv-1396	Discovery Phase	Plaintiff alleges discrimination based on race, sex, national origin and retaliation	\$500,000	Declaratory and injunctive relief	Pending		
<i>Vincent DeSantis v. M&CC</i>	20-cv-3165	Discovery Phase	Plaintiff claims he was discriminated against on account of his alleged disability, gender, age and race.	Unspecified	None	Motion for Summary Judgment Granted	1/12/2023	0
<i>LaTonya Bryant v. M&CC</i>	21-cv-00545	MSJ pending	Plaintiff alleges that she was terminated while on FMLA in violation of same and state and federal ADA laws. She also alleges age discrimination and retaliation.	\$975,000	Reinstatement	Pending		
<i>Luis Garcia v. BPD et al.</i>	22-cv-1423	Closed	Plaintiff alleges retaliation, hostile work environment.	\$1,000,000	Declaratory and injunctive relief	Motion to Dismiss granted	4/21/2023	0
<i>Idris Abdus-Shahid v. M&CC</i>	22-cv-02367	Partial MTD pending	Plaintiff alleges retaliation based on race and protected activity	Unspecified	Injunctive relief	Pending		
<i>Nicole Tynes v. M&CC et al.</i>	22-cv-1452	Discovery Phase	Plaintiff alleges disability discrimination and retaliation.	Unspecified	Declaratory relief establishing that Defendant violated Title VII	Pending		
<i>Roslyn Hale v. M&CC</i>	23-1699	Appeal to 4th Circuit of dismissal in favor of City	Plaintiff challenges lower court's dismissal at summary judgment of all her claims against the City, including for sexual harassment, equal protection, retaliation, intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent retention, supervision and training.	Each count of the 6 count complaint demands "in excess of \$75k"	None	Pending		
<i>Stanley Abler v. M&CCB</i>	22-1630	Appeal to 4th Circuit following MSJ in favor of the City	Plaintiff challenges lower court's grant of summary judgment in favor of the City on claim of failure to accommodate his disability	Unspecified	None	Pending		
<i>Shanae Watkins v. M&CC</i>	21-cv-1926	Discovery phase	Plaintiff claims that while applying for energy assistance, a city employee sexually harassed her by touching her buttocks and commenting on her body.	\$975,000	None	Pending		
<i>Helen Washington v. BPD et al.</i>	22-cv-02212	Removal to federal court	Plaintiff alleges age and discrimination and retaliation.	In excess of \$150,000.00	Injunctive relief	Stipulation of Dismissal	11/15/2022	0
<i>Lamar Williams v. Nicholas Fontanez, et al.</i>	22-cv-1882	Closed	Plaintiff alleges discrimination on the basis of gender, race, and sexual orientation.	\$2,450,000	None	Motion to Dismiss granted	6/5/2023	0
<i>Lamar Williams v. M&CC</i>	24-C-22-3319	Closed	ADA discrimination, sex discrimination and retaliation	\$2,000,000	Pro Se Litigant filed an injunction requesting the judge stop the termination proceedings and have the City give him his job back.	Motion to Dismiss granted	3/20/2023	0
<i>Andre Johnson v. M&CC</i>	22-cv-3268	Recently served Amended Complaint	Plaintiff alleges race discrimination and retaliation	Unspecified	Reinstatement	Pending		
<i>Mieraf Hailemariam v. Baltimore Health Dept et al.</i>	24-c-22-005194	MTD pending	Plaintiff alleges discrimination based on disability and national origin, as well as retaliation	Unspecified	Reinstatement	Pending		
<i>In the Matter of the Petition of Brian Britcher</i>	24-C-22-004111	Closed	Judicial review of Civil Service Commission's (CSC) decision upholding Plaintiff's termination	Unspecified	Reinstatement	Judicial order upholding CSC decision	2/14/2023	0
<i>Matthew Schaeffer v. M&CC</i>	1:22-cv-01539	Discovery Phase	Plaintiff alleges discrimination based on disability and retaliation in failure to promote	Unspecified	Injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from further retaliation, harassment or discrimination	Pending		
<i>Nicole Tynes v. M&CC</i>	1:23-cv-00139	Closed	Discrimination based on disability, race, color, sex and retaliation	Unspecified	None	Motion to Dismiss granted	4/3/2023	0



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
- Labor and Employment Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Mitchell Waters v. M&CC</i>	1:23-cv-01178	Discovery Phase	Discrimination based on race, hostile work environment, Section 1983 claim and MD Fair Employment Practices Act	\$500,000	Declaratory judgment and injunctive relief	Pending		
<i>Seth Robbins v. M&CC</i>	24-C-22-000406	Trial scheduled for 12/7/23	Plaintiff seeks judicial review of Civil Service Commission's decision to uphold Plaintiff's termination	None	Reinstatement	Pending		
<i>Brandon Starks v. M&CC</i>	22-cv-1174	MTD pending	Plaintiff alleges sexual harassment	\$150k	None	Pending		



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>In the Matter of the Petition of Donald Gaff</i>	SCM-PET-0035-2023	Favorable ruling for BPD in ACM; SCM denied Appellant's cert petition .	Former police officer seeks reversal of disciplinary action terminating his employment.	None	Reversal of BPD disciplinary action.	Appellate Court of Maryland affirmed favorable circuit court decision. Supreme Court of Maryland denied Appellant's certiorari petition.	6/20/2023	\$0
<i>In the Matter of the Petition of Eric Payton</i>	CSA-REG-1122-2022	Awaiting decision	Police Officer appealing Worker's Comp. Commission's finding that he was not injured in the course of his employment.	None	Reversal of WCC finding			
<i>Kerron Andrews v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	18-1953	On limited remand for further factfinding in district court; Fourth Circuit has retained jurisdiction	Andrews sued the police department and individual officers who used a cell-site simulator to locate Andrews's cell phone and, thus, him, to execute a warrant for his arrest for attempted murder. The district court granted summary judgment against Andrews, but the Fourth Circuit ordered a limited remand for further factfinding before ruling on the propriety of the district court's ruling	\$100,000+	Injunction prohibiting use of cell-site simulators			
<i>In the Matter of the Petition of Mary Nowlin, et al</i>	CSA-REG-2018-2021	Unfavorable ACM decision.	Dispute of zoning decision.	None	Reversal of zoning decision.	Matter remanded to BMZA for further evidence submissions.	7/18/2023	\$0
<i>Michelle Gross, et al. v. Francisco Hopkins, et al.</i>	22-1376	Favorable decision by Fourth Circuit	Plaintiffs sue four police officers and an unnamed confidential informant alleging multiple violations of their Federal Constitutional rights as the result of the execution of a search warrant at on October 25, 2015 at a home owned or occupied by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs allege the officers rushed into the home, pointed a gun at two of the plaintiffs, and ordered them into a room. Plaintiffs' phones were taken for the duration of the search. A canine unit searched Plaintiff Gross' car without a warrant and without permission. Plaintiffs claim that the warrant was issued based upon false information, and sue one officer for his alleged role in obtaining the warrant and executing it, the confidential informant that allegedly provided the false information, and the other officer defendants for their alleged roles in executing the warrant.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None	Per curium decision affirming trial court's judgment against plaintiffs.	7/10/2023	\$0
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. Baltimore Police Dept., et al.</i>	COA-REG-20-2022	Awaiting decision	Plaintiff seeking release of large amounts of privileged and otherwise protected documents without payment of statutorily provided fees.		Release of protected documents, and provision of copies without payment.			
<i>Al Czervik LLC, et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>	CSA-REG-567-2022	Favorable ruling for City in ACM; Appellant filed cert petition .	Tax sale purchaser challenges the amount it gets reimbursed when a tax sale is deemed void ab initio.	Unspecified interest and fees.	Overruling of Howard Cnty. v. Heartwood 88, LLC, 178 Md. App. 491 (2008)	Appellate Court of Maryland affirmed favorable circuit court decision.	2/27/2023	\$0



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Al Czervik LLC, et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al. (Consolidated)</i>	CSA-REG-893-2022; CSA-REG-894-2022; CSA-REG-895-2022	Awaiting decision	Tax sale purchaser seeks reimbursement for water and code enforcement charges paid in connection with tax sale	None	Seeking payment of charges from surplus bid amount held for prior owner.			
<i>In the Matter of the Petition of Ghenretnsae G. Mangisteab, et al (and thirty cases consolidated therewith)</i>	CSA-REG-093-2022 (consolidated with 094 through 123 of 2022 term as well); SCM-PET-0388-2022 through SCM-PET-0418-2022	Favorable ruling for City; Supreme Court of Maryland denied Appellants' cert petitions.	Suit challenges zoning changes that require some liquor stores to cease selling alcohol after a period of years.	none	Plaintiffs seek order invalidating the changes to the zoning laws.	Appellate Court of Maryland affirmed favorable circuit court decision; Supreme Court of Maryland denied appellants' cert petitions.	12/20/2022; 5/30/2023	\$0
<i>David Esteppe v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	CSA-REG-0728-2022	Favorable ruling for BPD in ACM.	Suit seek ruling that BPD is required to indemnify civil judgment against former officer who was convicted of perjury for lying on a search warrant in order to harass his friend's ex-boyfriend.	\$166,008		Appellate Court of Maryland affirmed favorable circuit court decision.	6/14/2023	\$0
<i>Roche v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>	CSA-REG-1323-2021	Awaiting decision in CSA	Challenge to immunity-based dismissal of BPD officer in wrongful death suit where plaintiff alleges officer should have physically detained her son sooner, before he had a chance to self-harm.	In excess of \$75,000				
<i>Adkins v. MCCB</i>	22-2315	Awaiting decision or oral argument in Fourth Circuit	Challenge in Fourth Circuit to dismissal of negligence and constitutional claims after plaintiff's property was demolished, allegedly without notice or just compensation.	\$627,500				



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Jerome Johnson v. BPD</i>	22-2095(L); 22-2134 (cross)	In briefing	This is a 30-year wrongful conviction lawsuit was dismissed as a litigation sanction because Plaintiff was caught on tape tampering with a witness and used fraudulent affidavits to gain his release. Summary judgment against him was also granted. He is challenging the dismissal/summary judgment of his case in the Fourth Circuit. BPD is challenging the trial court's failure to award attorneys' fees.	\$10 million				
<i>MCCB v. Jamie Wallace</i>	CSA-REG-1644-2022	Awaiting oral argument.	Plaintiff was injured while riding a bike in City park. State law grants immunity against such suits in parks, but the trial court treated the park like a street instead. The City seeks a reversal of the jury award.	\$100,000 jury award.				
<i>Reece v. MCCB</i>	ACM-REG-1882-2022	Awaiting oral argument.	Plaintiff injured in City park. Jury found in favor of City. Plaintiff challenges evidentiary ruling on appeal.	In excess of \$75,000				
<i>Abell Foundation v. Baltimore Development Corp., et al.</i>	ACM-REG-1890-2022	Awaiting oral argument.	Plaintiff seeking release of privileged and otherwise protected documents concerning PILOT agreements concerning Harbor East property.	none	Release of confidential and privileged documents.			
<i>Todman, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	22-1201	In briefing	Plaintiffs are challenging the Eviction Chattel Law governing the disposition of tenant property after a judicial determination. The federal district court declared the law unconstitutional as applied, and the City is seeking reversal of that determination.	Jury award of \$186,000	Invalidation of Eviction Chattel Law			
<i>Snyder, et al. v. PDL Pratt Street Assoc. and MCCB</i>	ACM-REG-2068-2022	In briefing	Plaintiff claims he was severely and permanently injured after he tripped an fell on an uneven pavers in front of the Chik-Fil-A on Pratt Street. City appealing that it was not granted judgment as a matter of law.	Jury award of \$400,000				
<i>Sanjeev Varghese v. MCCB</i>	TBD	Awaiting briefing order in ACM	Plaintiff claims he was severely injured after he rode a bike into a stationary object. City appealing that it was not granted judgment as a matter of law.	Jury award of \$400,000				
<i>Keith Bradford v. Maryland State Board of Education</i>	ACM-REG-0209-2023	In briefing	School funding dispute.	Unspecified.	Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief against State regarding school funding, and the State asserts rights against City			
<i>In the Matter of the Petition of Hunter Cochrane</i>	ACM-REG-0862-2023	Awaiting briefing order in ACM	Dispute of zoning decision.	None	Reversal of zoning decision.			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
- Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>In the Matter of the Petition of The York Road Partnership, et al</i>	ACM-REG-0861-2023	Awaiting briefing order in ACM	Dispute of zoning decision.	None	Reversal of zoning decision.			
<i>In the Matter of the Petition of Cliff Ransom</i>	ACM-REG-0138-2023	In briefing	Dispute of zoning decision.	None	Reversal of zoning decision.			
<i>In the Matter of the Petition of Mount Vernon Belvedere Improvement Association, Inc., et al</i>	CSA-REG-1774-2022	In briefing	Dispute of zoning decision.	None	Reversal of zoning decision.			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
 - Affirmative Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Recovery*</u>
<i>In re: Lipitor Antitrust</i>	3:12-cv-02389-PGS-DEA		Antitrust case against Pfizer for colluding to keep generic from the market	In excess of \$100,000				
<i>MCCB v. Bank of America, et al</i>	1:19-cv-02667	Discovery Underway	Antitrust case against several banks for colluding to fix rates on City's bonds	TBD				
<i>MCCB v. AstraZeneca</i>	1:20-cv-01090-CFC		Antitrust case for colluding to keep generic Seroquel off the market	In excess of \$100,000				
<i>MCC v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.</i>	24-C-18-000515	Trial date scheduled for 9/2024	Purdue and other opioid manufacturers/ distributors flooded the market with their product, encouraged overprescribing, and neglected their monitoring duties.	TBD				City signed onto a settlement with Walmart that is expected to be approximately \$7.2m; settlement details still being finalized between the State and Walmart. A settlement from the Endo bankruptcy is expected, amount TBD. The case against the remaining defendants is still active.
<i>MCC v. BP PLC et al.</i>	24-C-18-004219	Ds' pet for cert pending before SCOTUS	BP and other fossil fuel companies knew of climate change dangers posed by their products, covered it up, and suppressed competition from energy alternatives	TBD				
<i>MCC v. Juul Labs, Inc.</i>	20STCV21633	Scheduling Orders Pending	Juul marketed their vaping products to minors	TBD				
<i>MCC v Janssen</i>	1:19-cv-00605	Transferred and consolidated in New Jersey	Antitrust case for colluding to keep generic Zytiga from market	In excess of \$100,000				
<i>MCC v. 3M et al</i>	1:22-cv-02866	Case transferred to MDL; City opted out of global settlement due to unfavorable terms	Case regarding PFAS pollution	Tbd				
<i>MCC v Phillip Morris et al</i>		Case removed to fed court; City filed petition for remand	Case re cigarette filter litter	Tbd	Yes			

* NOTE: The Affirmative Litigation Practice Group represents the City as a plaintiff alleging that money is owed to the City. Sums indicated in this column reflect amounts recovered on behalf of the City.



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT
- Affirmative Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Recovery*</u>
<i>MCC v Polymer80</i>		Discovery ongoing; trial set for 10/2024	Ghost gun kits	TBD				
<i>MCC v. Hyundai/Kia</i>		Case transferred to MDL	Lawsuit against Hyundai and Kia for failing to equip their cars with immobilizer tech, leading to rash of thefts that harms public safety and costs the City in policing dollars	TBD				

* NOTE: The Affirmative Litigation Practice Group represents the City as a plaintiff alleging that money is owed to the City. Sums indicated in this column reflect amounts recovered on behalf of the City.