



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Litigation Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>   | <u>No.</u>     | <u>Case Status</u>   | <u>Description</u>   | <u>Monetary Demand</u>                              | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>                                   | <u>Manner of Resolution</u>                     | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|---|----------------|--|--|---|--|---|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Martin v. MCCB</i>   | 24-C-21-005447 | Discovery  | Plaintiff alleges significant injuries after falling on uneven pavement in the 1100 block of N. Calvert Street.  | \$400,000   | None   |   |                           |                     |
| <i>CSX v. Spiniello and MCCB</i>                                | 19-cv-02976    | Discovery  | Plaintiff alleges significant property damages to Curtis Bay Coal Terminal for two events in which raw sewage entered a water filtration system on the property. The first event was related to a sanitary overflow from a manhole. The second event was caused by Spiniello, which was acting as a City contractor performing sanitary system improvements.   | \$1,900,000   | None   |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Montague, et al. v. MCCB</i>                                 | 24-C-17-006619 | Defense MSJ granted; Plaintiff appealed  | Plaintiffs filed wrongful death and survivorship actions after decedent crashed car on a curvy portion of Cold Spring Avenue.  | \$200,000   | None   |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Bradford v. MSBE</i>   | 24-C-94-340058 | City's dispositive motion is pending   | This litigation arises out of a 1994 lawsuit filed by the City's Board of School Commissioners—then a City agency—to require the Maryland State Board of Education (“MSBE”) to provide more funding to City Schools. The lawsuit resulted in a Consent Decree in 1997 whereby City Schools was restructured into an independent agency and the State was required to provide more funding. In 2019, class plaintiffs and City Schools filed a petition for additional relief, and in the process, MSBE filed a motion requiring MCCB's participation as a third-party defendant. No clear action has actually been filed against the City, but the City remains in the case today as a third party defendant. Should the plaintiffs win a substantial judgment against MSBE, MCCB anticipates that MSBE will attempt to recover some amount of losses from MCCB. | Unstated monetary relief                            |  |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Sands v. MCCB</i>  | 24-C-22-001340 | Discovery  | Plaintiff alleges injuries after his electric scooter was caused to crash due to a pothole.  | \$400,000   | None   |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Snyder, et al. v. PDL Pratt Associates, LLC and MCCB</i>     | 24-C-21-000128 | Plaintiff verdict 12/22/2022; appeal pending   | Plaintiff (and his wife) alleges serious injuries as a result of tripping on an uneven brick paver in front of Chik Fil A on Pratt Street.   | \$100,000+  | None   | Plaintiff verdict for \$400,000; appeal pending |                           |                     |
| <i>Seaman v. MCCB</i>   | C-03-CV-003769 | Discovery  | Plaintiff claims injuries after stepping into an uncovered water meter vault.  | \$500,000   | None   |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Willowbrook Apartment Associates, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i> | 20-cv-01818    | Plaintiff obtained summary judgment on 1 of 11 counts. City prevailed on other 10. Damages phase of action | Plaintiffs, numerous landlords in Baltimore City, City of Salisbury and Howard County, have sued the City and the other jurisdictions seeking monetary and injunctive relief over ordinances passed to prohibit rent increases during the COVID emergency and until 90 days after the emergency is lifted by the Governor.   | presently undetermined but includes attorney's fees | Invalidation of Act; injunction against enforcement of Act |   |                           |                     |
| <i>J. Johnson v. MCCB</i>                                       | 24-C-20-001060 | Trial pending  | Plaintiff alleges personal injuries after stepping into an open water meter vault.   | \$150,000   | None   |   |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Litigation Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>   | <u>No.</u>        | <u>Case Status</u>   | <u>Description</u>   | <u>Monetary Demand</u> | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>   | <u>Manner of Resolution</u>     | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|---|-------------------|--|--|------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Todman, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>                                   | 19-cv-03296       | Dispositive motion granted in Plaintiffs' favor. Damages trial pending                 | Plaintiffs are challenging the Eviction Chattel Law governing the disposition of tenant property after a judicial determination.   | \$100,000+             | Invalidation of law  |                                 |                           |                     |
| <i>Gaskins-Burr v. MCCB</i>   | 24-C-21-005293    | Dispositive motion pending   | Plaintiff seeking damages for personal proerty as a result of a sewer back up.   | \$100,000+             |  |                                 |                           |                     |
| <i>St. Michael's Media, Inc. v. Baltimore</i>                           | 21-cv-02337       | Preliminary injunction was granted. Event held. City motion to dismiss/MSJ is pending. | Plaintiff is seeking injunctive relief against the City for refusing to allow a "prayer rally" at Pier VI on November 16.  |                        | Injunction requiring City to allow the rally   |                                 |                           |                     |
| <i>Adkins v. MCCB</i>   | 21-cv-01810       | City's motion for summary judgment was granted. Plaintiff appealed.                    | Plaintiff alleges negligence and constitutional violations after his property was demolished without notice or just compensation.  | \$627,500              | None   |                                 |                           |                     |
| <i>Harris v. Eaddy and MCCB</i>   | 24-C-21-005967    | Discovery  | Plaintiff claims he was injured in an auto accident with City truck.   | \$100,000+             | None   |                                 |                           |                     |
| <i>Armstrong-Green v. MCCB, et al.</i>                                  | 24-C-21-000913    | Discovery  | Plaintiff claims to have tripped and fell on a raised sidewalk.  | \$100,000+             | None   |                                 |                           |                     |
| <i>Guest v. MCCB</i>  | 03-C-20-003181    | Appeal pending re: monetary cap  | Plaintiff alleges injuries after his car slid on ice caused by a leaking water meter and crash into a house.   | \$400,000              | None   | Appeal pending re: monetary cap |                           |                     |
| <i>Patterson v. Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners et al.</i> | 24-C-22-000477    | Motion to dismiss pending  | Plaintiffs—two Baltimore City Residents—filed a taxpayer standing action against the Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners and added the MCCB as a Defendant. Plaintiffs list a litany of issues with | None                   | Injunctive and declaratory relief to require the School System to comply with its own policies regarding |                                 |                           |                     |
| <i>Cunningham, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>                               | 1:22-cv-01774     | Removed to federal court. Motion to dismiss pending.                                   | Wrongful death and 14th Amendment "state created danger" claims brought by estate and relatives of Trina Cunningham who was killed in a work-related accident at Patapsco Wastewater Treatment Plant.        | \$1,000,000+           | None   |                                 |                           |                     |
| <i>State Farm a/s/o Reichart v. MCCB</i>                                | C-03-CV-22-000621 | Discovery  | Plaintiff insurer seeks property damages caused by flooding a broken water main.   | \$245,000              | None   |                                 |                           |                     |
| <i>Berlin v. MCCB, et al.</i>   | 03-C-21-000917    | Discovery  | Plaintiff alleges serious injuries from falling on a recessed water meter cover.   | \$100,000+             | None   |                                 |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Litigation Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>   | <u>No.</u>     | <u>Case Status</u>  | <u>Description</u>  | <u>Monetary Demand</u> | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>  | <u>Manner of Resolution</u>                              | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|---|----------------|---|---|------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Thorton Mellon, et al. v. MCCB</i>                     | 24-19-003719   | City motion for summary judgment granted on appeal; ; plaintiff has filed a petition for certiori | Plaintiffs are seeking to have the City's deed execution fee in conjunction with tax sales declared unconstitutional.   |                        | Invalidation of fee   | Decision on petition pending from Maryland Supreme Court |                           |                     |
| <i>Pratt v. Wallbrook Wash, Inc. and MCCB</i>             | 24-C-22-001214 | Discovery   | Plaintff claims severe injuries after falling on a wobbly block of sidewalk.  | \$100,000+             | None  |  |                           |                     |
| <i>CMDS Residential, LLC v. MCCB</i>                      | 21-cv-01774    | Preliminary injunction denied; discovery  | Plaintiff is the owner of a property on Harford Road previously used as assisted living and wants to use it as a substance abuse rehab facility. Plaintiff is challenging the City's denial of a use and occupancy permit, claiming violations of the ADA, Fair Housing Act and 14th Amendment.   | \$2,000,000            | Injunction requiring City to issue use and occupancy permit for intended use                  |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Hipp v. MCCB</i>                                       | 24-C-22-004663 | Discovery   | Plaintiff alleges injuries sustained after falling on steps to the security shack at a DOT site.  | \$400,000              | None  |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Goodlaxson, et al. v. MCCB</i>                         | 1:21-cv-01454  | Discovery   | Class action lawsuit filed against the City alleging violations of the ADA for failure to provide access to public ways.  | \$100,000,000          | Requiring City to remediate, repair, construct and maintain curb ramps and sidewalks properly |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Belich v. Sanders</i>                                  | 24-C-21-001116 | Discovery   | Plaintiff was injured in an auto accident and is claiming physical and mental/emotional injuries.   | \$100,000              | None  |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Thomas v. Holloman, et al.</i>                         | 24-C-21-002723 | Discovery   | Plaintiff alleges injuries resulting from car accident involving a Baltimore City fire inspector.   | \$100,000+             | None  |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Czervik, LLC v. MCCB</i>                               | 24-C-21-03539  | Dispositive motion pending  | Plaintiff is challenging the City's "lockout Letter law" on the basis that it is pre-empted by state law.   |                        | Declaratory and injunctive relief seeking the law declared void                               |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Snyder, et al. v. PDL Pratt Street Assoc. and MCCB</i> | 24-C-21-000128 | Dispositive motion pending  | Plaintiff claims he was severely and permanently injured after he tripped an fell on an uneven pavers in front of the Chik-Fil-A on Pratt Street.   | \$100,000+             |   |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Banks v. MCCB</i>                                      | 24-C-21-003587 | Discovery   | Plaintiff claims she tripped and fell due to a broken curb, severely injuring herself.  | \$300,000              | None  |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Lambert, et al. v. BCBS and MCCB</i>                   | 24-C-22-002218 | Motion to dismiss pending   | Mother and minor daughter plaintiffs are suing the City, various employees of the health department and the school board and its employees for injuries allegedly sustained when a contraceptive device was implanted into the daughter's arm. Plaintiffs are asserting medical malpractice and constitutional and civil rights violations as well. | \$100,000+             | Injunction to prevent Defendants from providing certain contraception to students.            |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Jane Doe, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>                   | 24-C-20-000474 | Discovery   | This is a class action lawsuit. Plaintiffs are minor girls who were photographed in various states of undress during a cheerleading competition by a security guard at the Convention Center.   | \$800,000+             | None  |  |                           |                     |
| <i>The Auto Barn, Inc. v. MCCB</i>                        | 24-C-21-005916 | Amending counterclaim; discovery  | Towing company is suing the City for breach of contract claiming more than \$125,000 in unpaid tow fees. The City has counterclaimed under the Maryland False Claims Act for improper billing practices.  | \$125,000+             | None  |  |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Litigation Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>   | <u>No.</u>      | <u>Case Status</u>  | <u>Description</u>   | <u>Monetary Demand</u> | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>                                    | <u>Manner of Resolution</u>                               | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|---|-----------------|---|--|------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Jones v. MCCB</i>                                    | 24-C-003152     | Discovery   | Plaintiff was injured while riding his motorcycle on I-83. He alleges that the roadway is defective and was negligently designed.  | \$400,000              | None  |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Tirabassi v. MCCB</i>                                | 24-C-21-002390  | Defense verdict 9/29/2022; Plaintiff's motion for new trial pending | Plaintiff fell and seriously injured herself due to a depression in the taxi lane at Penn Station. The City contractually agreed to perform all maintenance in this area.      | \$400,000              | None  | Defense verdict; Plaintiff's motion for new trial pending |                           |                     |
| <i>CSX v. MCCB and MTA</i>                              | 1:22-cv-1665    | Discovery   | Plaintiff is the owner of the Howard Street Tunnel, and alleges the City and MTA are liable for property damage due to water main leaks and stray current from the Light Rail. | \$2,577,135            | None  |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Harris v. MCCB</i>                                   | 24-C-21-003418  | Discovery   | Plaintiff alleges injuries sustained after tripping and falling on broken sidewalk.  | \$100,000+             | None  |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Banks v. MCCB</i>                                    | 24-C-21-0003587 | Discovery   | Plaintiff alleges injuries sustained after falling from a broken curb  | \$300,000              | None  |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Bell, et al. v. MCCB</i>                             | 24C22004872     | Preliminary motion pending  | Plaintiffs allege the City's negligence caused demolition and condemnation of their property after a storm drain collapsed.  | \$75,000+              | None  |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Reese v. MCCB</i>                                    | 24-C-21-001570  | Defense verdict on 12/6/2022; Plaintiff has filed an appeal         | Plaintiff was severely injured after riding his electric skateboard over an alleged loose brick on the waterfront promenade.   | \$100,000+             | None  | Defense verdict; Plaintiff appealed                       |                           |                     |
| <i>Henriques v. MCCB, et al.</i>                        | 22-cv-02727     | Responsive pleading due   | Plaintiffs are challenging the Eviction Chattel Law governing the disposition of tenant property after a judicial determination and after a notice of the eviction.            | \$100,000+             | Invalidation of law   |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Roswell, et al. v. MCCB</i>                          | 22-cv-02857     | Dispositive motion pending  | Plaintiffs are seeking injunctive relief against the City to allow the posting of anti-abortion and religious signs on City property.  | \$0                    | Injunction to allow the posting of signs                    |   |                           |                     |
| <i>McCulloch v. MCCB</i>                                | 24-C-20-003672  | Settled   | Plaintiff alleges she tripped and fell on a defective sidewalk.  | \$100,000+             | None  | Settlement approved by BOE                                | 11/16/2022                | \$200,000           |
| <i>McGill v. Crowder, et al.</i>                        | 24-C-21-000259  | Plaintiff verdict   | Plaintiff alleges injuries sustained in an auto accident with a City truck.  | \$100,000+             | None  | Plaintiff verdict   | 10/24/2022                | \$247,875           |
| <i>Bloom v. MCCB</i>                                    | 24-C-22-001116  | Settled   | Plaintiff alleges she was struck by a City truck while standing on the Inner Harbor Promenade.   | \$100,000+             | None  | Settlement approved by BOE                                | 11/16/2022                | \$350,000           |
| <i>ACI Payments, Inc. v. Board of Estimates, et al.</i> | 24-C-22-001491  | Case dismissed  | Current vendor of payment processing for City is challenging the BOE award of new contract to another vendor.  | \$0                    | Injunction to stop the execution of contract to new vendor. | Case voluntarily dismissed                                | 10/27/2022                | n/a                 |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>  | <u>No.</u>  | <u>Case Status</u>              | <u>Description</u>  | <u>Monetary Demand</u>  | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>   | <u>Manner of Resolution</u> | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|--|-------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Sabien Burgess v. Baltimore Police Department et al.</i>              | 15-cv-00834 | Attorneys' Fee Petition Pending | Appeal from large judgment in favor of man who was released from prison on petition for writ of actual innocence after spending 19 years in prison.   | \$15,000,000 plus interest and attorneys fees   | None   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Kerron Andrews v. Baltimore City Police Department, et al.</i>        | 16-cv-02010 | Discovery Underway              | This lawsuit alleges that BPD officers used cell site simulator technology without a warrant or other court order, thus violating Plaintiff's rights. This resulted in Plaintiff's arrest and incarceration for 2 years. Plaintiff alleges BPD entered into an agreement with the creator of the cell simulator technology that BPD would not disclose the existence of the technology in exchange for access to the technology. Plaintiff alleges violations of his rights under the 4th and 14th Amendments to the U.S. Constitution and pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 as well as under the Maryland Constitution. | In excess of \$75,000   | Permanent injunction that prohibits officers from using cell site simulator technology to track individuals without first obtaining a warrant that describes with reasonable particularity the location where the cell-site simulator may be activated |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Darrius Kimbrough v. Tyler Sentz, et al.</i>                          | 17-cv-03477 | Discovery Closed                | Plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages as well as attorneys' fees for alleged violations of his Federal Constitutional rights. More specifically, Plaintiff claims that he was unlawfully detained and arrested on August 6, 2014 based on the officers' allegation that Plaintiff stole a car. The juvenile case against Plaintiff was dismissed. Plaintiff allegedly sustained unspecified physical injuries as the result of excessive force used during his arrest.  | Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint   | None   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Shirley Johnson, et al v. Baltimore City Police Department et al.</i> | 18-cv-02375 | Pending Trial                   | Plaintiffs represent the estate of Elbert Davis, Phosa Cain, and the estates of the deceased children of Elbert Davis and Phosa Cain. The Plaintiffs allege that two suspects were stopped by former GTTF officers. The officers in question had guns drawn, were wearing masks, and were driving unmarked vehicles. The suspects, believing that they were being robbed, fled the scene at a high rate of speed. During their attempt to flee the scene, the suspects ran a stop sign and crashed their vehicle into a third party causing the death of Elbert Davis and injuries to Phosa Cain.                   | Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.  | None   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Winston v. Haziminas et al</i>  | 19-cv-00026 | Pending Trial                   | Plaintiff alleges violations of his Federal and State Constitutional rights as well as common law claims. More specifically, Plaintiff alleges that on February 20-21, 2016, he was unlawfully arrested by the defendant officer at a club in Power Plant Live. The defendant officer was working approved, uniformed secondary employment at the time of Plaintiff's arrest. Plaintiff further alleges that the officer used excessive force in effectuating the arrest. That alleged use of force caused a severe shoulder injury, which required surgery to repair.  | Compensatory damages and punitive damages in excess of \$75K as to each count, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees. | None   |                             |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>  | <u>No.</u>     | <u>Case Status</u> | <u>Description</u>   | <u>Monetary Demand</u>  | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>                                | <u>Manner of Resolution</u>   | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|--|----------------|--------------------|--|---|---|---|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Jerome Johnson v. BPD</i>                               | 19-cv-00698    | On Appeal          | This is a 30-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. Johnson was convicted as an accessory to the murder of Aaron Taylor. Mr. Johnson alleges the Defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and maliciously prosecuted him for these crimes. Mr. Johnson also filed a Monell claim against BPD.  | \$10 million (pre-suit notice letter)   | None  | Court granted Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, but Plaintiff has appealed the ruling to the Fourth Circuit. | 10/1/2022                 | \$0                 |
| <i>Gary Washington v. BPD, et al.</i>                      | 19-cv-02473    | Discovery Underway | This is a 30-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. Washington was convicted for the murder of Faheem Ali based on the testimony of 1 minor child (Otis Robinson). Nearly 30 years later, Robinson recanted at a post-trial proceeding and Washington was released from jail. Washington alleges that defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and manipulated eyewitness identification to cause his wrongful conviction. Washington also filed a Monell claim against BPD. | \$62 million (pre-suit notice letter)   | None  |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Rich v. Hersl, et al.</i>                               | 20-cv-00488    | Discovery Underway | Plaintiff claims that a firearm was planted on him and he was unlawfully arrested on October 2, 2007. He claims that he was arrested in part because he filed an Internal Affairs complaint against one of the officers approximately one week before he was arrested. Plaintiff also alleges that the head of IAD did not properly investigate his complaint or discipline the officers involved in Plaintiff's arrest. Plaintiff alleges claims for Federal and State Constitutional violations.                                       | \$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million in punitive damages for each of 19 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees | None  |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Open Justice Baltimore v. City of Baltimore, et al.</i> | 24-C-20-001269 | On Appeal          | Plaintiff alleges having made multiple requests for records to BPD and the City under the MPIA and that the responses were insufficient.   | \$1,000 plus attorneys' fees  | Plaintiff seeks to compel response to the MPIA request. |   |                           |                     |
| <i>Kenesha Cutchemember v. Sufraim Hassan, et al.</i>      | 24-C-20-001617 | Pending Trial      | Plaintiff alleges that, upon a second stop of her vehicle for equipment-related violations, she was detained and questioned, subjected to an illegal search, and her belongings removed from the vehicle. She alleges violations of the Maryland Constitution.   | In excess of \$50,000   | None  |   |                           |                     |
| <i>McPherson v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>    | 20-cv-00795    | Discovery Underway | This is a 24-year wrongful conviction suit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. McPherson and Mr. Simmons were convicted of the murder of Anthony Wooden, who was shot to death. They allege that police withheld witness statements and a confession from the real killer. They also filed a Monell claim against BPD.  | Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.  | None  |   |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>   | <u>No.</u>     | <u>Case Status</u> | <u>Description</u>   | <u>Monetary Demand</u>   | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>  | <u>Manner of Resolution</u> | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|---|----------------|--------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Open Justice Baltimore v. City of Baltimore, et al.</i>    | 24-C-20-001956 | MSJ Pending        | Plaintiff alleges having made multiple requests for records to BPD and the City under the MPIA and that the responses were insufficient.   | \$1,000 in statutory damages as to each of 3 requests, plus costs and attorneys' fees. | Order Defendants to provide materials responsive to each of 3 MPIA requests; enter an injunction requiring Defendants to waive fees for each request.                               |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>James Handley v. Baltimore Police Department</i>           | 20-cv-01054    | Discovery Underway | Plaintiff is a former command member alleging race and gender discrimination in violation of Title VII. Plaintiff claims that he was removed from his position as Acting Inspector under Commissioner Davis and demoted to Major under Commissioner DeSousa and involuntarily transferred from the Recruitment Division to the Southwest District. Plaintiff alleges that DeSousa's goal was to replace all Caucasian male officers with African American female officers. | In excess of \$500,000 plus costs and attorneys' fees                                  | Permanent Injunction directing BPD to remedy effects of discriminatory conduct and prevent same in the future.  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>David Dixon v. Leon Riley, et al.</i>                      | 24-C-20-003326 | Case Stayed        | Plaintiff alleges that, on or about December 2, 2019, he was stopped and detained unlawfully by the officer defendants. He further alleges that he was subjected to excessive force and wrongfully arrested. Plaintiff asserts various state law torts.  | In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 5 counts   | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Chestnut, et al. v. Kincaid, et al.</i>                    | 20-cv-02342    | Discovery Underway | Plaintiffs allege that they were wrongfully convicted of the 1983 murder of DeWitt Duckett. Plaintiffs contend that their convictions arose from the improper investigative tactics of BPD members, which targeted plaintiffs in contravention of the evidence.  | Not stated   | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Jawone D. Nicholson v. State of Maryland, et al.</i>       | 20-cv-03146    | Discovery Underway | Plaintiff alleges that, on or about November 10, 2017, he was approached by a BPD officer who proceeded to question him aggressively and pointed a firearm at him without cause.   | In excess of \$30,000 as to each of 11 counts; attorneys' fees and costs               | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Terrell Corbitt v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i> | 20-cv-03431    | Complaint Filed    | Plaintiff alleges that on or about December 15, 2017, BPD officers were engaged in a vehicle pursuit during which gunfire was exchanged between the fleeing suspect and pursuing officers. Plaintiff alleges that he was struck during the exchange of fire and asserts federal civil rights and state law tort claims.  | \$11,500,000 plus attorneys fees.  | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Deanna Efland v. Baltimore Police Department</i>           | 20-cv-03503    | Discovery Underway | Plaintiff, a BPD member, alleges that she was subjected to sex discrimination and retaliation in violation of Title VII.   | Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.   | Declaratory judgment; order requiring BPD to initiated and implement systems to ensure that individuals who file internal EEO complaints are treated in a non-discriminatory manner |                             |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>  | <u>No.</u>     | <u>Case Status</u> | <u>Description</u>   | <u>Monetary Demand</u>   | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>  | <u>Manner of Resolution</u> | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|--|----------------|--------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Henrietta Middleton v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>    | 20-cv-03536    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges that, on or about August 26, 2018, she was assaulted by a BPD member who then fabricated criminal charges against her. She asserts federal civil rights and several state tort claims.   | \$20 million as to each of 9   | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Cierra Whye v. Baltimore City Police Department, et al.</i>       | 24-C-21-000204 | Complaint Filed    | Plaintiff alleges that, on or about January 16, 2018, she called police to report that her vehicle had been stolen. Upon arrival, officers issued a citation for leaving the car running with the keys in the ignition, which Plaintiff resisted accepting, resulting in her arrest. Plaintiff alleges various tort and state constitutional claims.   | \$100,000 in respect of each of 4 claims.                                | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Faye Cottman, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>   | 21-cv-00837    | Discovery Underway | Plaintiffs allege, on behalf of a putative class of “victims of serious assaults on or after April 1, 2018” that BPD unlawfully seized and withheld their property.  | Not stated   | Various declaratory and injunctive relief relating to cessation of allegedly unconstitutional practices and related training. |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Rowena Simmons, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i> | 21-cv-00969    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiffs allege that, on or about March 21, 2020, the car in which they were driving was struck by a stolen vehicle, resulting in serious injury and death. Plaintiffs argue that, although no police vehicle struck the Plaintiffs, that officers seeking to apprehend the driver of the stolen vehicle caused the collision and that BPD's policies concerning pursuit are unconstitutional. | In Excess of \$75,000 as to each of 12 counts; costs and attorneys' fees | Complaint seeks unspecified injunctive relief.  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Sean Lewis, Jr. v. Chris Florio</i>                               | 21-cv-01159    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges that, on or about May 15, 2018, he was engaged by the defendant officer who deployed his taser on Plaintiff and detained without justification.  | \$2,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees                                   | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Tashawna Gaines v. Baltimore Police Department</i>                | 21-cv-01211    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges that she was discriminated against because of her race and retaliated against during her employment as a BPD member. She asserts various claims under Title VII and state law.   | Back pay; \$10 million; costs and attorneys' fees                        | Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Danika Yampierre v. Baltimore Police Department</i>               | 21-cv-01209    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges that she was discriminated against because of her race and sex and retaliated against during her employment as a BPD member. She asserts claims under Title VII, as well as various theories under federal and state law.  | Back pay; \$10 million; costs and attorneys' fees                        | Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Jasmin Rowlett v. Baltimore Police Department</i>                 | 21-cv-01205    | MSJ Pending        | Plaintiff, a BPD member, alleges that she was subjected to discrimination based on her sex and race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII along with other claims under state and federal law.  | \$10,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees                                  | Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct   |                             |                           |                     |





BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>  | <u>No.</u>     | <u>Case Status</u> | <u>Description</u>  | <u>Monetary Demand</u>   | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>  | <u>Manner of Resolution</u> | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|--|----------------|--------------------|---|--|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Dyllan Hildebrand v. Dean McFadden, et al.</i>                    | 24-C-21-002424 | MSJ Pending        | Plaintiff alleges that, on June 1, 20220 he was present at a protest where he was struck by police officers and wrongfully prosecuted for failing to obey a lawful order.   | In excess of \$75,000; costs and attorneys' fees   | Training protocols to address conduct alleged   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Adam Litchfield v. Ronald Rinehart, et al.</i>                    | 21-cv-02101    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges that, on or about June 1, 2021, police responded to a domestic dispute at his home. When he did not comply with the officers' requests, Plaintiff asserts that he was wrongfully arrested and, during his subsequent detention in Central Booking and Intake Center, denied his psychiatric medication. | In excess of \$75,000; \$1 million punitive damages; costs and attorneys' fees                       | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Martez Carter v. Michael Harrison, et al.</i>                     | 24-C-21-003359 | Discovery Underway | Plaintiff alleges that he was subjected to racial discrimination as an applicant in BPD's hiring process.   | In excess of \$75,000; costs and attorneys' fees   | Order directing BPD to offer a job to Plaintiff; permanent injunction directing BPD to remediate alleged discriminatory conduct |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Welai Grant v. Baltimore Police Dept.</i>                         | 21-cv-02173    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges that she was subject to various adverse actions in her employment with BPD due to racial and gender discrimination.   | \$10,000,000.00  | Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Toyia Williams v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i> | 24-C-21-003768 | Discovery Underway | Former employee alleges that she was discriminated against due to her disability and in retaliation for her protected activity. Plaintiff also claims that Defendant violated the Maryland Declaration of Rights  | In excess of \$75,000  | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Ronald Mealey v. Baltimore Police Dept., et al.</i>               | 21-cv-02332    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges that he was retaliated against after exercising his First Amendment rights to report alleged fraud, waste and abuse.  | In excess of \$1,800,000 as to each of 3 counts; in excess of \$75,000 as to each of 2 counts; costs | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Aaron Ferguson v. Baltimore Police Department</i>                 | 21-cv-02502    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff, a former BPD member, alleges that he was discriminated against in his employment because of his race in violation of Title VII.  | \$1,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees   | Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Chedais Jacques v. Baltimore Police Dept.</i>                     | 21-cv-02682    | Discovery Underway | Plaintiff alleges that he was discriminated against because of his race and national origin during his employment as a BPD member. He asserts various claims under Title VII and state law.   | \$750,000; costs and attorney's fees   | Permanent injunction directing BPD to remedy discriminatory conduct   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Lee Dotson, et al. v. Ethan Newberg, et al.</i>                   | 21-cv-02769    | Complaint Served   | Plaintiffs Kuniken and Dobson allege that, on or about April 29, 2019 and May 30, 2019, respectively, each was unlawfully stopped, detained, arrested and subjected to excessive force, when he intervened in defendants' arrest of a third party.  | In excess of \$75,000; costs and attorneys' fees   | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Sherrod Biggers v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>        | 21-cv-03061    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff, a BPD officer, alleges that the individual defendants provided false information to prosecutors causing Plaintiff to be charged with crimes, which charges were subsequently nol prossed.  | Unstated compensatory damages; costs and attorneys' fees   | None  |                             |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>  | <u>No.</u>     | <u>Case Status</u> | <u>Description</u>   | <u>Monetary Demand</u>   | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>   | <u>Manner of Resolution</u> | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|--|----------------|--------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Clarence Shipley v. Deems Disney, Jr., et al.</i>                 | 21-cv-03173    | MSJ Filed          | This is a 27-year wrongful conviction suit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. Shipley convicted of the murder of Kevin Smith. They allege that police manipulated evidence to implicate Mr. Shipley despite his innocence. They also filed a Monell claim against BPD. | Not Stated   | None   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Open Justice Baltimore v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i> | 24-C-21-005650 | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges deficiencies in BPD's response to an MPIA request.   | Statutory damages of \$1,000; costs and attorneys' fees                                | Various declaratory judgments; order defendants to deliver requested documents without cost; require defendants to respond to all future requests without cost |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Sanford Heisler Sharp, LLP v. Jane Doe, et al.</i>                | 24-C-21-005657 | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff seeks to interplead the BPD to address a question relating to disposition of subsequently-expunged records police records relevant to an unrelated civil matter to which BPD is not a party.   | None   | Require BPD to interplead as to disposition of disputed records; restrain defendants from instituting action against Plaintiff                                 |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Bonaparte v. Harrison, et al.</i>                                 | 24-C-21-005977 | Discovery Underway | Plaintiff is a former Deputy Commissioner of the BPD who alleges that he was wrongfully discharged and portrayed in a false light.   | In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages | None   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Apryl Santiago-Harvey v. Mayor and City Council, et al.</i>       | 24-C-21-003827 | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges that, on or about September 26, 2019, the defendant officer responded to a call for service at her home and killed her dog without lawful reason.  | \$75,000 as to each of 12 counts plus costs, fees and punitive damages                 | None   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Wayne Kevin Brown, Jr. v. Christopher Nguyen, et al.</i>          | 24-C-22-001637 | Complaint Served   | Plaintiff alleges that, on August 12, 2020 he was assaulted by an individual and, upon the arrival of the defendant officers, they failed to protect Plaintiff from further injury, provide medical treatment, or otherwise respond appropriately.   | In excess of \$75,000  | None   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Nolan Kinard Floyd v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>     | 22-cv-00491    | Complaint Served   | Plaintiff alleges that, on February 26, 2019, a BPD member improperly altered a charging document and committed perjury.   | \$500,000; punitive damages of \$500,000; costs  | Declaratory relief   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Demetric Simon v. Keith Gladstone, et al.</i>                     | 22-cv-00549    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges that, on March 26, 2014, former members of the GTTF and other BPD officers conspired to plant evidence on him, resulting in Plaintiff's arrest and detention.  | \$8,500,000; treble damages; costs and attorneys' fees                                 | None   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Roderick Colvin v. Baltimore City Police Department</i>           | 24-C-21-000496 | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff seeks judicial review of BPD's response to his records request under the MPIA.   | Not stated   | Order compelling BPD to produce requested records  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Djene Traore v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>           | 20-cv-00793    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff, a former BPD employee, alleges that she was discriminated and retaliated against based on her race, culminating in her termination, in violation of Title VII and state law.  | Not stated   | None   |                             |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>  | <u>No.</u>     | <u>Case Status</u> | <u>Description</u>  | <u>Monetary Demand</u>  | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>  | <u>Manner of Resolution</u> | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|--|----------------|--------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Dominique Wiggins v. Baltimore Police Department</i>                        | 22-cv-1089     | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.   | \$1,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees  | Permanent injunction requiring remediation of alleged practices   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Marcus Johnson v. Baltimore Police Department</i>                           | 22-cv-01356    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.   | \$1,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees  | Permanent injunction requiring remediation of alleged practices   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Zayne Abdullah, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>           | 22-cv-01364    | Complaint Filed    | Plaintiffs allege that BPD members wrongfully arrested and incarcerated based on an intentional mischaracterization of an altercation between themselves and Defendant Sgt. Simpson.  | In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 11 claims; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages  | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Adrian Ortiz v. Baltimore Police Department</i>                             | 22-cv-01396    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.   | \$500,000; costs and attorneys' fees  | Permanent injunction requiring remediation of alleged practices   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Deitra Pitts v. Baltimore Police Department</i>                             | 22-cv-01404    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.   | \$1,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees  | Permanent injunction requiring remediation of alleged practices   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Luis Garcia v. Baltimore Police Department</i>                              | 22-cv-01423    | MTD Pending        | Plaintiff alleges discrimination in employment on the basis of gender, race, and retaliation in violation of Title VII.   | \$1,000,000; costs and attorneys' fees  | Permanent injunction requiring remediation of alleged practices   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Helen Washington v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.,</i>        | 24-C-22-002579 | Complaint Filed    | State case brought by current employee who alleged that she was discriminated against due to her age and was retaliated against.  | In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages      | Injunctive relief in the form of reinstatement to previous higher-paid position   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Ethan Newberg v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>                    | 22-cv-01332    | Complaint Filed    | Plaintiff alleges that BPD portrayed him in a false light when holding a press conference about his misconduct. BPD also falsely arrested him and maliciously prosecuted him.   | In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages      | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Open Justice Baltimore, et al. v. Baltimore City Law Department, et al.</i> | 22-cv-01901    | Complaint Filed    | Plaintiffs allege that BPD and the Law Department collude to deny Plaintiffs access to records pursuant to the MPIA, purportedly in violation of the First Amendment.   | Waiver of all fees associated with Plaintiffs' numerous requests; costs and attorneys' fees | Order directing BPD to provide all records requested by Plaintiffs without charge within 10 days; order compelling Defendants to make various staffing changes; various declaratory judgments |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Stanley Bass v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>             | 24-C-22-003429 | Complaint Filed    | Plaintiff alleges that GTTF officers planted guns and drugs on him, falsified statements of probable cause, and otherwise caused him to be unlawfully arrested  | In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages      | None  |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Ray Maier v. Sgt. Paul Sinchak et al.</i>                                   | 24-C-22-003716 | Complaint Filed    | Plaintiff alleges that the defendant BPD officers used excessive force when they discharged their weapons against a suspect, which resulted in her being wounded as an innocent third party bystander, resulting in painful, permanent and debilitating injuries; and , violating her MD Declaration of Rights. | In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages      | None  |                             |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
- Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>   | <u>No.</u>     | <u>Case Status</u> | <u>Description</u>   | <u>Monetary Demand</u>   | <u>Injunctive Demand</u> | <u>Manner of Resolution</u> | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|---|----------------|--------------------|--|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>William Bradley v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i> | 1:22-cv-00641  | Complaint Filed    | Plaintiff alleges police misconduct, claiming that police improperly searched his home and fabricated evidence. Plaintiff claims that he was improperly detained by BPD.   | In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages | None                     |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Jeffrey Lily, et al. v. Baltimore City</i>                 | 24-C-22-003986 | Complaint Filed    | Plaintiff alleges that certain defendants breached a contract they had with him to breed dogs. Plaintiff also alleges that BPD Defendants violated his rights by pressuring him, interfering with the contract, and otherwise violating the law. | In excess of \$75,000 as to each of claim; costs and attorneys' fees; punitive damages | None                     |                             |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Labor and Employment Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>  | <u>No.</u>  | <u>Case Status</u>                | <u>Description</u>  | <u>Monetary Demand</u>    | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>       | <u>Manner of Resolution</u> | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|--|-------------|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Roberta Hines v. M&amp;CC</i>                   | 22-cv-1243  | Complaint received                | Plaintiff alleges claims of sexual harassment, retaliation and ADA  | \$171,000                 | NA                             | Pending                     |                           |                     |
| <i>Adrian Ortiz v. BPD et al.</i>                  | 22-cv-1396  | Recently served complaint         | Plaintiff alleges discrimination based on race, sex, national origin and retaliation  | \$500,000                 | declaratory, injunctive relief |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Vincent DeSantis v. M&amp;CC</i>                | 20-cv-3165  | Discovery Phase                   | Plaintiff claims he was discriminated against on account of his alleged disability, gender, age and race.   | Unspecified               | None                           | Pending                     |                           |                     |
| <i>LaTonya Bryant v. M&amp;CC</i>                  | 21-cv-545   | Partial Motion to dismiss pending | Plaintiff alleges that she was terminated while on FMLA in violation of same and state and federal ADA laws. She also alleges age discrimination and retaliation. | \$975,000                 | reinstatement                  | Pending                     |                           |                     |
| <i>Luis Garcia v. BPD et al.</i>                   | 22-cv-1423  | Recently served complaint         | Plaintiff alleges retaliation, hostile work environment.  | \$1,000,000               | declaratory and injunctive     | Pending                     |                           |                     |
| <i>Idris Abdus-Shahid v. M&amp;CC</i>              | 22-cv-02367 | Recently served complaint         | Plaintiff alleges retaliation based on race and protected activity  | Unspecified               | injunctive relief              |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Nicole Tynes v. M&amp;CC et al.</i>             | 22-cv-1452  | Preparing partial MTD             | Plaintiff alleges race discrimination and retaliation.  | Unspecified               | NA                             | Pending                     |                           |                     |
| <i>Shanea Watkins v. M&amp;CC</i>                  | 21-cv-1926  | Awaiting Scheduling Order         | Plaintiff claims that while applying for energy assistance a city employee sexually harassed her by touching her buttocks and commenting on her body.             | \$975,000                 | None                           | Pending                     |                           |                     |
| <i>Helen Washington v. BPD et al.</i>              | 22-cv-02212 | Removal to federal court          | Plaintiff alleges age and discrimination and retaliation.   | In excess of \$150,000.00 | injunctive relief              | Pending                     |                           |                     |
| <i>Lamar Williams v. Nicholas Fontanez, et al.</i> | 22-cv-1882  | MTD filed                         | Plaintiff alleges his superiors defamed his character.  | \$2,450,000               | None                           | Pending                     |                           |                     |
| <i>Andre Johnson v. M&amp;CC</i>                   | 22-3268     | Pending Answer                    | Plaintiff alleges retaliation after filing EEOC Charge  | Unspecified               | Reinstatement                  | Pending                     |                           |                     |
| <i>Brandon Starks v. M&amp;CC</i>                  | 22-1174     | Pending MTD                       | Plaintiff alleges sexual harassment   | \$150k                    |                                | Pending                     |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Appellate Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>  | <u>No.</u>        | <u>Case Status</u>  | <u>Description</u>  | <u>Monetary Demand</u>                          | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>   | <u>Manner of Resolution</u>  | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|--|-------------------|---|---|---|--|--|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>In the Matter of the Petition of Donald Gaff</i>                                | CSA-REG-1993-2021 | Awaiting decision   | Former police officer seeks reversal of disciplinary action terminating his employment.   | None  | Reversal of BPD disciplinary action.                                     |  |                           |                     |
| <i>In the Matter of the Petition of Eric Payton</i>                                | CSA-REG-1122-2022 | Awaiting briefing order   | Police Officer appealing Worker's Comp. Commission's finding that he was not injured in the course of his employment.   | None  | Reversal of WCC finding  |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Kerron Andrews v. Baltimore Police Department</i>                               | 18-1953           | On limited remand for further factfinding in district court; Fourth Circuit has retained jurisdiction | Andrews sued the police department and individual officers who used a cell-site simulator to locate Andrews's cell phone and, thus, him, to execute a warrant for his arrest for attempted murder. The district court granted summary judgment against Andrews, but the Fourth Circuit ordered a limited remand for further factfinding before ruling on the propriety of the district court's ruling   | \$100,000+                                      | Injunction prohibiting use of cell-site simulators                       |  |                           |                     |
| <i>In the Matter of the Petition of Mary Nowlin, et al</i>                         | CSA-REG-2018-2021 | Awaiting decision   | Dispute of zoning decision.   | None  | Reversal of zoning decision.   |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Michelle Gross, et al. v. Francisco Hopkins, et al.</i>                         | 22-1376           | Awaiting decision in U.S. 4th Circuit   | Plaintiffs sue four police officers and an unnamed confidential informant alleging multiple violations of their Federal Constitutional rights as the result of the execution of a search warrant at on October 25, 2015 at a home owned or occupied by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs allege the officers rushed into the home, pointed a gun at two of the plaintiffs, and ordered them into a room. Plaintiffs' phones were taken for the duration of the search. A canine unit searched Plaintiff Gross' car without a warrant and without permission. Plaintiffs claim that the warrant was issued based upon false information, and sue one officer for his alleged role in obtaining the warrant and executing it, the confidential informant that allegedly provided the false information, and the other officer defendants for their alleged roles in executing the warrant. | Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint | None   |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Open Justice Baltimore v. Baltimore Police Dept., et al.</i>                    | COA-REG-20-2022   | Awaiting decision   | Plaintiff seeking release of large amounts of privileged and otherwise protected documents without payment of statutorily provided fees.  |   | Release of protected documents, and provision of copies without payment. |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Thornton Mellon LLC, et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i> | CSA-REG-0144-2022 | Appellant seeking cert in Supreme Court of Maryland   | Tax sale purchaser challenges the legality of the Deed Review Fee imposed on tax sale purchasers.   | None  | Invalidation of Deed Review Fee  | Appellate Court of Maryland affirmed favorable circuit court decision. Appellant seeking certiorari. |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Appellate Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>  | <u>No.</u>   | <u>Case Status</u>   | <u>Description</u>  | <u>Monetary Demand</u>         | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>   | <u>Manner of Resolution</u>  | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Al Czervik LLC, v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>                        | CSA-REG-2025-2021                                    | Appellant seeking cert in Supreme Court of Maryland                    | Tax sale purchaser demands tax sale deeds be issued without paying the Deed Review Fee imposed on tax sale purchasers.  | None                           | Injunction requiring the issuance of tax sale deed without Deed Review Fee | Appellate Court of Maryland affirmed favorable circuit court decision. Appellant seeking certiorari. |                           |                     |
| <i>Al Czervik LLC, et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>                | CSA-REG-656-2022                                     | Stayed; Awaiting cert petition decision from Supreme Court of Maryland | Tax sale purchaser challenges the legality of the Deed Review Fee imposed on tax sale purchasers.   | None                           | Invalidation of Deed Review Fee  |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Al Czervik LLC, et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>                | CSA-REG-567-2022                                     | Awaiting oral argument   | Tax sale purchaser challenges the amount it gets reimbursed when a tax sale is deemed void ab initio.   | Unspecified interest and fees. | Overruling of Howard Cnty. v. Heartwood 88, LLC, 178 Md. App. 491 (2008)   |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Al Czervik LLC, et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al. (Consolidated)</i> | CSA-REG-893-2022; CSA-REG-894-2022; CSA-REG-895-2022 | In briefing  | Tax sale purchaser seeks reimbursement for water and code enforcement charges paid in connection with tax sale  | None                           | Seeking payment of charges from surplus bid amount held for prior owner.   |  |                           |                     |
| <i>In the Matter of the Petition of Karl Rusk</i>  | CSA-REG-1155-2022                                    | In briefing  | Civil service discipline dispute.   | Back pay.                      | Reinstatement.   |  |                           |                     |
| <i>In the Matter of the Petition of Michael Pool</i>   | CSA-REG-0439-2022                                    | Awaiting oral argument   | Police discipline dispute.  | Back pay                       | Reversal of BPD disciplinary action.                                       |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Darryl Montague v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>                                | CSA-REG-0731-2022                                    | In briefing  | Suit alleging negligence in design and maintenance of street dismissed for plaintiff's lack of an expert opinion regarding design and maintenance of streets. | In excess of \$75,000          |  |  |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Appellate Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>  | <u>No.</u>   | <u>Case Status</u>   | <u>Description</u>   | <u>Monetary Demand</u> | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>  | <u>Manner of Resolution</u>  | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|--|--|--|--|------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>In the Matter of the Petition of Ghenretnsae G. Mangisteab, et al (and thirty cases consolidated therewith)</i> | CSA-REG-093-2022<br>(consolidated with 094 through 123 of 2022 term as well) | Favorable CSA decision.  | Suit challenges zoning changes that require some liquor stores to cease selling alcohol after a period of years.   | none                   | Plaintiffs seek order invalidating the changes to the zoning laws.          | Appellate Court of Maryland affirmed favorable circuit court decision.       | 12/20/2022                | \$0                 |
| <i>David Esteppe v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>  | CSA-REG-0728-2022  | In briefing  | Suit seek ruling that BPD is required to indemnify civil judgment against former officer who was convicted of perjury for lying on a search warrant in order to harass his friend's ex-boyfriend.  | \$166,008              |   |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Mayor and City Council of Baltimore v. Amadihe Kennon</i>   | CSA-REG-0554-2022  | Awaiting oral argument   | City appealing trial court's refusal to allow question of contributory negligence to go to the jury in an auto tort case.  | \$325,000 jury award   |   |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Presidential Title LLC v MCCB</i>   | COA-PET-0236-2022;<br>CSA-REG-0922-2021                                      | Favorable CSA decision;<br>Plaintiff's request for Cert denied | Challenge to application of recordation taxes that trial court dismissed because it needed to be resolved in Tax Court.  |                        | Order requiring circuit court to resolve dispute without going to Tax Court | Favorable circuit court decision affirmed; plaintiff's cert petition denied. | 12/19/2022                |                     |
| <i>Roche v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>  | CSA-REG-1323-2021  | Awaiting decision in CSA                                       | Challenge to immunity-based dismissal of BPD officer in wrongful death suit where plaintiff alleges officer should have physically detained her son sooner, before he had a chance to self-harm.   | In excess of \$75,000  |   |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Adkins v. MCCB</i>  | 22-2315  | In informal briefing   | Challenge in Fourth Circuit to dismissal of negligence and constitutional claims after plaintiff's property was demolished, allegedly without notice or just compensation.   | \$627,500              |   |  |                           |                     |
| <i>Jerome Johnson v. BPD</i>   | 22-2095(L); 22-2134 (cross)  | In briefing  | This is a 30-year wrongful conviction lawsuit was dismissed as a litigation sanction because Plaintiff was caught on tape tampering with a witness and used fraudulent affidavits to gain his release. Summary judgment against him was also granted. He is challenging the dismissal/summary judgment of his case in the Fourth Circuit. BPD is challenging the trial court's failure to award attorneys' fees. | \$10 million           |   |  |                           |                     |
| <i>MCCB v. Jamie Wallace</i>   | CSA-REG-1644-2022  | Awaiting briefing order  | Plaintiff was injured while riding a bike in City park. State law grants immunity against such suits in parks, but the trial court treated the park like a street instead. The City seeks a reversal of the jury award.  | \$100,000 jury award.  |   |  |                           |                     |





BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
- Appellate Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>  | <u>No.</u>        | <u>Case Status</u>      | <u>Description</u>   | <u>Monetary Demand</u> | <u>Injunctive Demand</u>                          | <u>Manner of Resolution</u> | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Payment</u> |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Reece v. MCCB</i>   | ACM-REG-1882-2022 | Awaiting briefing order | Plaintiff injured in City park. Jury found in favor of City. Plaintiff challenges evidentiary ruling on appeal.                        | In excess of \$75,000  |   |                             |                           |                     |
| <i>Abell Foundation v. Baltimore Development Corp., et al.</i> | ACM-REG-1890-2022 | Awaiting briefing order | Plaintiff seeking release of privileged and otherwise protected documents concerning PILOT agreements concerning Harbor East property. | none                   | Release of confidential and privileged documents. |                             |                           |                     |



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
**SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT**  
 - Affirmative Litigation Practice Group -

| <u>Name</u>                               | <u>No.</u>            | <u>Case Status</u>  | <u>Description</u>  | <u>Monetary Demand</u> | <u>Injunctive Demand</u> | <u>Manner of Resolution</u>  | <u>Date of Resolution</u> | <u>City Recovery*</u>   |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|
| <i>In re: Lipitor Antitrust</i>           | 3:12-cv-02389-PGS-DEA | In discovery  | Antitrust case against Pfizer for colluding to keep generic from entering the marketplace   | In excess of \$100,000 |                          |  |                           |   |
| <i>MCCB v. Bank of America, et al</i>     | 1:19-cv-02667         | Discovery Underway  | Antitrust case against several banks for colluding to fix rates on City's VRBO bonds  | TBD                    |                          |  |                           |   |
| <i>MCCB v. AstraZeneca</i>                | 1:20-cv-01090-CFC     | In discovery  | Antitrust case for colluding to keep generic Seroquel off the market  | In excess of \$100,000 |                          |  |                           |   |
| <i>MCC v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.</i>   | 24-C-18-000515        | MTD Ripe  | Purdue and other opioid manufacturers/distributors flooded the market with their product, encouraged overprescribing, and neglected their monitoring duties.    | TBD                    | Yes                      | City is receiving just over \$470,000 in block grants from Mallinkrodt bankruptcy; the remainder of the case is still active |                           |   |
| <i>MCC v. BP PLC et al.</i>               | 24-C-18-004219        | Defendants petitioned for cert to SCOTUS on 4th Cir's decision to remand to state | BP and other fossil fuel companies knew of climate change dangers posed by their products, covered it up, and suppressed competition from energy alternatives.  | TBD                    |                          |  |                           |   |
| <i>MCC v. Juul Labs, Inc.</i>             | 20STCV21633           | Scheduling Orders Pending   | Juul marketed their vaping products to minors.  | TBD                    |                          |  |                           |   |
| <i>MCC v. Monsanto</i>                    | 1:19-cv-00483         | Final approval given by court to settlement                                       | Monsanto knowingly manufactured "forever chemicals" that pollute City waterways.  | TBD                    |                          | Court approved final settlement. Payment of \$7.5m to City expected within 60 days   |                           | \$7.5 million (pending court approval) with the opportunity to petition for additional funds after 1 year |
| <i>MCC v Janssen</i>                      | 1:19-cv-00605         | Transferred and consolidated in New Jersey  | Antitrust case for colluding to keep generic Zytiga from market   | In excess of \$100,000 |                          |  |                           |   |
| <i>MCC v. Polymer80</i>                   | 24C22002482           | In discovery; court denied Defs' MTDs   | Nuisance, etc. lawsuit against Polymer 80 and a local distributor for sale of ghost gun kits  | TBD                    | Yes                      |  |                           |   |
| <i>MCC v. Phillip Morris et al.</i>       | 24C22004904           | Comp filed; Defs responses due to court on Feb 27, 2023                           | Lawsuit against cigarette companies for filter litter   | TBD                    | Yes                      |  |                           |   |
| <i>MCC v. 3M et al.</i>                   | 1:22-cv-02866         | Comp. filed; case transferred to MDL  | Lawsuit against DuPont, 3M, etc. for PFAS contamination in waterways  | TBD                    |                          |  |                           |   |
| <i>MCC v. Potts &amp; Callahan et al.</i> | 24C21005153           | Discovery in progress   | Suit against BGE subcontractors and utility marking company for incident in which Potts pierced a City-owned 72 inch pressurized sewage main with a pile driver | \$7 million            |                          |  |                           |   |

\* NOTE: The Affirmative Litigation Practice Group represents the City as a plaintiff alleging that money is owed to the City. Sums indicated in this column reflect amounts recovered on behalf of the City.