



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Arena Ventures v. SMG, et al.</i>	03-C-13-002705	Appeal pending	Plaintiff sued City operator of the Baltimore Arena for unjust enrichment and trespass to chattels for the use of the billboard structures attached to the building. City had agreed to defend and indemnify operator because City previously made representations that it owned the billboard structures when RFP to operate Arena went out. Plaintiff awarded damages of \$1.457M on 2/2/20.	\$5,000,000	None			
<i>Hancock, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-20-000676	Defense verdict on motion; appeal pending	Plaintiffs filed wrongful death and survivorship actions against the City related to the death of young man who was working for a City contractor doing repair work for Rec and Parks. Decedent killed when a trench collapsed on him. City has tendered defense to the contractor's liability carrier.	\$800,000	None			
<i>L. Roane, et al. v. Laprade</i>	24-C-19-004228	Discovery	Plaintiffs filed wrongful death and survivorship actions against City driver. Decedent was killed when a large utility truck ran him over while moving from a parked position.	\$800,000	None			
<i>CSX v. Spiniello and MCCB</i>	19-cv-02976	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges significant property damages to Curtis Bay Coal Terminal for two events in which raw sewage entered a water filtration system on the property. The first event was related to a sanitary overflow from a manhole. The second event was caused by Spiniello, which was acting as a City contractor performing sanitary system improvements.	\$1,900,000	None			
<i>Garcia, et al. v. MTA and MCCB</i>	24-C-19-002697	Discovery	Plaintiff and wife allege personal injuries and loss of consortium after Plaintiff tripped and fell due to a missing brick adjacent to the light rail station at Camden Yards.	\$400,000	None			
<i>Montague, et al. v. MCCB</i>	24-C-17-006619	Remanded to trial court	Plaintiffs filed wrongful death and survivorship actions after decedent crashed car on a curvy portion of Cold Spring Avenue.	\$200,000	None			
<i>Wheelabrator, et al. v. MCCB</i>	19-cv-01264	USDC ruled in favor of Plaintiffs; Appeal pending	Plaintiffs sought invalidation of City's Clean Air Act alleging that it was pre-empted by state and federal law	None	Invalidation of City's Clean Air Act			
<i>Cherry, et al. v. MCCB</i>	24-C-16-004670	Appeal pending	Class action where plaintiffs were members of police and fire pension system seeking damages for pension reforms enacted in 2010. As to claims of active members of plan, judgment entered in favor of City. As to approximately 1,500 retirees or retiree eligibles of plan, judgment entered against City in amount of \$31M	\$100,000,000+				
<i>Johnson v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-001060	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges personal injuries after stepping into an open water meter vault.	\$150,000	None			
<i>RWN-200 East Lexington Street, LLC v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-001153	Discovery	Plaintiff seeks compensation claiming that it was overbilled for water at its property over several years	\$500,000+	Put hold on all current and future water bills, correct water bills			
<i>Friends of Gwynns Falls, et al. v. MCCB and BGE</i>	24-C-19-002271	Discovery	Plaintiffs is suing the City and BGE over deal to allow gas pipeline to run through the park for \$2.4M	None	Renegotiation of gas pipeline franchise fee			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>St. Paul Place Partnership, LLC v. MCCB and MPEI</i>	24-C-19-001311	Discovery	Plaintiff is suing for property damage sustained as a result of flooding to property allegedly caused by construction activity by City and its contractor, Monumental Paving	\$400,000+	None			
<i>Petroleum Fuel and Terminal v. MCCB</i>	24-C-19-003804	Answer pending	Plaintiff seeks to prevent property from being sold in tax sale for disputed delinquent water bills.	None	Prevention of tax sale			
<i>Chae Bros, et al. v. MCCB</i>	17-cv-01757	Discovery	Approximately 65 plaintiff business owners are seeking damages under the Riot Act for property destroyed during the Freddie Gray riots	\$10,000,000	None			
<i>Mincy v. MCCB</i>	24-C-19-005413	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges that a board from a City-owned vacant rowhouse blew off of the property and struck her in the head rendering her unconscious and suffering traumatic brain injuries	\$100,000+	None			
<i>C. Roane v. MCCB</i>	24-C-18-005723	Discovery	Plaintiff sued for the wrongful death of her son who was run over by a large utility truck. This case is consolidated with <i>L. Roane, et al. v. Laprade</i> .	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Murphy v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-19-004379	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges he was caused to crash a Lime scooter and suffer personal injuries as a result of construction activities on the designated bike trail near Harborplace	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Brown v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-19-006513	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges he was caused to fall over a guardrail and injure himself.	\$1,000,000	None			
<i>Smith v. Tyler and MCCB</i>	20-cv-00763	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges he was injured when a City truck attempted a left hand turn in front of his motorcycle causing serious injuries	\$500,000+	None			
<i>Kirkner v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-001849	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges he was injured after falling due to missing bricks near the light rail line on Howard Street near the Geppi Museum	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Arscott v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-20-002492	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges she tripped and fell on defective pavement in front of 100 E. Pratt Street, breaking her hip.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Harrell and House v. MCCB and Hollard</i>	24-C-20-002388	Discovery	Plaintiffs allege police officer ran a stop sign and collided into their vehicle causing injuries.	\$100,000+/each	None			
<i>Estate of B. Johnson v. MCCB, et al.</i>	24-C-20-002678	Answer pending	Plaintiff, now deceased, alleges she fell into a hole outside her home causing injuries.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Blair v. MCCB</i>	24-C-19-005120	MSJ pending	Plaintiff was jogging and tripped on an uneven sidewalk causing injuries.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Lockwood, et al. v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-002497	Discovery	Plaintiff and his spouse allege that a City water maintenance crew left a large hole in the street unprotected and that he suffered traumatic brain injuries after driving into the hole.	\$100,000+	None			
<i>Willowbrook Apartment Associates, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	20-cv-01818	Responsive pleading pending	Plaintiffs, numerous landlords in Baltimore City, City of Salisbury and Howard County, have sued the City and the other jurisdictions seeking monetary and injunctive relief over ordinances passed to prohibit rent increases during the COVID emergency and until 90 days after the emergency is lifted by the Governor.	presently undetermined but includes attorney's fees	Invalidation of Act; injunction against enforcement of Act			
<i>Edwards v. MCCB</i>	20-cv-01389	Responsive pleading pending	Plaintiff alleges the City committed a "taking" of his property by rezoning it as Open Space.	\$1,000,000+	None			
<i>Barnett v. MCCB</i>	24-C-19-002520	Plaintiff verdict; appeal pending	Plaintiff claims to have tripped on a water meter cover causing her to fall and injure herself.	\$293,000 verdict	None			
<i>Todman, et al. v. MCCB, et al.</i>	19-cv-03296	Motion to dismiss pending	Plaintiffs are challenging the Eviction Chattel Law governing the disposition of tenant property after an eviction.	\$100,000+	Invalidation of Act			
<i>Guest v. MCCB</i>	03-C-20-003181-	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges injuries after his car slid on ice caused by a leaking water meter and crash into a house	\$400,000	None			
<i>Erie Ins. Aso Argetakis v. Zane Corp and MCCB</i>	24-C-20-003018	Discovery	Plaintiff's property was destroyed after a neighboring property was condemned and then razed.	\$352,000	None			
<i>Duffy v. MCCB</i>	24-C-19-002985	Pending Trial	Plaintiff claims to have tripped and fell on a sidewalk crack near the Baltimore Convention Center.	\$375,000	None			
<i>Hopkins v. MCCB</i>	24-C-19-002450	Discovery	Plaintiff claims to have fell into an open manhole cover at or near the intersection of Jeffrey Street and 9th Street.	\$200,000	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
- Litigation Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Peterson v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-003607	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges personal injuries after stepping into an open water meter vault.	\$100,000	None			
<i>Anderson v. Veolia and MCCB</i>	24-C-20-003035	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges he was injured after a steam pipe exploded.	\$100,000	None			
<i>Davies v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-002774	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges she was severely injured after stepping down of the curb into an uneven storm drain inlet	\$100,000+	None			
<i>McCulloch v. MCCB</i>	24-C-20-003672	Discovery	Plaintiff alleges she tripped and fell on a defective sidewalk.	\$100,000	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Kerron Andrews v. Baltimore City Police Department, et al.</i>	16-cv-02010	Discovery Underway	This lawsuit alleges that BPD officers used cell site simulator technology without a warrant or other court order, thus violating Plaintiff's rights. This resulted in Plaintiff's arrest and incarceration for 2 years. Plaintiff alleges BPD entered into an agreement with the creator of the cell simulator technology that BPD would not disclose the existence of the technology in exchange for access to the technology. Plaintiff alleges violations of his rights under the 4th and 14th Amendments to the U.S. Constitution and pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 as well as under the Maryland Constitution.	In excess of \$75,000	Permanent injunction that prohibits officers from using cell site simulator technology to track individuals without first obtaining a warrant that describes with reasonable particularity the location where the cell-site simulator may be activated			
<i>James Davis v. Hersl</i>	24-C-16-003513	Discovery Underway	Mr. Davis alleges that on Dec. 12, 2014, he was walking on Harford Road and was accosted by former Det. Hersl. Hersl then struck him in his mouth and assaulted him. He was then charged with burglary, possession with intent to distribute CDS and other charges. Charges were dropped on June 11, 2015.	\$1,000,000	None			
<i>Jones v. Jordon, et al.</i>	16-cv-02662	Pending Trial	The case alleges excessive force by Defendant Officers Joshua Jordan and former officer, Russell Tonks on August 17, 2014. Defendants were responding to the scene of an open-air drug market per a 911 dispatch. Defendant and another individual were identified as potential participants in the activity. After being stopped and questioned, Plaintiff attempted to flee. He was caught and tackled in the process. Plaintiff sustained a head injury, which required surgery. Plaintiff now alleges permanent brain injury as a result of a "rough tackle."	Monetary damages not specified in the Complaint.	None			
<i>Howard Fish v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	17-cv-01438	Closed	Alleges battery, violations of MD Declaration of Rights Articles 24 & 26, false arrest, malicious prosecution, and intentional infliction of emotional distress.	\$8,000,000	None	Settlement	8/18/2020	\$10,000
<i>Ashley Overbey, et al. v. MCC</i>	17-cv-01793	MSJ Decided in Favor of Plaintiff; Fee Petition Pending	Alleges violation of Plaintiffs 1st Amendment rights via the enforcement of the non-disparagement clause included in Overbey's settlement agreement.	\$31,500 plus interest	Prohibit use of confidentiality clause in settlement agreements.			
<i>Verdessa McDougald v. Detective Michael Spinnato</i>	17-cv-02898	MSJ Ripe	Plaintiff, on behalf of the Estate of Tyree Woodson, alleges that officers wrongfully failed to search Mr. Woodson for weapons upon taking him into custody, resulting in Mr. Woodson's death by gunshot to the head while in custody. Plaintiff asserts wrongful death and survival actions under state law.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 4 counts.	None			
<i>Darrius Kimbrough v. Tyler Sentz, et al.</i>	17-cv-03477	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages as well as attorneys' fees for alleged violations of his Federal Constitutional rights. More specifically, Plaintiff claims that he was unlawfully detained and arrested on August 6, 2014 based on the officers' allegation that Plaintiff stole a car. The juvenile case against Plaintiff was dismissed. Plaintiff allegedly sustained unspecified physical injuries as the result of excessive force used during his arrest.	Monetary damages not specified in the Complaint.	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Michelle Gross, et al. v. Francisco Hopkins, et al.</i>	17-cv-03479	MTD Pending	Plaintiffs sue four police officers and an unnamed confidential informant alleging multiple violations of their Federal Constitutional rights as the result of the execution of a search warrant at on October 25, 2015 at a home owned or occupied by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs allege the officers rushed into the home, pointed a gun at two of the plaintiffs, and ordered them into a room. Plaintiffs' phones were taken for the duration of the search. A canine unit searched Plaintiff Gross' car without a warrant and without permission. Plaintiffs claim that the warrant was issued based upon false information, and sue one officer for his alleged role in obtaining the warrant and executing it, the confidential informant that allegedly provided the false information, and the other officer defendants for their alleged roles in executing the warrant.	Monetary damages not specified in the Complaint.	None			
<i>Theresa Rouse v. City of Baltimore</i>	17-cv-03482	Pending Trial	Plaintiffs allege that, on or about May 7, 2016, they were subjected to an unjustified and excessive use of force. Further, officers are alleged to have falsified reports in support of criminal charges that were ultimately dropped. Plaintiffs assert various federal constitutional violations.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 7 counts.	None			
<i>Kenneth Bumgardner v. Marcus Taylor, et al</i>	18-cv-1438	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff alleges multiple violations of his Federal and State Constitutional rights as a result of his arrest by GTTF officers on February 9, 2016. More specifically, Plaintiff alleges that after his car was stopped, he was struck by a blunt object. He received medical assistance from paramedics and subsequently he self-admitted to Mercy Hospital, where he was treated for a fractured mandible and sprained back.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million per count in punitive damages for each of 53 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Deon Waters v. Nelson Flores</i>	24-C-18-003385	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages for alleged common law and State Constitutional violations. Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that, on April 3, 2018, at or near the 1900 block of Elgin Avenue in Baltimore City, the vehicle Plaintiff was operating was unlawfully stopped by the defendant. The stop was based upon the officer's claim that Plaintiff was not wearing a seat belt. Plaintiff further alleges that, during the course of the stop, he was groped by the officer in the course of an illegal pat-down. As the result of the stop, Plaintiff was issued a traffic citation for failure to wear a seat belt. Plaintiff appeared for trial on the traffic citation and it was dismissed (the officer, who had resigned from the BPD for unrelated reasons, did not appear for trial).	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None			
<i>Umar Burley, et al v. BPD</i>	18-cv-01743	Settlement Pending	Plaintiffs allege that they were stopped by former GTTF officers. The officers in question had guns drawn, were wearing masks, and were driving unmarked vehicles. The Plaintiffs, believing that they were being robbed, fled the scene at a high rate of speed. During their attempt to flee the scene, the Plaintiffs ran a stop sign and crashed their vehicle into a third party causing the death of Elbert Davis and injuries to Phosa Cain.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	None			
<i>Blanton Roberts v. BPD et al</i>	18-cv-01940	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff alleges that the defendant officers approached the Plaintiff for no reason, arrested him and subsequently placed a gun on his person. The officers would likely testify that they observed the plaintiff to be in possession of a handgun, arrested him based on the violation and found several baggies of marijuana on his person incident to arrest. The event took place on October 7, 2015, at 10:55pm.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million per count in punitive damages for each of 41 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Shirley Johnson, et al v. Baltimore City Police Department et al.</i>	18-cv-02375	MTD Decided	Plaintiffs represent the estate of Elbert Davis, Phosa Cain, and the estates of the deceased children of Elbert Davis and Phosa Cain. The Plaintiffs allege that two suspects were stopped by former GTTF officers. The officers in question had guns drawn, were wearing masks, and were driving unmarked vehicles. The suspects, believing that they were being robbed, fled the scene at a high rate of speed. During their attempt to flee the scene, the suspects ran a stop sign and crashed their vehicle into a third party causing the death of Elbert Davis and injuries to Phosa Cain.	Monetary damages not specified in the Complaint.	None			
<i>Richard Stokes v. Wayne Jenkins, et al.</i>	24-C-18-004643	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on September 3, 2015, he was approached by defendants who chased him, and he fled. Defendants allegedly captured Plaintiff and fabricated charges against him, which were subsequently dismissed.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 6 counts; costs and attorneys' fees.	None			
<i>Devon B. Harrod v. BPD et al</i>	18-cv-02542	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that former GTTF officers falsely arrested him after planting a gun (L380 caliber, serial number 145755), in the vehicle occupied by Plaintiff's friend, Aron Johnson. Johnson was not charged, but does claim that \$1k was stolen from him. The event allegedly took place on August 20, 2015.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million per count in punitive damages for each of 51 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Alice Carson-Johnson v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	18-cv-03064	Discovery Underway	Title VII employment action alleging discrimination based on race, gender, and retaliation for engaging in protected activity. Plaintiff claims that all internal discipline that she faced was retaliatory, and that she was transferred to another division within BPD against her will.	In excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>Thomas Cassella vs Darryl DeSousa, et al</i>	24-C-18-005557	Pending Trial	Defamation lawsuit filed against former Commissioner DeSousa, stemming from a decision not to hire Plaintiff as a Deputy Police Commissioner.	\$275,000	None			
<i>Tony Dewitt v. Ritz, et al.</i>	18-cv-03202	Discovery Underway	This is a 12-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against several individual defendants. Mr. Dewitt was in prison for the murder of Sherene Moore and the attempted murder of Maurice Booker. Mr. Dewitt alleges the Defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and maliciously prosecuted him.	In excess of \$100,000	None			
<i>Tara Grim v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	18-cv-03864	Discovery Underway	Alleges various violations of constitution regarding policy, practice, or custom of condoning unlawful strip searches.	\$5,000,000	None			
<i>Jeffry Taylor v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	18-cv-03999	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that members of the BPD retaliated against Plaintiff after he reported alleged violations of BPD policy surrounding Sgt. Roepcke's decision to use the BPD's Marine Unit to remove an allegedly abandoned boat in the Baltimore harbor. The boat was removed from the harbor between January and February of 2017. Plaintiff's complaint alleges retaliation in violation of Federal and State constitutional rights, as well as violations of the Law Enforcement Officers Bill of Rights.	\$1,500,000, and costs and attorneys' fees.	None			
<i>Winston v. Haziminas et al</i>	19-cv-00026	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges violations of his Federal and State Constitutional rights as well as common law claims. More specifically, Plaintiff alleges that on February 20-21, 2016, he was unlawfully arrested by the defendant officer at a club in Power Plant Live. The defendant officer was working approved, uniformed secondary employment at the time of Plaintiff's arrest. Plaintiff further alleges that the officer used excessive force in effectuating the arrest. That alleged use of force caused a severe shoulder injury, which required surgery to repair.	Compensatory damages and punitive damages in excess of \$75K as to each count, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees.	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Dameon Shaw v. State of Maryland, et al.</i>	24-C-19-000294	MTD Pending	Plaintiff's convictions of multiple armed robberies was vacated upon the reversal of an evidentiary ruling. Plaintiff alleges that the individual officers, together with third party witnesses conspired to frame him and procure his unlawful arrest, detention and conviction.	In excess of \$75,000 in respect of each count, plus costs and attorney's fees	Plaintiff demands that defendants be enjoined from "any further or similar unlawful or unconstitutional acts"			
<i>Eva Tonin v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	19-cv-00323	Second MTD Pending	Title VII employment action alleging discrimination based on gender, national origin, hostile work environment, and retaliation for engaging in protected activity. Plaintiff claims that she has a disability under the ADA and that BPD is no longer accommodating the request, even though it had previously. Plaintiff also claims that she has been subjected to a retaliatory hostile work environment.	\$400,000, plus attorney's fees.	None			
<i>Estate of Bryant v. BPD</i>	19-cv-00384	Discovery Underway	This is a 17-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against BPD and two individual defendants. Mr. Bryant was exonerated for murder based on DNA evidence. The estate alleges that defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and manipulated eyewitness identification to cause his wrongful conviction. The estate also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	\$35 million (pre-suit notice letter)	None			
<i>Michael Saunders v. BPD, et al</i>	19-cv-0551	MTD Decided	This is a pro se case where the Plaintiff was arrested on September 15, 2015 for possession of a handgun and the case was ultimately dismissed. The Plaintiff filed this case upon discovering that GTTF officers were imprisoned at the same facility at which the Plaintiff is incarcerated.	\$5,000,000	None			
<i>Jerome Johnson v. BPD</i>	19-cv-00698	Discovery Underway	This is a 30-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. Johnson was convicted as an accessory to the murder of Aaron Taylor. Mr. Johnson alleges the Defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and maliciously prosecuted him for these crimes. Mr. Johnson also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	\$10 million (pre-suit notice letter)	None			
<i>Paul Jones v. BPD et al</i>	24-C-18-006771	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges that Defendants relied upon a false affidavit to execute a search of his residence, which resulted in his arrest and incarceration.	In excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>Alexis Stockton vs Officer Jordan Distance</i>	24-C-19-001898	Discovery Complete	Plaintiff alleges State Constitutional claims as well as state common law claims. Specifically, Plaintiff's complaint arises from an arrest for possession with intent to distribute that occurred March 22, 2018. The charges against Plaintiff were nol prossed. Plaintiff claims she was arrested without legal justification.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None			
<i>Robert Johnson v. Gondo, et al.</i>	19-cv-00995	Answer Filed	The Plaintiff was arrested for possession of a firearm on August 24, 2014. The Plaintiff pled guilty and was sentenced to five years. He was incarcerated for four years. The Plaintiff is now saying that his arrest was illegal.	\$5 million in compensatory damages and \$5 million in punitive damages as to each count	None			
<i>Dorian Little vs Richard Pinheiro</i>	24-C-19-003373	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff claims he was unlawfully arrested on July 10, 2017 for drug related offenses. He claims the arrest was unlawful and that the charges were later dismissed as a result. Plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages for alleged State Constitutional and common law claims.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None	Dismissed as litigation sanction	8/20/2020	\$0
<i>Keiontae King-Thomas vs Detective Jason Leventhall, et al</i>	24-C-19-003309	MSJ Filed	Plaintiff alleges state constitutional claims and common law claims arising from his arrest on July 13, 2016. Plaintiff does not contend that his arrest was unlawful. Rather, he contends that excessive force -- multiple deployments of tasers by two officers-- was used in effectuating the arrest.	Monetary Damages Not Specified in the Complaint	None	MSJ Granted	7/24/2020	\$0



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Nancy Hamilton v. Daniel Hersl, et al</i>	19-cv-01981	Settlement Pending	Case involves the alleged kidnapping and robbing of the Plaintiff and his wife on July 8, 2016.	\$10 million in compensatory damages, \$10 million in punitive damages as to each count, and reasonable attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Melvin Townes v. Carlos Rivera-Martinez</i>	24-C-19-003639	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about July 5, 2016, he was lawfully observing an unrelated arrest at which time Defendant approached him. Upon Plaintiff's attempt to leave, Defendant allegedly chased, forcibly subdued and illegally detained him.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 6 counts; attorney's fees and costs	None			
<i>Byron Marshall II v. Joshua Hall, et al.</i>	19-cv-2044	Closed	On June 8, 2017, Plaintiff was leaving a shopping center after purchasing an item from an auto store. He witnessed a possible shooting, called 911 and stayed on the scene with the victim until units arrived. After speaking with the responding Officers and being identified as a material witness, Plaintiff tried to leave the scene once told he would not be compensated for giving his account of what occurred. Plaintiff became irate, was then detained and subsequently arrested for disorderly conduct after resisting being detained in police vehicle. He was taken to local hospital to be treated for injuries sustained during scuffle then taken to central booking where he was later released without charges once the case was reviewed by the State's Attorney.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	None	Settlement	9/18/2020	\$15,000
<i>Tyrell Jeffries v. Taylor, et al.</i>	24-C-19-002916	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff alleges that on May 19, 2016 he was walking in the 1200 block of Luzerne Street in Baltimore City, when he was approached by former GTTF officers, Evodio Hendrix, Maurice Ward, Marcus Taylor and Wayne Jenkins. Defendant Officers asked Plaintiff why he walked away from the group that was located down the street when he spotted the officers. He denied being with the group, was detained and searched. He was arrested and transported to Central Booking where he was informed of his charges. A handgun was found incident to the plaintiff's arrest. Plaintiff contends that the gun charge was falsified and that the gun was planted by the defendant officers. Plaintiff's charges were dismissed by the State's Attorney's office on March 9, 2017.	In excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>Tate v. Hersl</i>	19-cv-02240	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff alleges on or about November 25, 2015 he was walking in the area of Robb St. and East 20th St. when he was illegally stopped and searched without legal cause or justification and warned that if he was seen again he would be arrested. On November 27, 2015, Plaintiff was seen in the same area, when Defendants jumped out and violently grabbed Plaintiff. He was detained and alleges Defendants planted evidence on him and stole about \$530.00 from his person. He was subsequently arrested for CDS violations and held in custody as he awaited trial. The State's Attorney office entered a nolle prossed. Plaintiff's charges were dismissed on March 9, 2016.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million per count in punitive damages for each of 23 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Wise v. Storie</i>	19-cv-2413	MTD Pending	On July 26, 2016, Plaintiff was arrested and charged in connection to a non-fatal shooting that occurred on April 9, 2016. Plaintiff was incarcerated from July 26, 2016 until April 25, 2018 (21 months) for the incident. After the completion of a 6 day trial, Plaintiff was found "Not Guilty" by a jury. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Storie falsified documents and committed perjury in order to obtain an arrest warrant, which led to his arrest on July 26, 2016. Plaintiff also alleges that due to being arrested and charged with a crime he did not commit, this caused him to violate his probation, that he was on for another case, not related to this matter and now he is currently serving a 10 year sentence.	\$3,000,000	None			
<i>Gary Washington v. BPD, et al.</i>	19-cv-02473	Discovery Underway	This is a 30-year wrongful conviction lawsuit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. Johnson was convicted for the murder of Faheem Ali based on the testimony of 1 minor child (Otis Robinson). Nearly 30 years later, Robinson recanted at a post-trial proceeding and Washington was released from jail. Washington alleges that defendants withheld material exculpatory evidence and manipulated eyewitness identification to cause his wrongful conviction. Washington also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	\$62 million (pre-suit notice letter)	None			
<i>Jamal Wilson v. Donald Gaff</i>	19-cv-2587	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that on or about September 11, 2016 the Defendant stopped the car in which he was a passenger without cause, then assaulted Plaintiff for no reason.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	None			
<i>Shannon Noble v. Robert Lebrun, et al.</i>	24-C-19-004690	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff claims she was unlawfully arrested on July 5, 2017 pursuant to a warrant that she claims was improperly issued (she claims that the warrant was actually for someone else's arrest). She alleges common law claims against the defendant detective.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	None			
<i>Cardinair Davis v. Thomas Allers, et al.</i>	19-cv-2750	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff alleges that on May 17, 2016, he was a passenger in a vehicle that was approached by officers as the vehicle pulled into the Wendy's parking lot at Westside Shopping Center. Defendant Officers asked if he knew of anyone committing crimes to which he denied, which caused the officers to become angry. Plaintiff alleges that without justification or probable cause, he and the driver were ordered out of the vehicle in order for the officers to conduct a search. One of the officers discovered a Vulcan Machine Pistol handgun, magazine clip and thirteen (.45) caliber ammunition rounds in the front passenger compartment. Plaintiff denied owning or seeing the handgun, but was arrested and charged with various firearms/handgun violations. Facing a minimal of 5 years, On November 2, 2016, Plaintiff took a plea deal that resolved the charges in exchange for time already served in pretrial detention (May 17, 2016-November 2, 2016). On July 12, 2017 the SAO entered a nolle prosequi.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million per count in punitive damages for each of 2 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Dennis Conyers v. Ryan Ernst</i>	24-C-19-004942	Answer Filed	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about October 20, 2017, he was detained while getting into his vehicle and subsequently wrongfully arrested. He alleges that the criminal charges against him were ultimately resolved in a nolle prosequi disposition and asserts several state law torts.	\$75,000 as to each of 5 counts.	None			
<i>Louis Marshall vs Wayne Jenkins, et al</i>	24-C-19-005233	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about October 19, 2016, he was sitting in his vehicle when defendants approached, forced him out of the car without cause, asking Plaintiff about the location of guns. Plaintiff provided this information, whereupon Defendants recovered items and charged Plaintiff. The criminal charges were subsequently dismissed.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 6 counts; costs and attorneys' fees.	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Lorren Hayman v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	24-C-19-005641	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that she was falsely arrested, during the course of which she sustained injuries. She asserts several state law torts and violation of the Maryland Constitution.	In excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>Richard Gibbs Jr vs Jeffrey Melo</i>	24-C-19-005726	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff claims he was shot during a traffic stop for speeding, which occurred November 22, 2016. He was treated and released from the hospital. He claims that a gun was planted and he was arrested for its possession. He claims that he prevailed at trial as to all charges except driving without a license. Plaintiff alleges claims for State common law and constitutional claims.	Monetary damages not specified in the Complaint.	None			
<i>Lauren Holmes, et al. v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	19-cv-3392	Complaint Served	Civil rights claims arising from maintenance of crime scene in Harlem Park after shooting of Det. Sean Suiter.	Monetary damages not specified in the Complaint.	Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief including various prohibitions on the establishment and maintenance of a crime scene and police interactions with persons coming and going from a crime scene			
<i>Jack Crosier v. Nancy Kopp, et al.</i>	19-cv-03536	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that two vehicles were wrongfully seized and/or forfeited subsequent to the execution of a warrant at his residence. He alleges that uncertainty regarding the vehicles caused him mental distress and that such actions violated the United States Constitution.	Monetary damages not specified in the Complaint.	None			
<i>Kendrick Johnson v. Wayne Jenkins, et al.</i>	24-C-19-006597	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on October 15, 2015, he was improperly stopped and illegally searched. Plaintiff asserts that the officers questioned him regarding a recent murder and planted a gun. Plaintiff alleges he was wrongfully arrested after he was unable to provide information regarding the murder and remained imprisoned after a guilty plea until August 2017.	In excess of \$1,075,000.	None			
<i>Carla Green v. Timothy Zuhoski</i>	24-C-20-0001115	Discovery Underway	Plaintiff sued Officer Zuhoski for battery, false arrest, false imprisonment, violation of Art. 24 and Art. 26 of Maryland Constitution, Declaration of Rights. PO Officer had probable cause to believe that Plaintiff was intoxicated or impaired. Plaintiff failed Field Sobriety Test (FST) and was subsequently arrested. Plaintiff later passed breathalyzer and was released. Plaintiff sues for the following damages: compensatory, pain, suffer, punitive, and the like.	In excess of \$1,000,000	None			
<i>Estate Of Curtis Jamal Deal vs Detective David Kincaid</i>	20-cv-00799	MTD Pending	On Feb. 7, 2017, Det. Kincaid was in a foot pursuit of Plaintiff/Decedent, Curtis Deal after he bailed out of the left rear passenger door of a car that was driving erratically. As Mr. Deal continued to run from Det. Kincaid, the decedent turned around and pointed his weapon in the directions of the Detective. Det. Kincaid in fear of his life, fired his weapon fatally shooting the plaintiff/decedent multiple times. The decedent/plaintiff's estate is alleging that the plaintiff/decedent pleaded for medical attention multiple times which were ignored, which ultimately led to his death.	In excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>In the Matter of the Petition of Tassew Mekuria</i>	24-C-20-000842	Complaint Served	Plaintiff alleges that his termination from BPD as a civilian employee was improper, and he filed a Petition for Judicial Review concerning the termination.	Monetary damages if restoration to former position is not possible.	Restoration to former position.			
<i>Gary Brown v. Jemmell Rayam, et al.</i>	20-cv-00487	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about June 8, 2009, he was pulled over and detained without cause and that officers stole \$11,000 from the trunk of his car.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million in punitive damages for each of 23 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Rich v. Hersl, et al.</i>	20-cv-00488	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff claims that a firearm was planted on him and he was unlawfully arrested on October 2, 2007. He claims that he was arrested in part because he filed an Internal Affairs complaint against one of the officers approximately one week before he was arrested. Plaintiff also alleges that the head of IAD did not properly investigate his complaint or discipline the officers involved in Plaintiff's arrest. Plaintiff alleges claims for Federal and State Constitutional violations.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million in punitive damages for each of 19 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Avon Allen v. Marcus Taylor, et al.</i>	20-cv-00489	Settlement Pending	On January 13, 2016, Plaintiff was speaking with three friends in the 2200 block of Fulton Avenue when he was arrested by members of the GTTF for an alleged handgun violation. According to Plaintiff, the defendant officers would argue that they were wearing tactical vests. They pulled to a stop claiming that Plaintiff appeared to be armed. Plaintiff ran, slipped, fell, and an officer saw a gun. Plaintiff got up, picked up the weapon, and ran again. Taylor tackled Plaintiff but he [Plaintiff] tossed the gun into the sewer. Plaintiff claims he was beaten for resisting arrest. Plaintiff's first trial allegedly resulted in a mistrial. He was subsequently re-indicted, but the charges were dismissed before trial. Plaintiff alleges claims for violations of the Federal and State constitutions.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million per count in punitive damages for each of 36 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Shawn Whiting v. Marcus Taylor, et al.</i>	20-cv-00540	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff alleges that he was unlawfully arrested on January 24, 2014, following the execution of a search warrant at Plaintiff's home. Plaintiff claims that the warrant was based upon false statements. Plaintiff alleges that money and other property was stolen during that search. Plaintiff's complaint alleges violations of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution, Article 24 of the Maryland Declaration of Rights, and civil conspiracy.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million per count in punitive damages for each of 27 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Sherman Thomas v. Jemell Rayam, et al.</i>	20-cv-00541	Settlement Pending	This lawsuit arises from Plaintiff's arrest following the June 18, 2015 execution of three search warrants, one of which was for Plaintiff's home. Plaintiff was charged with possession of ammunition as a prohibited person, along with other charges relating to the drugs and guns recovered during the execution of the warrants. Plaintiff claims the affidavits in support of the warrants were based on false information from a confidential informant. Plaintiff pled guilty to two counts of the indictment against him, and received a five year sentence. Following the indictments of several former GTTF officers, many of whom assisted in obtaining the warrants and arresting Plaintiff, Plaintiff's sentence was vacated. Plaintiff's complaint alleges violations of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution, Article 24 of the Maryland Declaration of Rights, and civil conspiracy.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million per count in punitive damages for each of 24 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Bernard Gough v. Jemell Rayam, et al.</i>	20-cv-00542	Complaint Served	Plaintiff alleges that on or about October 9, 2007, he was driving a car when he was shot in the head/neck area by a police officer without cause. Plaintiff alleges that the officer did not render aid despite the seriousness of the injuries. Further, he claims that officers falsified criminal charges against him, to which he pled guilty and was incarcerated until his conviction was vacated on motion of the State's Attorney's Office on or about November 27, 2019.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million in punitive damages for each of 16 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Jawan Richards v. Carmine Vignola, et al.</i>	20-cv-00571	Case Stayed	Plaintiff alleges that, on January 27, 2016, effectuated an unlawful stop of the car he was driving and, under the false pretense that Plaintiff attempted to flee, shot him in the neck. Plaintiff contends that he was wrongfully arrested after a gun and drugs were planted in his vehicle. Plaintiff subsequently pled guilty to criminal charges and was incarcerated. He alleges violations of the federal and state constitutions.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million in punitive damages for each of 24 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Jamal Walker, et al. v. Wayne Jenkins, et al.</i>	20-cv-00572	Settlement Pending	Plaintiffs allege that, on November 3, 2010, Defendants performed an illegal search of Jamal Walker's car during which Defendants alluded to planting drugs and stole money from the vehicle before unlawfully arresting Jamal Walker. Defendants then proceeded to Jamal Walker's residence where they performed an illegal search, planted evidence, and unlawfully arrested Jovonne Walker. Plaintiffs allege violations of the federal and state constitutions.	\$10 million in compensatory damages plus \$ 10 million in punitive damages for each of 21 counts, plus costs and attorneys' fees	None			
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. City of Baltimore, et al.</i>	24-C-20-001269	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges having made multiple requests for records to BPD and the City under the MPIA and that the responses were insufficient.	\$1,000 plus attorneys' fees	Plaintiff seeks to compel response to the MPIA request.			
<i>Kenisha Cutchember v. Sufraïn Hassan, et al.</i>	24-C-20-001617	MTD Decided	Plaintiff alleges that, upon a second stop of her vehicle for equipment-related violations, she was detained and questioned, subjected to an illegal search, and her belongings removed from the vehicle. She alleges violations of the Maryland Constitution.	In excess of \$50,000	None			
<i>McPherson -Simmons v. Baltimore Police Department, et al.</i>	20-cv-00795	MTD Decided	This is a 24-year wrongful conviction suit filed against BPD and several individual defendants. Mr. McPherson and Mr. Simmons were convicted of the murder of Anthony Wooden, who was shot to death. They allege that police withheld witness statements and a confession from the real killer. They also filed a Monell claim against BPD.	Monetary damages not specified in Complaint.	None			
<i>Leaders of a Beautiful Struggle v. Baltimore Police Dept., et al.</i>	20-cv-00929	Complaint Filed	Plaintiffs allege that the operation of the Aerial Investigation Research Pilot Program violates their First and Fourth Amendment rights.	Attorneys' fees and costs.	Declaratory judgment that the AIR Pilot Program is unlawful and violates the United States Constitution; Order enjoining BPD from operating the AIR program and requiring expungement of related records.			
<i>Open Justice Baltimore v. City of Baltimore, et al.</i>	24-C-20-001956	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges having made multiple requests for records to BPD and the City under the MPIA and that the responses were insufficient.	\$1,000 in statutory damages as to each of 3 requests, plus costs and attorneys' fees.	Order Defendants to provide materials responsive to each of 3 MPIA requests; enter an injunction requiring Defendants to waive fees for each request.			
<i>Albert Brown v. Wayne Jenkins, et al.</i>	20-cv-00971	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff alleges, on August 1, 2016, former Officers Jenkins and Rayam were working in plain clothes in an unmarked vehicle. The Officers stopped him without justification or cause and searched his vehicle. He was falsely arrested and charged with narcotics and firearm charge. Defendant Officers then proceeded to Plaintiff's house and performed a warrantless search, which resulted in additional narcotics charges. The charges were eventually nolle prossed by the SAO.	In excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>Dashawn McGrier v. Arthur Williams, et al.</i>	20-cv-01235	Closed	Plaintiff alleges that, on August 11, 2018, former Officer Arthur Williams assaulted him. He contends that the assault was unprovoked and that Officer Smith-Saxon failed to intervene to stop the assault. He asserts a claim for battery as well as several claims under the Maryland and federal constitutions.	In excess of \$75,000	None	Settlement	8/12/2020	\$500,000



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Bonaparte v. Harrison, et al.</i>	24-C-20-002050	Voluntary Dismissal w/o Prejudice Entered; Motion for Reconsideration Pending	Plaintiff is a former Deputy Commissioner of the BPD who alleges that he was wrongfully discharged in violation of his due process rights and in a racially discriminatory manner in violation of 42 U.S.C. 1983. Plaintiff also asserts several state law torts.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 7 counts.	None			
<i>James Handley v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	20-cv-01054	Case Stayed	Plaintiff is a former command member alleging race and gender discrimination in violation of Title VII. Plaintiff claims that he was removed from his position as Acting Inspector under Commissioner Davis and demoted to Major under Commissioner DeSousa and involuntarily transferred from the Recruitment Division to the Southwest District. Plaintiff alleges that DeSousa's goal was to replace all Caucasian male officers with African American female officers.	In excess of \$500,000 plus costs and attorneys' fees	Permanent Injunction directing BPD to remedy effects of discriminatory conduct and prevent same in the future.			
<i>Darnell Earl v. Taylor, et al.</i>	20-cv-01355	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges that, on October 18, 2015, the car in which he was a passenger was unlawfully stopped by former GTTF members and that during the search, the officers planted a firearm. Plaintiff asserts that the planted firearm was the basis for fabricated firearms charges to which he pled guilty and was subsequently incarcerated for roughly 18 months. Plaintiff asserts federal constitutional violations as well as state law torts.	\$30,000,000	None			
<i>Gisell Paula v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>	24-C-20-002763	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that the structure and management of the Civilian Review Board within the Mayor's Office of Equity and Civil Rights is improper and denies the public an effective Civilian Review Board. Plaintiff purports to assert claims under the Public Local Laws and Maryland Declaration of Rights.	Attorneys' fees and costs.	Injunctions directing various City agencies to relinquish authority over the Civilian Review Board and facilitate its independence.			
<i>Nathaniel Carter v. Sweet, et al.</i>	24-C-20-002819	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that he was arrested and subsequently acquitted of charges arising from a 2016 incident involving a remote controlled car. Plaintiff further alleges that, from that time until 2018, officers intimidated him by ticketing his vehicle, charging him with crimes for improper reasons, and following him. Plaintiff contends that this conduct was the product of negligent training, supervision and retention.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 6 counts.	None			
<i>Tyshawn Trogdon v. Andre N. Smith, et al.</i>	24-C-20-002977	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges that he was a passenger in a stolen vehicle that was stopped by police. When the car stopped, Plaintiff alleges that he fled and was subsequently tased in an exercise of excessive force.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 5 counts; attorneys' fees.	None			
<i>Yusuf Smith v. Michael O'Sullivan</i>	24-C-20-003019	MTD Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about May 1, 2018, Defendant unlawfully stopped him and subsequently arrested him under false pretenses. Plaintiff alleges that he spent two months in jail before his criminal charges were resolved in a nolle prosequi disposition. Plaintiff asserts various state tort and constitutional claims.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 3 counts	None			
<i>Garfield Redd v. Paul Siegrist, et al.</i>	20-cv-02194	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about October 22, 2006, he was illegally stopped by BPD officers in a plainclothes unit without probable cause. Plaintiff asserts that the officers planted a firearm to support false criminal charges against him, which were ultimately nolle prossed, but constituted a violation of plaintiff's parole and caused him to be sentenced to 20 years in prison. Plaintiff asserts state law torts and federal constitutional claims.	Compensatory damages of \$125,000,000 and punitive damages of \$5,000,000 as to each of 7 counts.	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Police Legal Affairs Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Wayne Lee v. Daniel Herst, et al.</i>	20-cv-02196	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about October 18, 2015, he was a passenger in a parked vehicle that was illegally stopped by GTTF. Plaintiff alleges that the officers fabricated drug charges against him which were eventually nol prossed, and asserts state law torts and claims under the Maryland and United States constitutions.	Compensatory damages of \$25,000,000 and punitive damages of \$5,000,000 as to each of 7 counts.	None			
<i>Toyia Williams v. Michael Harrison</i>	24-C-20-003180	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges that she was improperly separated from employment as a sworn police officer with the Baltimore Police Department in contravention of her rights under the Law Enforcement Officers' Bill of Rights.	Attorneys' fees and costs.	Injunctions preventing BPD from terminating Plaintiff without affording her rights under LEOBR, requiring BPD to afford Plaintiff state and federal constitutional rights, requiring restoration of Plaintiff's employment and precluding retaliation.			
<i>David Dixon v. Leon Riley, et al.</i>	24-C-20-003326	Complaint Served	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about December 2, 2019, he was stopped and detained unlawfully by the officer defendants. He further alleges that he was subjected to excessive force and wrongfully arrested. Plaintiff asserts various state law torts.	In excess of \$75,000 as to each of 5 counts	None			
<i>Dawud Morris v. Wayne Jenkins, et al.</i>	20-cv-02229	Settlement Pending	Plaintiff alleges that defendants planted a handgun on him, resulting in his false arrest, charging and imprisonment for five years, and that they stole \$2,500 in cash during the same incident. Plaintiff alleges state law torts and violations of the federal and state constitutions.	In excess of \$75,000, attorneys' fees and costs.	None			
<i>Kaleab Ka Anp El v. Villaronga, et al.</i>	24-C-20-003316	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges a breach of contract arising from fees allegedly due to him for 26 minutes of false arrest and false imprisonment during a traffic stop.	In excess of \$75,000	None			
<i>Chestnut, et al. v. Kincaid, et al.</i>	20-cv-02342	Complaint Filed	Plaintiffs allege that they were wrongfully convicted of the 1983 murder of DeWitt Duckett. Plaintiffs contend that their convictions arose from the improper investigative tactics of BPD members, which targeted plaintiffs in contravention of the evidence.	Not stated	None			
<i>Jawone D. Nicholson v. State of Maryland, et al.</i>	24-C-20-004044	Complaint Filed	Plaintiff alleges that, on or about November 10, 2017, he was approached by a BPD officer who proceeded to question him aggressively and pointed a firearm at him without cause.	In excess of \$30,000 as to each of 11 counts; attorneys' fees and costs	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Labor and Employment Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Donald Dziwulski v. M&CC</i>	18-cv-00277	Expert Discovery Phase	Plaintiff claims he was denied a promotion because of his race - Caucasian	\$250K	None			
<i>EEOC v. Enoch Pratt Lib.</i>	19-cv-02860	Trial concluded, awaiting verdict	EEOC claims Pratt violated EPA by paying male employee more than female employees.	\$190K	Consent Decree			
<i>Eric Goods v. M&CC</i>	19-cv-02519	Motion to Dismiss pending	Plaintiff claims he was subject to a hostile work environment b/c of his race and age.	Unspecified	None			
<i>Kohl Falling v. M&CC</i>	19-cv-01500	Discovery Phase	Plaintiff claims that she was subjected to sexually harassing conduct and retaliation.	Unspecified	None			
<i>Stanley Abler v. M&CC</i>	18-cv-03668	Summary Judgment Stage	Plaintiff claims the BCFD failed to accommodate his disability	Unspecified	None			
<i>Roselyn Hale v. M&CC</i>	20-cv-00503	Discovery Phase	Plaintiff claims she was sexually harassed by supervisor who touched her and wrote her songs.	\$450K	None			
<i>Kenneth Butler v. M&CC</i>	16-cv-03309	Discovery Phase	Class action suit herein plaintiffs claims the city failed to pay proper wages and overtime.	Millions	None			
<i>Ann Harvey v. Enoch Pratt</i>	20-cv-00874	Discovery Stage	Plaintiff alleges she was subjected to a hostile work environment on account of her age and race, and suffered retaliation for filing a prior lawsuit.	\$900K	None			
<i>Rebecca Ebaugh v. M&CC</i>	20-cv-00663	Motion to Dismiss pending	Plaintiff claims that Rec & Parks failed to accommodate her disability and violated the law by terminating her.	Unspecified	Bar continuing discrimination			
<i>Roberta Hines v. M&CC</i>	20-cv-01808	Pleading Stage	Plaintiff claims that she was paid a lesser salary than her male counterparts in violation of the Equal Pay Act.	Unspecified Back-pay	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Allan Myers, L.P. v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	COA-PET-159-2020	Awaiting COA's ruling on cert petition	Contract dispute related to the reservoir work at Druid Hill Park. Contractor Allan Myers, L.P. sought additional compensation for emergency remedial repairs to the project; DPW denied the claim, finding that the need for repairs resulted from the contractor's own failure to follow project specifications. The circuit court affirmed, and the contractor appealed. The Court of Special Appeals dismissed the appeal for lack of jurisdiction and the contractor is now seeking review by the Court of Appeals.	\$4,900,000	None			
<i>Annette Blake v. David Chadwick, et al.</i>	CSA-REG-1939-2019	Awaiting oral argument December 2020	Personal injury case. Plaintiffs (a motorist and her passengers) sued two other motorists, including one City employee, for damages arising out of a car crash. The circuit court granted the City's motion for summary judgment on the grounds that the plaintiff was contributorily negligent by knowingly driving a car in an unsafe condition; the crash occurred when plaintiff's car broke down.	\$50,000	None			
<i>Baltimore Police Department v. Andre Robinson</i>	CSA-REG-764-2019	Favorable ruling by the Court of Special Appeals	Police disciplinary action. BPD fired Robinson for allowing a suspected drug dealer to keep suspected drugs. The circuit court ordered Robinson's reinstatement upon finding that the BPD violated certain procedural safeguards.	Back pay and attorneys fees.	Reinstatement	CSA reversed the circuit court	9/30/2020	\$0
<i>Baltimore Police Department v. David Esteppe</i>	CSA-REG-3128-2018	Pending cert petition in Court of Appeals	Police scope of employment case. Seeking to impress a love interest, a BPD officer filed a fraudulent application for a search warrant that resulted in a search of the plaintiff's home and his arrest. The plaintiff obtained a \$167,000 judgment against the officer, and the circuit court ruled that the BPD is liable for that amount because the officer was acting within the scope of his employment. BPD appealed	\$167,000	None	CSA reversed the circuit court in a reported decision 8/27/2020; Esteppe has filed a cert petition in COA		
<i>Baltimore Police Department v. James Brooks, et al.</i>	CSA-REG-979-2019	Awaiting CSA decision	Police disciplinary actions. In each of these consolidated appeals (involving 16 officers), the circuit court dismissed the administrative charges because the charging documents were not signed by the Police Commissioner's designee within one year of the department learning of each officer's alleged misconduct.	N/A	Dismissal of disciplinary actions against 16 officers	CSA affirmed the circuit court	7/30/2020	\$0
<i>BP p.l.c., et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	19-1644	Being briefed in SCOTUS	Interlocutory appeal in the City's climate change lawsuit against fossil fuel companies. The narrow question before the Court is whether 28 U.S.C. 1447(d) permits a court of appeals to review any issue encompassed in a district court's order remanding a removed case to state court where the removing defendant premised removal in part on the federal-officer removal statute, 28 U.S.C. 1442, or the civil rights removal statute, 28 U.S.C. 1443.					
<i>Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. v. Director, Department of Finance of Baltimore City</i>	COA-REG-9-2020	Argument November 2020	Clear Channel challenges the City's billboard tax as a violation of the company's First Amendment free speech rights. The Tax Court, circuit court, and Court of Special Appeals have each rejected Clear Channel's claim.	\$6,000,000+	Invalidation of the billboard tax			
<i>Joann Stupi v. Mayor and City Council</i>	CSA-REG-493-2018	Awaiting CSA decision	Plaintiff's leg went through broken drainage gate; court granted judgement to City at close of plaintiff's case on ground that plaintiff failed to produce sufficient evidence of constructive notice of defective condition. Plaintiff appealed.	\$75,000+	None			
<i>Joseph Weber v. Fire and Police Employees Retirement System</i>	CSA-REG-3492-2018	Awaiting CSA decision	Challenge to the denial of line-of-duty disability benefits, which could increase the payout by at least \$100,000		Payment of \$100,000+ in line-of-duty disability benefits			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Kathy Hudson, et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>	CSA-REG-2346-2019	Being briefed in CSA; argument January 2021	The circuit court vacated and remanded the City Council's approval of the Overlook PUD. The City is appealing the part of the ruling in which the circuit court found that the PUD was governed by the PUD provisions of the former zoning code but the height regulations of the current zoning code			Cancellation of \$40 million, 148-unit apartment building planned near Falls Road and Northern Parkway (approved by City Council in 2017)		
<i>Kerron Andrews v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	18-1953	On limited remand for further factfinding in district court; Fourth Circuit has retained jurisdiction	Andrews sued the police department and individual officers who used a cell-site simulator to locate Andrews's cell phone and, thus, him, to execute a warrant for his arrest for attempted murder. The district court granted summary judgment against Andrews, but the Fourth Circuit ordered a limited remand for further factfinding before ruling on the propriety of the district court's ruling	\$100,000+		Injunction prohibiting use of cell-site simulators		
<i>Leaders of a Beautiful Struggle v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	20-1495	Awaiting decision by Fourth Circuit	Interlocutory appeal of the district court's denial of a preliminary injunction of the BPD's Aerial Investigation Research pilot program.			Injunction of the BPD's Aerial Investigation Research pilot program		
<i>Legends Sales And Marketing LLC, et al v. Arena Ventures LLC</i>	CSA-REG-0041-2020	Being briefed in CSA; argument February 2021	Contract dispute over billboards on the City arena. The City has already been found liable; the only remaining issue is the measure of damages, i.e., how much the billboard structures are worth. The circuit court ordered the City to pay about \$1.45 million. The City appeals that ruling.	\$1,457,264	None			
<i>Loraine Bruni v. Eastern Animal Hospital, et al.</i>	CSA-REG-374-2020	Being briefed in CSA	Pro se plaintiff challenges the removal of cats from her home.					
<i>Marquis Foster v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	CSA-REG-1666-2019	Being briefed in CSA; argument December 2020	Plaintiff sought \$1 million for alleged false arrest and battery by BPD officers. The circuit court dismissed the lawsuit, and the plaintiff appealed.	\$10,000,000	None			
<i>Matthew Petrus, et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, et al.</i>	CSA-REG-2368-2019	Being briefed in CSA; oral argument January 2021	The case relates to the City's repeal of the Remington Row PUD which Judge Fletcher-Hill agreed was a legislative-type decision. There is another matter presently stayed in the Circuit Court until the Petrus case is concluded in that if the repeal of the Remington Row PUD is upheld it will moot the other matter challenging an amendment of that PUD.					
<i>Mayor and City Council of Baltimore City v. Sade Barnett</i>	CSA-REG-2601-2019	Being briefed in CSA; argument February 2021	Personal injury action. Plaintiff alleged that she fell after tripping on a loose water meter cover and injured her right foot, which went into the water meter vault. City appeals multiple issues.	\$293,000 plus interest	None			
<i>Mayor and City Council of Baltimore v. AEG Live Mid-Atlantic, LLC ("Pier 6 II")</i>	CSA-REG-3056-2018	Awaiting CSA decision	Action to recover damages related to a contract dispute involving Pier 6. AEG obtained an injunction from the circuit court against the City and was required to post \$750,000 bond to protect the City against damages resulting from the injunction. The injunction was in place and operational for more than a month before the CSA stayed it during the City's appeal of the injunction. Months later, AEG voluntarily dismissed the underlying action against the City, which caused the appeal of the injunction to be dismissed as moot. AEG then moved to release the bond, and the City moved to recover damages in excess of \$325,000 from the bond. The circuit court denied the City's request. The City appealed.	City is seeking \$325,000+	None			
<i>Mayor and City Council of Baltimore v. ProVen Management, Inc.</i>	COA-REG-8-2020	Being briefed in COA; argument November 2020	This contract dispute regarding a sewer cleaning project involves requests for additional payments that were denied at the administrative level. That denial was then affirmed by the circuit court on judicial review. ProVen appealed to the CSA even though it did not have a right of appeal. The City moved to dismiss the appeal, which the CSA denied. The City appealed the denial of that motion to dismiss, and the COA granted cert.	\$1,600,000+	None			



BALTIMORE CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION REPORT (7/1/2020-9/30/2020)
 - Appellate Practice Group -

<u>Name</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Case Status</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Monetary Demand</u>	<u>Injunctive Demand</u>	<u>Manner of Resolution</u>	<u>Date of Resolution</u>	<u>City Payment</u>
<i>Mayor and City Council of Baltimore v. Thornton Mellon LLC</i>	CSA-REG-1940-2019	Awaiting argument in Court of Special Appeals November 2020	Tax sale purchaser purported to assign a foreclosure judgment to another entity, then obtained a court order that the City issue a deed in the name of the purported assignee. The City believes the assignment is invalid and has appealed the order to issue the deed in the purported assignee's name.		The issuance of a tax sale deed to what the City believes is the improper party			
<i>Pizza di Joey, et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	COA-REG-41-2019	Favorable decision by Court of Appeals	Challenge to the City's regulation prohibiting a food truck from operating within 300 feet of a restaurant primarily engaged in selling the same type of food product.		Plaintiff seeking to invalidate the City's 300-foot food truck regulation	COA affirmed CSA, upheld ordinance	8/17/2020	\$0
<i>Robert Cherry, et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	CSA-REG-188-2020	Plaintiff-Appellants seeking review by COA while the case is being briefed in COSA	Class action where plaintiffs were members of police and fire pension system seeking damages for pension reformed enacted in 2010. As to claims of active members of plan, judgment entered in favor of City. As to approximately 1,500 retirees or retiree-eligibles of the plan, judgment entered against City in amount of \$31 million	\$100,000,000+				
<i>Sabein Burgess v. Gerald Goldstein</i>	19-1600 & 19-1602	Awaiting Fourth Circuit decision	Appeal from large judgment in favor of man who was released from prison on petition for writ of actual innocence after spending 19 years in prison.	\$15,000,000 plus interest and attorneys fees	None			
<i>Tanisha Todd v. Michael Harrison, et al</i>	CSA-REG-1820-2019	Awaiting Court of Special Appeals decision	BPD sought to terminate Officer Todd; she alleged a procedural violation and asked the circuit court to dismiss the administrative charges. The circuit court denied her request, and Todd appealed.		That disciplinary action terminating BPD officer accused of wrongdoing be vacated			
<i>Uman Hassan Burley v. Baltimore Police Department</i>	19-2029	Settlement Pending	Civil rights action accusing BPD officers of planting evidence and other misdeeds, leading to allegedly wrongful convictions. Also accuses BPD of pattern, practice, or policy of such behavior. BPD filed an interlocutory appeal of the district court's decision that it did not have state sovereign immunity.	Unspecified damages	None			
<i>Wheelabrator Baltimore LP, et al., v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	20-1473	Being briefed in the Fourth Circuit	Challenge to the entry of summary judgment against the City in a challenge to the trash incinerator emissions standards		Invalidation of the City's trash incinerator emissions standards			
<i>Whitehall Mill LLC v Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	CSA-REG-3337-2018	Awaiting CSA decision	Developer seeks a \$2 million historic tax credit.	\$2,000,000	None			